

Relative Zeta Functions, Determinants, Torsion, Index Theorems and Invariants for Open Manifolds

Jürgen Eichhorn, Greifswald

February 1, 2008

Abstract

The set of Clifford bundles of bounded geometry over open manifolds can be endowed with a metrizable uniform structure. For one fixed bundle E we define the generalized component $\text{gen comp}(E)$ as the set of Clifford bundles E' which have finite distance to E . If D, D' are the associated generalized Dirac operators, we prove for the pair (D, D') relative index theorems, define relative ζ - and η -functions, relative determinants and in the case of $D = \Delta$ relative analytic torsion. To define relative ζ - and η -functions, we assume additionally that the essential spectrum of D^2 has a gap above zero.

1 Introduction

The succeeding paper has 5 main goals, 1. a contribution to classification theory for open manifolds, 2. very general relative index theory, 3. scattering theory, 4. spectral invariants like the absolutely continuous spectrum and relative analytic torsion, 5. relative determinants, important in QFT on open manifolds. All these goals are achieved for pairs of Clifford bundles $(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g), (E', h', \nabla^{h'}, \cdot') \longrightarrow (M'^n, g')$ of bounded geometry and associated generalized Dirac operators $D = D(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot, g), D' = D(E', h', \nabla^{h'}, \cdot', g')$ if the following holds. For certain $K \subset M, K' \subset M', (E|_{M \setminus K} \longrightarrow M \setminus K), (E'|_{M' \setminus K'} \longrightarrow M' \setminus K')$ are vector bundle isomorphic,

(1.1)

and (after pulling back) $g - g', h - h', \nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}, \cdot - \cdot'$ have finite $(p = 1, r + 1)$ -Sobolev norm, $r + 1 > n + 2$ or $r + 1 > n + 3$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} |g - g'|_{g,1,r+1} &< \infty, & |h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,1,r+1} &< \infty, \\ |\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,1,r+1} &< \infty, & |\cdot - \cdot'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,1,r+1} &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

For relative determinants and analytic torsion we assume additionally

$$\inf \sigma_e(\Delta(g)|_{(\ker \Delta(g))^\perp}) > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\sigma_e(\Delta(g))$ denotes the essential spectrum of the graded Laplace operator $\Delta(g)$ and we do not admit compact topological perturbations.

We rewrite these conditions in a more convenient language $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{1,r+1}(E)$ where $\text{gen comp}(\cdot)$ are generalized components in a uniform structure on the set of all Clifford bundles of bounded geometry. The description of (1.1), (1.2) as $E' \in \text{comp}(E)$ is more convenient, contentful and useful since this expresses additionally the symmetry and transitivity of (1.1), (1.2) which is very important in performing proofs. Sobolev estimates e. g. play an absolutely decisive role. These are always performed for concrete Sobolev norms but are needed for others too. $E', E'' \in \text{comp}^r(E)$ implies the equivalence of $| \cdot |_{g,h,\nabla^h,1,r}, | \cdot |_{g',h',\nabla^{h'},p,r}, | \cdot |_{g'',h'',\nabla^{h''},p,r}$. Moreover, $\text{comp}(E)$ and $\text{gen comp}(E)$ are endowed with a topology such that continuity and differentiability considerations make sense.

Special cases of our results presented in sections 9 and 10 have been discussed e. g. in [1], [17], [2], [3].

Our approach in this paper is organized as follows. We repeat in section 2 very briefly the main concepts concerning generalized Dirac operators and Sobolev spaces. A key role in the sequel is played by the module structure theorem 2.6. We sketch in sections 3 and 4 uniform structures of open manifolds, metrics, vector bundles, connections and Clifford bundles. This is a way to fit these objects into natural intrinsic equivalence classes, the arc components of the uniform structure under consideration. The general approach is to define metrizable uniform structures by local radial metrics which are locally "symmetric and transitive". If the corresponding uniform topology is locally arcwise connected then the components coincide with arc components and the whole space under consideration (consisting of proper metric spaces or open, complete Riemannian manifolds) is the topological sum of its (arc) components. In some important cases the uniform structure is not locally arcwise

connected. Here we had to make a choice, to restrict to arc components or to generalized components, where $\text{gen comp}(X, d_X) = \{(Y, d_Y) | d_{\mathfrak{U}}(X, Y) < \infty\}$. We decided to work with (the much bigger) gen comp since this is for the later applications the most important case. The generalized components $\text{gen comp}(E)$ are the biggest equivalence classes such that we can define for any pair of operators in $\text{gen comp}(E)$ our invariants and prove relative index theorems.

Our starting basic uniform structure is the Lipschitz uniform structure defined on the set (of isometry classes) of proper metric spaces. Thereafter we restrict to complete Riemannian manifolds and smooth maps, to vector bundles and Clifford bundles of bounded geometry. Finally we admit compact topological perturbations in the definition of $d(E, E') < \infty$. Then the arising uniform structures are not locally arcwise connected. The topological background for this is that in general one cannot connect two different manifolds by a reasonable arc consisting of manifolds only. We omit mostly in these sections the proofs since they are either performed in [6] or modeled by proofs in this paper.

Section 5 sums up some heat kernel estimates which are permanently used in the sequel.

Section 6 has model character for our approach. We fix a Clifford bundle $(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ of bounded geometry, the corresponding generalized Dirac operator $D = D(g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot)$ and permit variation of the (metric) connection ∇^h , such that $E' = (E, h, \nabla'^h, \cdot) \in \text{comp}^{1,r}(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot)$, in particular $|\nabla - \nabla'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,1,r} < \infty$. Then D and $D' = D(g, h, \nabla'^h, \cdot)$ are self adjoint in the same Hilbert space $L_2((M, E), g, h)$. $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ is defined and we want to prove the trace class property for $t > 0$. By Duhamel's principle

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} = \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D'^2 - D^2) e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (1.4)$$

If we write $D^2 - D'^2 = D(D - D') + (D - D')D'$, $D' - D = \eta = \eta^{op}$, where $\eta^{op}(\psi) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \cdot \eta_{e_i}(\psi)$, then

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} = \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D \eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds + \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds.$$

We split $\int_0^t = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$ and obtain

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_1)$$

$$+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_2)$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D\eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_3)$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds. \quad (I_4)$$

We want to show that each integral (I₁) – (I₄) is a product of Hilbert–Schmidt operators and to estimate their Hilbert–Schmidt norm. Consider the integrand of (I₄),

$$(e^{-sD^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}).$$

One has $|e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_{L_2 \rightarrow H^1} \leq C \cdot (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. If one writes

$$(e^{-sD^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}) = (e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} f)(f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}) \quad (1.5)$$

where f shall be scalar function acting by multiplication then we would be done if $e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} f$, $f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \eta$ would be Hilbert–Schmidt with bounded HS–norm on compact t –intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. For $e^{-sD^2} f$ this holds if $f \in L_2$. The absolutely decisive question is whether $f \in L_2$ can be additionally chosen in such a manner that

$$f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \eta \quad (1.6)$$

is Hilbert–Schmidt too. This is in fact possible via rather delicate estimates. Here we use $|\eta|_{1,r} < \infty$.

$(I_1) - (I_3)$ can be discussed quite parallel. By a similar decomposition we show that $De^{-tD^2} - D'e^{-tD'^2}$ is for $t > 0$ also of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. In section 7, we admit complete perturbation of the Clifford structure, i.e. of $g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot$. This has several inconvenient consequences, e. g. variation of g, h implies that $D = D(g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot)$ and $D' = D(g', h', \nabla^{h'}, \cdot)$ are self adjoint only in distinct Hilbert spaces, hence $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ is not defined. We transform D' from $L'_2 = L_2((M, E), g', h')$ to $L_2 = L_2((M, E), g, h)$, thus getting an operator $D'_{L_2} = (U^* i^* D' i U)^2$. But for later applications in section 9 we do this in two steps. In the first step we admit perturbation of $g, \nabla^h, \cdot$ to $g', \nabla'^h, \cdot$, i.e. the fibre metric h remains fixed. For this reason we write $E' \in \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+2}(E)$ (F stands for fixing of the fibre metric). Then $D'_{L_2} = (U^* D' U)^2$. We apply an adapted version of Duhamel's principle thus getting

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'_{L_2}} = -e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1) - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D^2 - D'_{L_2}) e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}} ds, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\alpha - 1 = \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{\sqrt{\det g'}} - 1$. The term $e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1)$ can be easily be settled since $\alpha - 1$ is $(1, r+1)$ -Sobolev. Then with $-(D - D') = \eta$ we have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad } \eta}{2\alpha} \right) e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad } \eta}{2\alpha} \right) D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad } \eta}{2\alpha} \right) e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad } \eta}{2\alpha} \right) D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We can write $-(D - D')\Phi = (\eta_1^{op} + \eta_2^{op} + \eta_3^{op})\Phi$. If we still set $\eta_0 = -\frac{\text{grad } \alpha'}{\alpha}$ then we must study the 16 integrals

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,1})$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,2})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,3})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \quad (I_{\nu,4})$$

Unfortunately η_1^{op} and η_3^{op} are first order differential operators but using estimates for the first derivatives of the heat kernels we can show that for $t > 0$

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} D'_{L_2} \quad (1.8)$$

are of trace class and their trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

There is a very important class of Clifford structures where perturbation of g automatically induces a perturbation of the fibre metric h . This is $E = (\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_{\Lambda^*}, \nabla^{g_{\Lambda^*}}) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ with Clifford multiplication

$$X \otimes \omega \in T_m M \otimes \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow X \cdot \omega = \omega_X \wedge \omega - i_X \omega,$$

where $\omega_X := g(X, \cdot)$. In this case E as a vector bundle remains fixed but the Clifford module structure varies smoothly with $g, g' \in \text{comp}(g)$. It is

well known that in this case $D = d + d^*$, $D^2 = (d + d^*)^2$ Laplace operator Δ . This example later produces the relative analytic torsion. Therefore we must admit perturbation of the fibre metric too but we can prove that if $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L,diff}^{1,r+1}(E)$ then for $t > 0$

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2}(U^*i^*D'iU) \quad (1.9)$$

are of trace class and their trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

In section 8 finally, we additionally admit compact topological perturbations, $E|_{M \setminus K} \cong E'|_{M' \setminus K'}$ as vector bundles together with (1.2). Consider $\mathcal{H} = L_2((K, E|_K), g, h) \oplus L_2((K', E'|_{K'}), g'h') \oplus L_2((M \setminus K), g, h)$. Then D acts in $\mathcal{H}$ by defining it to be zero on $L_2((K', E'|_{K'}), g'h')$. Similarly, a suitable transformation $\tilde{D}'$ of D' acts in $\mathcal{H}$ by defining it additionally to be zero on $L_2((K, E|_K), g, h)$. Let P, P' be the orthogonal projection onto $L_2((K', E'|_{K'}), g'h')^\perp$, $L_2((K, E|_K), g, h)^\perp$, respectively. Then we have to prove (if $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{1,r+1}(E)$) that for $t > 0$

$$e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P' \quad \text{and} \quad e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}'^2 \quad (1.10)$$

are of trace class and their trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

In principle (1.10) contains the other theorems (as special case $K = K' = \Phi$) such that we could omit them. But there is no doubling of proofs. The proof for the more general perturbation adds to the proof of the foregoing case (with smaller admitted perturbations) only those features which come specifically from the more general perturbation.

In the special case of the graded Laplace operator we obtain that for $t > 0$

$$e^{-t\Delta}P - e^{-t(U^*i^*\Delta'iU)}P' \quad (1.11)$$

is of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

After a long preparatory material, the sections 9 and 10 contain the applications to relative index theory, scattering theory, ζ -functions, determinants and relative torsion. Here we assume as usual E, E' endowed with an involution $= \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading τ , $\tau^2 = 1$, $\tau^* = \tau$, $[\tau, X]_+ = 0$ for $X \in TM$, $[\nabla, \tau] = 0$.

Then $L_2((M, E), g, h) = L_2(M, E^+) \oplus L_2(M, E^-)$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $D^- = (D^+)^*$. If M^n is compact then as usual

$$\text{ind} D := \text{ind} D^+ := \dim \ker D^+ - \dim \ker D^- \equiv \text{tr} (\tau e^{-tD^2}),$$

where we understand τ as

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

For open M^n $\text{ind} D$ in general is not defined since τe^{-tD^2} is not of trace class. The appropriate approach on open manifolds is relative index theory for pairs of operators D, D' . If D, D' are selfadjoint in the same Hilbert space and $e^{tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ would be of trace class then

$$\text{ind}(D, D') := \text{tr} (\tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2})) \quad (1.12)$$

makes sense, but at the first glance (1.12) should depend on t .

The admitted perturbations then must be τ -compatible, i.e. $[\nabla', \tau] = 0$, $[\tau, X \cdot]_+ = 0$. Then we prove in theorem 9.1 that if $E' \in \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$ then

$$\text{tr} \tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2}) \quad (1.13)$$

is independent of t . A similar result has been proved in [2] under much more restrictive assumptions.

We conclude in 9.4 that under the hypothesis of 9.1 the pair $D, U^* i^* D' i U$ forms a supersymmetric scattering system. In particular, the restriction of $D, U^* i^* D' i U$ to their absolutely continous spectral subspaces are unitarily equivalent.

If moreover, $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$ then $\inf \sigma_e((U^* i^* D' i U)^2) > 0$ and

$$\text{tr} \tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2}) = \text{ind} D - \text{ind} D',$$

where as usual $\text{ind} D := \text{ind} D^+$. This is the content of theorem 9.6. In theorem 9.7 we admit more general perturbations for the relative index theorem. Thereafter we introduce the spectral shift function and show that under certain assumptions the scattering index $n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}')$ is constant and if additionally the essential spectrum has a gap above zero then even $n^c(D, \tilde{D}') = 0$.

The concluding section 10 is devoted to relative ζ -functions, η -functions, determinants and analytic torsion. Here we prove in theorem 10.4 that for $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$, $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$ a relative zeta-function $\zeta(s, D^2, \tilde{D}'^2)$ is defined for $\text{Re } s > -1$ and is holomorphic in $s = 0$. This allows to define relative determinants which are very important in QFT and which satisfy the usual rules. This is the content of theorem 10.5.

Unfortunately $g' \in \text{comp}^{1, r+1}(g)$ does not imply $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ for $E = (\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_{\Lambda^*})$, $E' = (\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}, g'_{\Lambda^*})$, since the fibre metric also changes, $g_{\Lambda^*} \longrightarrow g'_{\Lambda^*}$. Here we have to refine our considerations. This is performed in 10.6. We prove in theorem 10.7 that for $g' \in \text{comp}^{1, r+1}(g)$ and $\inf \sigma_e(\Delta|_{(\ker \Delta)^\perp}) > 0$ the relative analytic torsion τ_a , $\log \tau_a(M^n, g, g') := \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q q \cdot \zeta'_q(0, \Delta, \Delta')$ is well defined. Thereafter we present classes of examples where all assumptions are satisfied.

The final calculations are devoted to the relative η -function and η -invariant which is the content of theorem 10.11.

Part of our future attention will turn to combinatorial approaches of the topic of this paper.

2 Clifford bundles, generalized Dirac operators and Sobolev spaces

We recall for completeness very briefly the basic properties of generalized Dirac operators on open manifolds. Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold, $m \in M$, $Cl(T_m M, g_m)$ the corresponding Clifford algebra at m . $Cl(T_m, g_m)$ shall be complexified or not, depending on the other bundles and structure under consideration. A hermitian vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ is called a bundle of Clifford modules if each fibre E_m is a Clifford module over $Cl(T_m, g_m)$ with skew symmetric Clifford multiplication. We assume E to be endowed with a compatible connection ∇^E , i.e. ∇^E is metric and

$$\nabla_X^E(Y \cdot \Phi) = (\nabla_X^g Y) \cdot \Phi + Y \cdot (\nabla_X^E \Phi)$$

$X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\Phi \in \Gamma(E)$. Then we call the pair (E, ∇^E) a Clifford bundle. The composition

$$\Gamma(E) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(T^*M \otimes E) \xrightarrow{g} \Gamma(TM \otimes E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$$

shall be called the generalized Dirac operator D . We have $D = D(g, E, \nabla)$. If $X_1, \dots, X_n$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_m M$ then

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \cdot \nabla_{X_i}^E.$$

D is of first order elliptic, formally self-adjoint and

$$D^2 = \Delta^E + R,$$

where $\Delta^E = (\nabla^E)^* \nabla^E$ and $R \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$ is the bundle endomorphism

$$R\Phi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n X_i X_j R^E(X_i, X_j) \Phi.$$

Next we recall some associated functional spaces and their properties if we assume bounded geometry. These facts are contained in [10], [11] and partially in [13].

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a Clifford bundle, $\nabla = \nabla^E$, D the generalized Dirac operator. Then we define for $\Phi \in \Gamma(E)$, $p \geq 1$, $r \in \mathbf{Z}$, $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi|_{W^{p,r}} &:= \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |\nabla^i \Phi|_x^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ |\Phi|_{H^{p,r}} &:= \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |D^i \Phi|_x^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ W_r^p(E) &:= \{ \Phi \in \Gamma(E) \mid |\Phi|_{W^{p,r}} < \infty \}, \\ W^{p,r}(E) &:= \text{completion of } W_r^p \text{ w. r. t. } | \cdot |_{W^{p,r}}, \\ H_r^p(E) &:= \{ \Phi \in \Gamma(E) \mid |\Phi|_{H^{p,r}} < \infty \}, \\ H^{p,r}(E) &:= \text{completion of } H_r^p \text{ w. r. t. } | \cdot |_{H^{p,r}}. \end{aligned}$$

In a great part of our consideration we restrict to $p = 1, 2$. In the case $p = 2$ we write $W^{2,r} \equiv W^r$, $H^{2,r} \equiv H^r$ etc.. If $r < 0$ then we set

$$\begin{aligned} W^r(E) &:= \left(W^{-r}(E) \right)^*, \\ H^r(E) &:= \left(H^{-r}(E) \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Assume (M^n, g) complete. Then $C_c^\infty(E)$ is a dense subspace of $W^{p,1}(E)$ and $H^{p,1}(E)$. This follows from proposition 1.4 in [11]. If we use this density and the fact

$$|D\Phi(m)| \leq C \cdot |\nabla\Phi(m)|,$$

we obtain $|\Phi|_{H^{p,1}} \leq C' \cdot |\Phi|_{W^{p,1}}$ and a continuous embedding

$$W^{p,1}(E) \hookrightarrow H^{p,1}(E).$$

For $r > 1$ this cannot be established, and we need further assumptions. Consider as in the introduction the following conditions

$$\begin{array}{ll} (I) & r_{inj}(M, g) = \inf_{x \in M} r_{inj}(x) > 0, \\ (B_k(M, g)) & |(\nabla^g)^i R^g| \leq C_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k, \\ (B_k(E, \nabla^E)) & |(\nabla^g)^i R^E| \leq C_i, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k. \end{array}$$

It is a well known fact that for any open manifold and given $k, 0 \leq k \leq \infty$, there exists a metric g satisfying (I) and $(B_k(M, g))$. Moreover, (I) implies completeness of g .

Lemma 2.1 *Assume (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_k) . Then $C_c^\infty(E)$ is a dense subset of $W^{p,r}(E)$ and $H^{p,r}(E)$ for $0 \leq r \leq k + 2$.*

See [11], proposition 1.6 for a proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Assume (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_k) . Then there exists a continuous embedding*

$$W^{p,r}(E) \longrightarrow H^{p,r}(E), \quad 0 \leq r \leq k + 1.$$

Proof. According to 2.1, we are done if we can prove

$$|\Phi|_{H^{p,r}} \leq C \cdot |\Phi|_{W^{p,r}}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq k + 1$ and $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E)$. Perform induction. For $r = 0$ $|\Phi|_{H^{p,0}} = |\Phi|_{W^{p,0}}$. Assume $|\Phi|_{H^{p,r}} \leq C \cdot |\Phi|_{W^{p,r}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi|_{H^{p,r+1}} &\leq C \cdot (|\Phi|_{H^{p,r}} + |D^r D\Phi|_{H^{p,r}}) \\ &\leq C \cdot (|\Phi|_{W^{p,r}} + |D\Phi|_{W^{p,r}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, i = 1, \dots, n$ coordinate vectors fields which are orthonormal in $m \in M$. Then with $\nabla_i = \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}$

$$|\nabla^s D\Phi|_m^p \leq C \cdot \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s, j} |\nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \cdot \nabla_j \Phi|^p.$$

Now we apply the Leibniz rule and use the fact that in an atlas of normal charts the Christoffel symbols have bounded euclidean derivatives up to order $k - 1$. This yields

$$|\nabla^r D\Phi|_m^p \leq C \cdot \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}} |\nabla_{i_1} \dots \nabla_{i_{r+1}} \Phi|_m^p \text{ for } r \leq k,$$

i. e.

$$|D\Phi|_{W^{p,r}} \leq C \cdot |\Phi|_{W^{p,r+1}}$$

altogether

$$|\Phi|_{H^{p,r+1}} \leq C \cdot |\Phi|_{W^{p,r+1}}.$$

□

Remark. For $p = 2$ this proof is contained in [3].

□

Theorem 2.3 *Assume (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_k) and (E, ∇) with (B_k) and $p = 2$. Then for $r \leq k$*

$$H^{2,r}(E) \equiv H^r(E) \cong W^r(E) \equiv W^{2,r}(E)$$

as equivalent Hilbert spaces.

Proof. According to 2.2., $W^r(E) \subseteq H^r(E)$ continuously. Hence we have to show $H^r(E) \subseteq W^r(E)$ continuously. The latter follows from the local elliptic inequality, a uniformly locally finite cover by normal charts of fixed radius, uniform trivializations and the existence of uniform elliptic constants. The proof is performed in [3].

□

Remark. 2.3 holds for $1 < p < \infty$ (cf. [13]). $\square$

As it is clear from the definition that the spaces $W^{p,k}(E)$ can be defined for any Riemannian vector bundle (E, h_E, ∇^E) . We assume this more general case and define additionally

$${}^{b,s}W(E) := \left\{ \varrho \in C^s(E) \mid {}^{b,s}|\varrho| := \sum_{i=0}^s \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla^i \varrho|_x < \infty \right\}$$

and in the case of a Clifford bundle

$${}^{b,s}H(E) := \left\{ \varrho \in C^s(E) \mid {}^{b,s,D}|\varrho| := \sum_{i=0}^s \sup_{x \in M} |D^i \varrho|_x < \infty \right\}.$$

${}^{b,s}W(E)$ is a Banach space and coincides with the completion of the space of all $\varrho \in \Gamma(E)$ with ${}^{b,s}|\varrho| < \infty$ with respect to ${}^{b,s}||$.

Theorem 2.4 *Let (E, h, ∇^E) be a Riemannian vector bundle satisfying (I), $(B_k(M^n, g)), B_k(E; \nabla)$.*

a) *Assume $k \geq r, k \geq 1, r - \frac{n}{p} \geq s - \frac{n}{q}, r \geq s, q \geq p$, then*

$$W^{p,r}(E) \hookrightarrow W^{q,s}(E) \tag{2.1}$$

continuously.

b) *If $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + s$ then*

$$W^{p,r}(E) \hookrightarrow {}^{b,s}W(E) \tag{2.2}$$

continuously.

We refer to [13] for the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.5 *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a Clifford bundle satisfying (I), $(B_k(M)), (B_k(E))$, $k \geq r, r > \frac{n}{2} + s$. Then*

$$H^r(E) \hookrightarrow {}^{b,s}H(E) \tag{2.3}$$

continuously.

Proof. We apply 2.3, (2.2) and obtain

$$H^r(E) \hookrightarrow {}^{b,s}W(E). \quad (2.4)$$

Quite similar as in the proof of 2.2.,

$$H^r(E) \hookrightarrow {}^{b,s}W(E). \quad (2.5)$$

continuously. $\square$

A key role for everything in the sequel plays the module structure theorem for Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $(E_i, h_i, D_i) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be vector bundles with (I) , $(B_k(M^n, g))$, $(B_k(E_i, \nabla_i))$, $i = 1, 2$. Assume $0 \leq r \leq r_1, r_2 \leq k$. If $r = 0$ assume*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r - \frac{n}{p} < r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} < r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} + r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} \\ 0 < r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 < r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_2} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

If $r > 0$ assume $\frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} r - \frac{n}{p} < r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} < r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} + r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \end{array} \right\} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} \leq r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \\ r - \frac{n}{p} < r_1 - \frac{n}{p_1} + r_2 - \frac{n}{p_2} \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the tensor product of sections defines a continuous bilinear map

$$W^{p_1, r_1}(E_1, \nabla_1) \times W^{p_2, r_2}(E_2, \nabla_2) \longrightarrow W^{p, r}(E_1 \otimes E_2, \nabla_1 \otimes \nabla_2).$$

We refer to [13] for the proof. □

Define for $u \in C^0(M)$, $c > 0$

$$\bar{u}_c(x) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}B_c(x)} \int_{B_c(x)} u(y) \, d\text{vol}_y(g).$$

Lemma 2.7 *Let (M^n, g) be complete, $\text{Ric}(g) \geq k$, $k \in \mathbf{R}$, $k > 0$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(n, k, R)$, depending only on n, k, R such that for any $c \in]0, R[$ and any $u \in W^{1,1}(M) \cap C^\infty(M)$*

$$\int_M |u - \bar{u}_c| d\text{vol}_x(g) \leq C \cdot c \cdot \int_M |\nabla u| \, d\text{vol}_x(g).$$

Proof. For $u \in C_c^\infty(M)$ the proof is performed in [18], p. 31–33. But what is only needed in the proof is $\int |u| dx, \int |\nabla u| dx < \infty$ (even only $\int |\nabla u| dx < \infty$).

The key is a the lemma of Buser,

$$\int_{B_c(x)} |u - \bar{u}_c| \, dy \leq C \cdot c \cdot \int_{B_c(x)} |\nabla u| \, dy.$$

□

Remark. The conditions $u, \nabla u \in C^\infty$ are not necessary, $u \in C^1$ is sufficient. □

Proposition 2.8 *Let $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Riemannian vector bundle, (M^n, g) with $(I), (B_0), r > n + 1, 0 < c < r_{\text{inj}}$ and $\eta \in W^{1,r}(E)$. Then $\overline{|\eta|}_c \in W^{1,0}(M) \equiv L_1(M)$, where*

$$\overline{|\eta|}_c(x) := \frac{1}{\text{vol}B_c(x)} \int_{B_c(x)} |\eta(y)| \, dy.$$

Proof. Set $u(x) = |\eta(x)|$. Then $\overline{u(x)} = \overline{|\eta|}_c(x)$ and, according to Kato's inequality,

$$\int |\nabla u| dx = \int |\nabla |\eta|| dx \leq \int |\nabla \eta| dx < \infty.$$

Hence we obtain from 2.7, $|u| = |\eta| \in L_1$, $|\eta| - \overline{|\eta|}_c \in L_1$,

$$\overline{|\eta|}_c \in L_1. \quad (2.8)$$

□

Remark. For (2.2) is the assumption $(B_0(E))$ superfluous. Nevertheless, in our applications we even have $(B_k(E))$. □

Finally we recall for clarity and distinctness a fact which will be very important later. Let $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Riemannian vector bundle with $(I), (B_k(M)), (B_k(E)), h \geq r + 1, r > \frac{n}{p} + 1, 0 < c < r_{inj}$. Then the spaces $W^{p,r}(E|_{B_c(x)}) = \{\varrho \mid \varrho \text{ distributional section of } E|_{B_c(x)} \text{ s. t. } |\varrho|_{p,r} < \infty\}$ are well defined, $x \in M$ arbitrary. Radial parallel translation of an orthonormal basis defines an isomorphism

$$A_x : W^{p,r}(E|_{B_c(x)}) \xrightarrow{\cong} W^{p,r}(B_c(0), V^N), \quad (2.9)$$

$B_c(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^n, V^N = \mathbf{R}^N$ or $\mathbf{C}^N, N = \text{rk} E$. We conclude from $(B_k(M)), (B_k(E)), k \geq r + 1$ and [15] that there exists constants c_1, C_1 s.t.

$$c_1 \cdot |\varrho|_{p,r,B_c(x)} \leq |A_x \varrho|_{p,r,B_c(0)} \leq C_1 \cdot |\varrho|_{p,r,B_c(x)}, \quad (2.10)$$

c_1, C_1 independent of x . Moreover, if $\varrho \in W^{p,r}(E)$ then $\varrho|_{B_c(x)} \in W^{p,r}(E|_{B_c(x)})$. Similarly,

$$c_2^{b,s} |\varrho|_{B_c(x)} \leq {}^{b,s} A_x \varrho|_{B_c(0)} \leq C_2 \cdot {}^{b,s} |\varrho|_{B_c(x)}, \quad (2.11)$$

c_2, C_2 independent of x . $(B_k(M)), (B_k(E)), 0 < c < r_{inj}$ imply that $B_c(x)$ satisfies all required smoothness conditions and we obtain from the Sobolev embedding theorem, (2.10), (2.11)

$$W^{p,r}(E|_{B_c(x)}) \hookrightarrow {}^{b,1} W(E|_{B_c(x)}), \quad (2.12)$$

$${}^{b,1} |\varrho|_{B_c(x)} \leq C \cdot |\varrho|_{p,r,B_c(x)}, \quad (2.13)$$

C independent of x .

3 Uniform structures of open manifolds, metric, vector bundles, connections and Clifford structures

As we pointed out in [6], there exist in any dimension $n \geq 2$ for closed manifolds only countably many diffeomorphism types but for open manifolds uncountably many homotopy types. Moreover, there exists no nontrivial additive number valued invariant which is defined for all open (oriented) manifolds. We attack these two problems as follows. We developed in [6], [7], [8] a classification approach for open manifolds consisting of 4 main steps.

1. Definition of uniform structures of open manifolds.
2. Characterization of the (arc) components which serve as rough equivalence classes.
3. Classification of this components by invariants.
4. Classification of the elements inside a component by invariants.

The components in the uniform structure under consideration are the rough equivalence classes. In the for us essential cases they contain only countably many diffeomorphism types. In this paper, we are concerned essentially with step 4 above. We define number valued invariants for the manifolds inside a component. But according to the remark above, this will be relative invariants. This means we fix one manifold M_0 inside a component and construct for any other manifold M an invariant defined by the pair (M_0, M) . At the purely Riemannian level this will be e. g. the relative torsion. But we extend this approach to the more general case of Clifford structures, generalized Dirac operators and associated relative zeta functions, in particular we define relative determinants which play, as well known, a big role in partition functions in QFT.

We give in this and the next section a brief outline of the uniform structure approach necessary for the sequel and start with uniform structures of open manifolds. A complete Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) defines a proper metric space. Therefore we started in [6] with uniform structures of proper metric space, i. g. the Gromov–Hausdorff uniform structure or series of Lipschitz uniform structures. The general image for Lipschitz uniform structures is as follows. Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the set of (isometry classes of) proper metric spaces. Denote for a Lipschitz map $\Phi : X = (X, d_X) \longrightarrow (Y, d_Y) = Y$,

$\text{dil } \Phi = \sup_{x_1 \neq x_2} d(\Phi x_1, \Phi x_2)/d(x_1, x_2)$ and define

$$d_L(X, Y) = \begin{cases} \inf \{ \max\{0, \log \text{dil } \Phi\} + \max\{0, \log \text{dil } \Psi\} + \sup_x d(\Psi \Phi x, x) \\ + \sup_y d(\Phi \Psi y, y) \mid \Phi : X \longrightarrow Y, \Psi : Y \longrightarrow X \text{ Lipschitz maps} \} \\ \text{if } \{\dots\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \inf \{\dots\} < \infty \\ \infty \text{ in the other case.} \end{cases}$$

$X \underset{L}{\sim} Y$ if $d_L(X, Y) = 0$ is an equivalence relation, $\mathfrak{M}_L := \mathfrak{M} / \underset{L}{\sim}$. Set for $\delta > 0$

$$V_\delta = \{(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{M}_L^2 \mid d_L(X, Y) < \delta\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta > 0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_L(\mathfrak{M}_L)$. $\square$

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}_L}$ be the corresponding completion.

Theorem 3.2 a) $\overline{\mathfrak{M}_L} = \mathfrak{M}_L$.

b) $\mathfrak{M}_L$ is locally arcwise connected, hence components coincide with arc components.

c) $\mathfrak{M}_L = \sum_{i \in I} \text{comp}(X_i)$ as topological sum.

d) $\text{comp}(X) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{M}_L \mid d_L(X, Y) < \infty\}$. $\square$

These definitions and considerations do not take into account the smooth structure and the Riemannian metric if $(X, d_X) = (X^n, g, d_g)$ is a Riemannian manifold. If we restrict to Riemannian manifolds we restrict the class of admitted maps and replace d_L by sharper distances. First we start with id_m as admitted maps and define the Sobolev uniform structures for the Riemannian metrics on a fixed manifold M and for the Clifford connections on a Clifford bundle $(E, h) \longrightarrow (M, g)$ without fixed connection ∇^h .

Denote by $\mathcal{M}(I, B_k)$ the set of all metrics g on M satisfying the conditions (I) and (B_k) .

Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty, k \geq r \geq \frac{n}{p} + 2, \delta > 0$ and set with $C(n, \delta) = 1 + \delta + \delta\sqrt{2n(n-1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta &= \left\{ (g, g') \in M(I, B_k)^2 \mid C(n, \delta)^{-1}g \leq g' \leq C(n, \delta)g \text{ and } |g - g'|_{g,p,r} \right. \\ &:= \left. \left(\int \left(|g - g'|_{g,x}^p + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} |(\nabla^g)^i(\nabla^g - \nabla^{g'})|_{g,x}^p \right) d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here g, g' quasi isometric means $C_1 \cdot g \leq g' \leq C_2 \cdot g$ in the sense of quadratic forms. This is equivalent to ${}^b|g - g'|_g < \infty$ and ${}^b|g - g'|_{g'} < \infty$, where for a tensor t ${}^b|t|_g = \sup_{x \in M} |t|_{g,x}$.

Proposition 3.3 *Assume p, k, r as above. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}^{p,r}(M(I, B_k))$.*

We refer to [12] for a proof. The key to the proof is the module structure theorem. $\square$

If we would replace in the definition of V_δ $|g - g'|_{g,p,r}$ above by $|g - g'|_{g,p,r} = \left(\int \left(\sum_{i=0}^r |(\nabla^g)^i(g - g')|_{g,x}^p \right) d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ then we would get an equivalent uniform structure.

Let $\mathcal{M}_r^p(I, B_k) = \mathcal{M}(I, B_k)$ endowed with the uniform topology. $\mathcal{M}^{p,r} := \overline{\mathcal{M}_r^p}$ the completion. If $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 1$ then $\mathcal{M}^{p,r}$ still consists of C^1 -metrics, i.e. does not contain semi definite elements. This has been proved by Salomonsen in [20].

Theorem 3.4 *Let $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2, g \in \mathcal{M}(I, B_k)$, $U^{p,r}(g) = \left\{ g' \in \mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k) \mid {}^b|g - g'|_g < \infty, {}^b|g - g'|'_g < \infty \text{ and } |g - g'|_{g,p,r} < \infty \right\}$ and denote by $\text{comp}(g) \subset \mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k)$ the component of g in $\mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k)$. Then*

$$\text{comp}(g) = U^{p,r}(g), \quad (3.1)$$

$\text{comp}(g)$ is a Banach manifold, for $p = 2$ a Hilbert manifold and $\mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k)$ has a representation as topological sum

$$\mathcal{M}^{p,r}(I, B_k) = \sum_{j \in J} \text{comp}(g_j), \quad (3.2)$$

J an uncountable set.

The proof is performed in [12]. $\square$

Remarks 3.5 1. If M^n is compact then J consists of one element.

2. All metrics in the completed space are at least of class C^2 . Hence curvature is well defined. $\square$

Let $(E, h) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Clifford bundle without a fixed connection, (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_k) .

Set

$$C_E(B_k) = \left\{ \nabla \middle| \begin{array}{l} \nabla \text{ is Clifford connection, metric with respect to } h \text{ and satisfies} \\ (B_k(E, \nabla)) \end{array} \right\}$$

Assume $(E, h) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ as above, $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2, \delta > 0$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta &= \left\{ (\nabla, \nabla') \in C_E(B_k)^2 \middle| |\nabla - \nabla'|_{\nabla, p, r} \right. \\ &:= \left. \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |\nabla(\nabla - \nabla')|_x^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \delta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.6 Assume p, k, r as above. Then $\mathcal{B} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}^{p,r}(C_E(B_k))$.

We refer to [10] for a proof. $\square$

Let $C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$ be the completion of $(C_E(B_k), \mathfrak{U}^{p,r}(C_E(B_k)))$. If $\nabla, \nabla' \in C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$ then $\nabla - \nabla'$ is a 1-form $-\eta$ with values in $\mathcal{G}_E$ satisfying

$$\eta_x(Y \cdot \Phi) = Y \cdot \eta_x(\Phi). \quad (3.3)$$

As well known, a metric connection ∇ in E induces a connection ∇ in $\mathcal{G}_E$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}) &:= \left\{ \eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_E) \middle| \eta \text{ satisfies (3.3)} \right\}, \\ \Omega_r^{1,p}(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}, \nabla) &:= \left\{ \eta \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}) \middle| \right. \\ &\quad \left. |\eta|_{\nabla, p, r} := \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |\nabla^i \eta|_x^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \\ \Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}, \nabla) &:= \overline{\Omega_r^{1,p}(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}, \nabla)}^{\|\cdot\|_{\nabla, p, r}}. \end{aligned}$$

If (M^n, g) satisfies $(I), (B_k)$ then

$$\Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}, \nabla) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}_E)}^{||\nabla, p, r} = \left\{ \eta \text{ distributional} \mid |\eta|_{\nabla, p, r} < \infty \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$r \leq k + 2.$$

Theorem 3.7 *Assume $(E, h) \rightarrow (M^n, g), p, k, r$ as above. Denote for $\nabla \in C_E(B_k)$ by $\text{comp}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$ the component of ∇ in $C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$. Then*

$$\text{comp}(\nabla) = \nabla + \Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E^{\text{CL}}, \nabla) \quad (3.5)$$

and $C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$ has a representation as topological sum

$$C_E^{p,r}(B_k) = \sum_{j \in J} \text{comp}(\nabla_j). \quad (3.6)$$

The proof is performed in [10]. $\square$

Remarks 3.8 1. If M^n is compact then $C_E^{p,r}(B_k) = C_E^{p,r}$ consists of one component

2. If ∇ is non smooth then one sets $\nabla^i = (\nabla_0 + (\nabla - \nabla_0))^i$, $\nabla_0 \in \text{comp} \nabla \cap C_E(B_k)$, and the right hand side makes sense.

3. All connections in the complete space are at least of class C^2 . Hence curvature is well defined. $\square$

For the sequel, we must sharpen our considerations concerning Sobolev spaces. Let $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Riemannian vector bundle. The connection ∇ enters into the definition of the Sobolev spaces $W^{p,r}$. Hence we should write $W^{p,r}(E, \nabla)$. Now there arises the natural question, how do the spaces $W^{p,r}(E, \nabla)$ depend on ∇ ? We present here one answer. Other considerations are performed in [9], [10].

Proposition 3.9 *Let $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Riemannian vector bundle with $(I), (B_k), (B_k(E, \nabla))$, $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 1, 1 \leq p < \infty$. Suppose $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{p,r}(B_k)$, ∇' smooth, i. e. $\nabla' = \nabla + \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E, \nabla) \cap C^\infty$. Then*

$$W^{p,i}(E, \nabla) = W^{p,i}(E, \nabla'), \quad 0 \leq i \leq r, \quad (3.7)$$

as equivalent Banach spaces.

For the proof we refer to [9], [10]. The proof includes some combinatorial considerations and essentially uses the module structure theorem. This is the reason why we assumed $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 1$. But this assumption can be weakened. We only need the validity of the module structure theorem. $\square$

Remark 3.10 The assumption η smooth is superfluous. As we mentioned already several times, we can define $W^{p,r}(E, \nabla')$ and prove (3.7) for $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla)$ only. $\square$

Corollary 3.11 *Suppose $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ as above, $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$, $\nabla' = \nabla + \eta$, $\eta \in \Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E, \nabla)$. Then*

$$W^{2p,i}(E, \nabla) = W^{2p,i}(E, \nabla'), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. $r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$ implies $r - \frac{n}{p} \leq \frac{r}{2} - \frac{n}{2p}$, $2p \geq p$, $r \geq \frac{r}{2}$, i. e.

$$\Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathcal{G}_E, \nabla) \subseteq \Omega^{1,2p,\frac{r}{2}}(\mathcal{G}_E, \nabla).$$

Now we apply the proof of 3.9 replacing $p \rightarrow 2p$, $r \rightarrow \frac{r}{2}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.12 *Suppose $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ a Clifford bundle with the conditions above for $p = 1$, i. e. $k \geq r > n + 2$, $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k)$, ∇' smooth. Then*

$$W^{2,i}(E, \nabla) \equiv W^i(E, \nabla) = W^i(E, \nabla') \equiv W^{2,i}(E, \nabla'), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Corollary 3.13 *Assume the hypotheses of 3.7. and write $D = D(\nabla, g)$, $D' = D(\nabla', g)$. Then*

$$H^i(E, D) = H^i(E, D'), \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}. \quad (3.10)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{D}_{D^i} = \mathcal{D}_{D'^i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{D^i}$ denotes the domain of definition of $\overline{D^i}$.

Proof. (3.11) follows from the result of Chernoff that D^i is essentially self adjoint on $C_c^\infty(E)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{D^i} = H^i(E, D)$. (3.10) follows from (3.9) and 2.3. $\square$

Finally we make some remarks concerning the essential spectrum of D and D^2 . More precisely, we prove that it is an invariant of $\text{comp}(\nabla)$. We have several distinct proofs for this and present here a particularly simple one.

We consider Weyl sequences and restrict to orthonormal ones. Denote by $\sigma_e(D)$ the essential spectrum of D . $\lambda \in \sigma_e(D)$ if and only if there exists a Weyl sequence for λ , i. e. an orthonormal sequence $(\Phi_\nu)_\nu, \Phi_\nu \in \mathcal{D}_D$; s. t.

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (D - \lambda)\Phi_\nu = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.14 *Suppose $\lambda \in \sigma_e(D)$. Then there exists a Weyl sequence $(\Phi_\nu)_\nu$ for λ s. t. for any compact subset $K \subset M$*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(K, E)} = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

This is Lemma 4.29 of [3]. One simply chooses an exhaustion $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots, \bigcup K_i = M$, starts with an arbitrary Weyl sequence $(\Psi_\nu)_\nu$, produces by the Rellich lemma and a diagonal choice a subsequence χ_ν such that $(\chi_\nu)_\nu$ converges on any K_i in the L_2 -sense and defines $\Phi_\nu := (\chi_{2\nu+1} - \chi_{2\nu})/\sqrt{2}$. $(\Phi_\nu)_\nu$ has the desired properties. $\square$

Proposition 3.15 *Suppose $(E, h, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$ a Clifford bundle with $(I), (B_k(M)), (B_k(E, \nabla)), k \geq r > n + 2, n \geq 2, \nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k), D = D(\nabla, g), D' = D(\nabla', g)$. Then*

$$\sigma_e(D) = \sigma_e(D'). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof.

$$D' = \sum_i e_i \nabla'_{e_i} = \sum_i e_i \cdot (\nabla_{e_i} + \eta_{e_i}(\cdot)) = D + \eta^{op},$$

where the operator η^{op} acts as

$$\eta^{op}(\Phi)|_x = \sum_i e_i \cdot \eta_{e_i}(\Phi)|_x.$$

Then, pointwise, $|\eta^{op}|_x \leq C \cdot |\eta|_x$, C independent of x . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K = K(\varepsilon) \subset M$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in M \setminus K} |\eta|_x < \frac{\varepsilon}{C}, \quad i.e. \quad \sup_{x \in M \setminus K} |\eta^{op}|_x < \varepsilon. \quad (3.15)$$

Assume now $\lambda \in \sigma_e(D)$, $(\Phi_\nu)_\nu$ a Weyl sequence as in (3.13). According to (3.11), $\Phi_\nu \in \mathcal{D}_{D'}$. Then

$$(D' - \lambda)\Phi_\nu = (D' - D)\Phi_\nu + (D - \lambda)\Phi_\nu.$$

By assumption, $(D - \lambda)\Phi_\nu \rightarrow 0$. Moreover,

$$|(D' - D)\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M,E)} = |\eta^{op}\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M,E)} \leq C \cdot (|\eta\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(K,E)} + |\eta\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M \setminus K,E)}).$$

$|\eta\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(K,E)} \rightarrow 0$ and

$$C \cdot |\eta\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M \setminus K,E)} \leq C \cdot \sup_{x \in M \setminus K} |\eta|_x \cdot |\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M \setminus K,E)} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $(D' - \lambda)\Phi_\nu \rightarrow 0$, $\lambda \in \sigma_e(D')$, $\sigma_e(D) \subseteq \sigma_e(D')$. Exchanging the role of D, D' , we obtain $\sigma_e(D') \subseteq \sigma_e(D)$. $\square$

Until now we fixed the fibre metric h and allowed variation of the metric connection ∇^h . The next step is to admit simultaneous variation of g, h and ∇^h , i. e. we consider Riemannian vector bundles $(E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ $\text{rk} E = N$ with $(I), (B_k(g)), (B_k(\nabla^h)), \nabla^h$ a metric connection for h and admit variation of g, h, ∇^h in this class. Denote by $\text{Geom}(E \rightarrow M, k)$ this set. Let $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\delta > 0$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta = & \left\{ ((g, h, \nabla^h), (g'm, h', \nabla^{h'})) \in \text{Geom}(E \rightarrow M, k)^2 \mid \right. \\ & C \left(n, \frac{\delta}{3} \right)^{-1} g \leq g' \leq C(n, \delta)g, \quad C \left(N, \frac{\delta}{3} \right)^{-1} h \leq h' \leq C \left(N, \frac{\delta}{3} h \right), \\ & |g - g'|_{g,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}, \quad |h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}, \\ & \left. |\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Here

$$|h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} = \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |(\nabla^h)^i(h - h')|_{g,h,x}^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$|\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} = \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |(\nabla^h)^i(\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'})|_{g,h,x}^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We remark that $\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}$ is still tensorial although $\nabla^h, \nabla^{h'}$ belong to different fibre metrics.

Proposition 3.16 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}^{p,r}(\text{Geom}(E \rightarrow M, k))$.

Sketch of proof. For the symmetry we have to prove that $|g - g'|_{g,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}$, $|h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}$, $|\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}$ imply the existence of $\delta' = \delta'(\delta) > 0$ s. t., replacing in all three expressions $| \cdot |_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r}$ by $| \cdot |_{g',h',\nabla^{h'},p,r}$, these are $< \frac{\delta'}{3}$ and $\delta'(\delta) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$. For the first expression we proved this in [12]. For the second and third expression this is quite analogous. We have to perform a simple induction and we indicate only the initial steps. The main point are the combinatorial formulas in [10], [12]. Nevertheless, there are some deviations from [10], [12] since we have here simultaneous variation of g, h, ∇^h . Assume

$$|g - g'|_{g,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}, \quad |h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3}, \quad |\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \frac{\delta}{3} \quad (3.17)$$

and consider

$$|(\nabla^{h'}(h - h'))|_{g',h',x} \quad \text{and} \quad |(\nabla^{h'})^i(\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'})|_{g',h',x} \quad (3.18)$$

For $i = 0$, according to (3.16) with $\nabla = \nabla^h, \nabla' = \nabla^{h'}$

$$C_1|h - h'|_{h,x} \leq |h - h'|_{h',x} \leq C_2|h - h'|_{h,x} \quad (3.19)$$

$$C_3|\nabla - \nabla'|_{g,h,x} \leq |\nabla - \nabla'|_{g',h',x} \leq C_4|\nabla - \nabla'|_{g,h,x} \quad (3.20)$$

and similarly for the L_p -norm. (3.20) is a consequence of the fact that quasiisometry of the metrics g and g' , h and h' induce quasiisometry of pointwise

norms of tensors and of volume elements. Let $i = 1$. Then, omitting the indices at the pointwise norms,

$$|\nabla'(h - h')| \leq |(\nabla' - \nabla)(h - h')| + |\nabla(h - h')|, \quad (3.21)$$

$$|\nabla'(\nabla - \nabla')| \leq |(\nabla' - \nabla)(\nabla - \nabla')| + |\nabla(\nabla - \nabla')| \quad (3.22)$$

The first terms on the r. h. s. are in L_p according to (3.19), (3.20) and the module structure theorem. The second term is in L_p by the assumption (3.17). All L_p -norms are controlled by (3.17), i. e. by a polynomial in $\frac{\delta}{3}$ with positive coefficients and without constant terms. For $i = 2$. $\nabla'^2 = \nabla' \circ \nabla'$. The left hand ∇' on the right hand side is of the form $\nabla^{g'} \otimes \nabla^{h'}$ and this can be rewritten as

$$(\nabla^{g'} - \nabla^g) \otimes (\nabla^{h'} - \nabla^h) + (\nabla^{g'} - \nabla^g) \otimes \nabla^h + \nabla^g \otimes (\nabla^{h'} - \nabla^h) + \nabla^g \otimes \nabla^h. \quad (3.23)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'^2 &= \nabla'(\nabla' - \nabla) + \nabla'\nabla \\ &= (\nabla' - \nabla)(\nabla' - \nabla) + \nabla(\nabla' - \nabla) + (\nabla' - \nabla)\nabla + \nabla^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Using this and inserting into the left hand (∇') 's the expression (3.23), into the left hand (∇) 's $\nabla = \nabla^g \otimes \nabla^h$, we obtain from the assumption (3.17), the settled case $i = 1$ and from the module structure theorem

$$|\nabla'^2(h - h')|_{g', h', \nabla^{h'}, p, r} < \frac{\delta_2}{3}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$|\nabla'^2(\nabla - \nabla')|_{g', h', \nabla^{h'}, p, r} < \frac{\delta_2}{3}, \quad (3.26)$$

where δ_2 is a polynomial in $\frac{\delta}{3}$ with positive coefficients and without constant term, i. e. $\delta_2(\delta) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$. For higher i we apply the combinatorial considerations performed in [10], [12] and obtain that $|g - g'|_{g, p, r}$, $|h - h'|_{g, h, \nabla^h, p, r}$, $|\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g, h, \nabla^h, p, r}$ are bounded by polynomials in $|\nabla^i(g - g')|_{g, p}$, $|(\nabla^h)^j(h - h')|_{g, p}$, $|(\nabla^h)^k(\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'})|_{g, p}$ $0 \leq i, j, k \leq r$ without constant term and with positive coefficients. This indicates the proof for the symmetry of $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta > 0}$. The proof of transitivity is a little more difficult and completely modeled in [10], [12]. $\square$

Let $\text{Geom}_r^p(E \rightarrow M, k)$ be the pair $(\text{Geom}(E \rightarrow M, k), \mathfrak{A}^{p, r})$ and denote by $\text{Geom}^{p, r}(E \rightarrow M, k)$ the completion.

Proposition 3.17 Denote by $\text{arccomp}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ the arc component of (g, h, ∇^h) in $\text{Geom}^{p,r}(E \rightarrow M, k)$. If $(g', h', \nabla^{h'}) \in \text{arccomp}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ then

$$|g - g'|_{g,p,r} < \infty, \quad |h - h'|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \infty, \quad |\nabla^h - \nabla^{h'}|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \infty.$$

□

We are not able to prove that $\text{Geom}^{p,r}(E \rightarrow M, k)$ is locally arcwise connected. The reason for this is indicated after proposition 3.19.

Until now we defined our uniform structures for fixed M or fixed $E \rightarrow M$, respectively. It is possible and for many applications in classification theory necessary to include maps into the definition of the uniform structures. We define, as we did in $\mathfrak{U}_L$. This is an extensive task. We did some work in this direction in [6] and refer to this paper. For our main theorems only these uniform structures are of interest. One we introduce now, the others in the next section. First we recall some facts from mapping theory.

Let (M^n, g) , $(N^{n'}, h)$ be open, satisfying (I) and (B_k) and let $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$. Then the differential $df = f_* = Tf$ is a section of $T^*M \otimes f^*TN$. f^*TN is endowed with the induced connection $f^*\nabla^h$. The connections ∇^g and $f^*\nabla^h$ induce connections ∇ in all tensor bundles $T_s^q(M) \otimes f^*T_v^u(N)$. Therefore, $\nabla^m df$ is well defined. Assume $m \leq k$. We denote by $C^{\infty,m}(M, N)$ the set of all $f \in C^\infty(M, N)$ satisfying

$${}^{b,m}|df| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sup_{x \in M} |\nabla^i df|_x < \infty.$$

Consider complete manifolds (M^n, g) (M'^n, g') and $C^{\infty,m}(M, M')$. A diffeomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M'$ will be called m -bibounded if $f \in C^{\infty,m}(M, M')$ and $f^{-1} \in C^{\infty,m}(M', M)$. Sufficient for this is 1. f is a diffeomorphism, 2. $f \in C^{\infty,m}(M, M')$, 3. $\inf_x |\lambda|_{\min}(df)_x > 0$.

Starting with bibounded diffeomorphisms of $C^{\infty,m+1}$, $m+1 \geq r+1$, one can define a Sobolev uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}^{p,r}(\mathcal{D}^{m+1}(M))$, thus getting a completed Sobolev diffeomorphism group $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(M, g)$. This procedure is really very complicated and the content of [9]. A bibounded C^1 diffeomorphism f is in $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(M, g)$ if and only if $f = \exp X \circ \tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f}$ is smooth and $(r+1)$ -bibounded, X a vector field along $\tilde{f}$, $X \in \Omega^{p,r}(\tilde{f}^*TM)$ small. Since we mostly do not consider completed versions in our manifold classification approach, we restrict to a subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(M, g)$. Here $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}$ if $f = \exp X \circ \tilde{f}$, $\tilde{f}$

$(r+1)$ -bibounded and X a smooth vector field along $\tilde{f}$ satisfying $|X|_{p,r} < \infty$.

Recall $|X|_{p,r} = \left(\int \sum_{i=0}^r |(\tilde{f}^* \nabla)^i X|_{g,x}^p d\text{vol}_x(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

We define as generalization of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(M, g)$ the spaces $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2))$ and $C^{\infty,p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2))$. Here $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(M_1, M_2)$ if $f = \exp X \circ \tilde{f}$. $\tilde{f} : M_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} M_2$ an $(r+1)$ -bibounded smooth diffeomorphism, X a smooth vector field along $\tilde{f}$ with $|X|_{p,r}$ small; $f \in C^{\infty,p,r}(M_1, M_2)$ if $f = \exp X \circ \tilde{f}$. $f \in X^{\infty,r+1}(M_1, M_2)$ and X a smooth vector field along f with $|X|_{p,r}$ small. Small means in all cases $\sup_x |X|_x < r_{inj}$, which can be assured by a sufficiently small Sobolev norm.

Consider pairs $(M_1^n, g_1), (M_2^n, g_2) \in \mathfrak{M}^n(mf, I, B_k)$ with the following property.

There exist compact submanifolds $K_1^n \subset M_1^n$, $K_2^n \subset M_2^n$ and an $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(M_1^n \setminus K_1, M_2^n \setminus K_2)$.

For such pairs define

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) &:= \inf \left\{ \max\{0, \log^b |df|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |dh|\} \right. \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in M_1} \text{dist}(x, hfx) + \sup_{y \in M_2} \text{dist}(y, fhy) \\ &\quad + |(f|_{M_1 \setminus K_1})^* g_2 - g_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}|_{g,p,r} \\ &\quad \left| \begin{array}{l} f \in C^{\infty,p,r}(M_1, M_2), h \in C^{\infty,p,r}(M_1, M_2) \\ \text{and for some } K_1 \subset M \text{ holds} \\ f|_{M_1 \setminus K_1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(M_1 \setminus K_1, f(M_1 \setminus K_1)) \\ \text{and } h|_{f(M_1 \setminus K_1)} = (f|_{M_1 \setminus K_1})^{-1} \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

if $\{\dots\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf\{\dots\} < \infty$. In the other case set $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) = \infty$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} V_\delta &= \{((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) \in (\mathfrak{M}^n(mf, I, B_k))^2 \\ &\quad | d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) < \delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.18 $\mathfrak{B} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure on $\mathfrak{M}^n(mf, I, B_k)/\sim$, where $(M_1, g_1) \sim (M_2, g_2)$ if $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) = 0$. $\square$

Denote the corresponding uniform structure with $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{L,diff,rel}^{n,p,r}$ for $\mathfrak{M}^n(mf, I, B_k)$ endowed with this uniform structure.

It follows again from the definition that $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) < \infty$ implies $d_L((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) < \infty$. Hence $(M_2, g_2) \in \text{comp}_L(M_1, g_1)$, i. e.

$$\{(M_2, g_2) \in \mathfrak{M}_{L,diff,rel}^{n,p,r} \mid d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(M_1, M_2) < \infty\} \subseteq \text{comp}_L(M_1, g_1).$$

For this reason we denote the left hand side $\{\dots\}$ by $\text{comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(M_1, g_1) = \{\dots\} = \{\dots\} \cap \text{comp}_L(M_1, g_1)$ keeping in mind that this is not an arc component but a subset (of manifolds) of a Lipschitz arc component, endowed with the induced topology.

We extend all this to Riemannian vector bundles $(E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ of bounded geometry. First we have to define $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(E \rightarrow M)$. For this we consider the total space E as open Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry with respect to the Kaluza–Klein metric and restrict the uniform structure of [9] to bundle maps $f = (f_E, f_M)$. Quite similar we define for $E_i = ((E_i, h_i, \nabla^{h_i}) \longrightarrow (M_i^n, g_i))$, $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{D}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2)$ by corresponding bundle isomorphisms and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2)$ as the smooth generating elements, i. e. $f = (f_E, f_M) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2)$ if and only if $f_E = \exp_{\tilde{f}_E} X \circ \tilde{f}_E$, $\tilde{f}_E \in C^{\infty,r}(E_1, E_2)$ a diffeomorphism, $\tilde{f}_E^{-1} \in C^{\infty,r}(E_1, E_2)$, $X \in \Omega_r^p(f^*TE_2)$, f_E a bundle isomorphism, similarly for $f_M : M_1 \longrightarrow M_2$. Quite analogously to $\mathfrak{M}^n(mf, I, B_k)$ above we denote the bundle isometry classes of Riemannian vector bundles $(E, h, \nabla) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ with $(I), (B_k(g)), (B_k(\nabla))$ of rkN over n -manifolds by $\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$. Set for $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$, $E_i = ((E_i, h_i, \nabla^{h_i}) \longrightarrow (M_i^n, g_i)) \in \mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$, $i = 1, 2$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = & \inf \{ \max\{0, \log^b |df_E|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |df_E^{-1}|\} + \\ & + \max\{0, \log^b |df_M|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |df_M^{-1}|\} + \\ & + |g_1 - f_M^* g_2|_{g_1, p, r} + |h_1 - f_E^* h_2|_{g_1, h_1, \nabla^{h_1}, p, r} + \\ & + |\nabla^{h_1} - f_E^* \nabla^{h_2}|_{g_1, h_1, \nabla^{h_1}, p, r} \mid \\ & f = (f_E, f_M) \in \mathcal{D}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) \} \end{aligned}$$

if $\{\dots\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf\{\dots\} < \infty$. In the other case set $d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = \infty$. Here we remark that ${}^b|df_E|, {}^b|df_E^{-1}|, {}^b|df_M|, {}^b|df_M^{-1}| < \infty$ automatically imply the quasi isometry of $h_1, f_E^* h_2$ or $g_1, f_M^* g_2$, respectively. A simple consideration and a variant of the proof of transitivity in 3.19 show that $d(E_1, E_2) = 0$ is an equivalence relation $\sim$. Set $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n}(I, B_k) := \mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k) / \sim$ and for

$\delta > 0$

$$V_\delta = \{(E_1, E_2) \in (\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n}(I, B_k))^2 \mid d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \delta\}.$$

Proposition 3.19 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff}^{p,r}$.

The proof is quite analogous to that of 5.16 in [6]. $\square$

Denote $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,r}^{N,n,p}(I, B_k)$ for the pair $(\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k), \mathfrak{U}_{L,diff}^{p,r})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ for the completion.

The next task would be to prove the locally arcwise connectedness of $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}$. If we restrict to $(E, h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$, i. e. we forget the metric connection ∇^k , then the corresponding space is locally arcwise connected according to 5.19 of [6]. Taking into account the metric connection ∇^h , the situation becomes much worse. Given $(g, h, \nabla^h), (g', h', \nabla^{h'})$ sufficiently neighbored, we have to prove that they could be connected by a (sufficiently short) arc $\{(g_t, h_t, \nabla^{h_t})\}$. Here ∇^{h_t} must be metric w. r. t. h_t . We were not able to construct the arc $\{\nabla^{h_t}\}_t$ for given $\{h_t\}_t$. One could also try to set $\nabla^t = (1-t)\nabla + t\nabla$ and to construct h_t from ∇^t s. t. ∇^t is metric w. r. t. h_t . In local bases $e_1, \dots, e_n, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N$ this would lead to the system

$$\nabla_{e_i}^t h_{t,\alpha\beta} = \Gamma_{t,i\alpha}^\gamma h_{t,\gamma\beta} + \Gamma_{t,i\beta}^\gamma h_{t,\alpha\gamma}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, N,$$

where $h_{t,\alpha\beta} = h_t(\Phi_\alpha, \Phi_\beta)$, $\nabla_i^t \Phi_\alpha = \Gamma_{t,i\alpha}^\gamma \Phi_\gamma$. This is a system of $n \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ equations for the $\frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ components $h_{\alpha\beta}$, i. e. it is overdetermined. With other words, we don't see a comparatively simple and natural proof for locally arcwise connectedness. $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ is a complete metric space. Hence locally and locally arcwise connectedness coincide. But to prove locally connectedness amounts very soon to similar questions just discussed.

Consider for $E = ((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M, g)) \in \mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$

$$\{E' \in \mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E, E') < \infty\}. \quad (3.27)$$

The set is open and contains the arccomponent of E . If $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ would be locally arcwise connected = locally connected then we would have

$$\text{arccomp}(E) = \text{comp}(E) = (3.27). \quad (3.28)$$

If we endow the total spaces E with the Kaluza–Klein metric

$$g_E(X, Y) = h(X^V, Y^V) + g_M(\pi_*X, \pi_*Y), \quad X^V, Y^V \text{ vertical components},$$

then (E, g_E) becomes a Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry, hence a proper metric space. It follows from the definition that

$$\{E' \in \mathcal{B}_{L, diff}^{N, n, p, r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L, diff}^{p, r}(E, E') < \infty\} \subseteq \text{comp}_L(E). \quad (3.29)$$

(3.28), (3.29) and the foregoing considerations are for us motivation enough to define the generalized component $\text{gen comp}(E)$ by

$$\text{gen comp}(E) := \{E' \in \mathcal{B}_{L, diff}^{N, n, p, r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L, diff}^{p, r}(E, E') < \infty\}. \quad (3.30)$$

In particular $\text{gen comp}(E)$ is a subset of a Lipschitz component and is endowed with a well defined topology coming from $\mathfrak{U}_{L, diff}^{p, r}$.

The final step in this section consists in the additional admission of compact topological perturbations. We consider pairs $E_i = ((E_i, h_i, \nabla^{h_i}) \longrightarrow (M_i^n, g_i)) \in \mathcal{B}^{N, n}(I, B_k)$, $i = 1, 2$, with the following property. There exist compact submanifolds $K_i^n \subset M_i^n$ and $f = (f_E, f_M)$, $f|_{M_1 \setminus K_1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p, r+1}(E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}, E_2|_{M_2 \setminus K_2}) \cap C^{\infty, r+1}(E_1, E_2)$. For such pairs define

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L, diff, rel}^{p, r}(E_1, E_2) = & \inf \{ \max\{0, \log^b |df_E|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |dh_E|\} + \\ & + \max\{0, \log^b |df_M|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |dh_M|\} + \\ & + \sup_{e_1} d(h_E f_E e_1, e_1) + \sup_{e_2} d(f_E h_E e_2, e_2) + \\ & + \sup_{x_1} d(h_M f_M x_1, x_1) + \sup_{x_2} d(f_M h_M x_2, x_2) + \\ & + |(f_M|_{M_1 \setminus K_1})^* g_2 - g_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}|_{g_1, p, r} + \\ & + |(f_E|_{E|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}})^* h_2 - h_1|_{E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}}|_{g_1, h_1, \nabla^{h_1}, p, r} + \\ & + |(f_E|_{E|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}})^* \nabla^{h_2} - \nabla^{h_1}|_{E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}}|_{g_1, h_1, \nabla^{h_1}, p, r} \\ & \mid f = (f_E, f_M) \in C^{\infty, r+1}(E_1, E_2), \\ & h = (h_E, h_M) \in C^{\infty, r}(E_1, E_2) \} \\ & \text{bundle maps and for some } K_1 \subset M_1 \text{ holds} \\ & f|_{E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p, r}(E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}, E_2|_{f_M(M_1 \setminus K_1)}) \text{ and} \\ & h|_{E_2|_{f(M_1 \setminus K_1)}} = (f|_{E|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}})^{-1} \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

if $\{\dots\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf\{\dots\} < \infty$. In the other case set $d_{L, diff, rel}^{p, r}(E_1, E_2) = \infty$. This definition seems to be quite lengthy but it is quite natural. It measures

outside a compact set the distinction of "shape" and the geometric objects in question. Set

$$V_\delta = \{(E_1, E_2) \in (\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k))^2 \mid d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \delta\}.$$

Proposition 3.20 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}$ on $\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)/\sim$ where $E_1 \sim E_2$ if $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = 0$. $\square$

Denote $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,rel}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ for the completed $\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ endowed with this uniform structure. We have again that $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \infty$ implies $d_L(E_1, E_2) < \infty$ (here we consider E_1, E_2 as proper metric spaces). Hence $E_2 \in \text{comp}_L(E_1)$, i. e.

$$\{E_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{L,diff,rel}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \infty\} \subseteq \text{comp}_L(E_1). \quad (3.32)$$

For this reason we denote again the left hand side $\{\dots\}$ of (3.32) by $\text{gen comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1)$ keeping in mind that this is not an arc component but a subset of a Lipschitz component endowed with the induced from $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}$ topology.

In our later applications we prove and thereafter use the trace class property of $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$. Here essentially enter estimates for $D - D'$, coming from the explicit expression for $D - D'$. But in this expression only enter $g, \nabla, \cdot, g', \nabla', \cdot'$. This is the reason that we consider in some of our applications smaller generalized components, which are in fact arc components. Exactly spoken, we restrict in some of our applications to those uniform structures and components where $h_1 = f_E^* h_2$, i. e. the fibre metric does not vary. Nevertheless, the generalized components play the more important role as appropriate equivalence classes in classification theory. We prove the trace class property of $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ also for generalized components.

Set now

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) &= \inf \{ \max\{0, \log^b |df_M|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |df_M^{-1}|\} + \\ &\quad |g_1 - f_M^* g_2|_{g_1,p,r} + |\nabla^{h_1} - f_M^* \nabla^{h_2}|_{g_1,h_1,\nabla^{h_1},p,r} \\ &\quad \mid f = (f_E, f_M) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2), \\ &\quad f_E \text{ fibrewise an isometry} \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

if $\{\dots\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf\{\dots\} < \infty$. In the other case set $d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = \infty$. $d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(\cdot, \cdot) = 0$ is an equivalence relation $\sim$. Set $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n}(I, B_k) = \mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)/\sim$ and for $\delta > 0$

$$V_\delta = \{(E_1, E_2) \in (\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n}(I, B_k))^2 \mid d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \delta\}.$$

Proposition 3.21 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}$. $\square$

Denote $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ for the corresponding completion.

Proposition 3.22 a) $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ is locally arcwise connected.

b) In $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ coincide components with arccomponents.

c) $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{comp}_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E_i)$ as topological sum.

d) For $E \in \mathcal{B}^{N,n}$

$$\text{comp}_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E) = \{E' \in \mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E, E') < \infty\}.$$

Proof. of a) $g_t = (1-t)g_1 + tf_M^*g_2$, $\nabla_t = (1-t)\nabla^{h_1} + tf_E^*\nabla^{h_2}$ yield an arc between E_1 and f^*E_2 . Here we use $h_1 = f_E^*h_2$ and that $\nabla^{h_1}, \nabla^{h_2}$ are metric. $\square$

Quite analogously we define - based on $h_1|_{E|M \setminus K} = f_E^*(h_2|_{E'|_{M' \setminus K'}})$ the uniform space $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F,rel}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ and its generalized components

$$\text{gen comp}_{L,diff,F,rel}^{p,r}(E) = \{E' \mid d_{L,diff,F,rel}^{p,r}(E, E') < \infty\}.$$

Here $d_{L,diff,F,rel}^{p,r}(E, E')$ is defined as $d_{L,diff,F,rel}^{p,r}(\cdot, \cdot)$ with the additional condition $h|_{E|M \setminus K} = f_E^*(h'|_{E'|_{M' \setminus K'}})$. $\mathcal{B}_{L,diff,F,rel}^{N,n,p,r}$ is not locally arcwise connected. Now the classification of $\mathcal{B}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ amounts to two tasks.

1. Classification (i. e. "counting") the (generalized) components

$\text{gen comp}(E)$ by invariants,

2. Classification of the elements inside a component by invariants, where number valued invariants should be relative invariants.

Until now g, h, ∇^h could be fixed independently, keeping in mind that ∇^h should be a metric connection with respect to h . The situation rapidly changes if we restrict to Clifford bundles. The new ingredient is the Clifford multiplication $\cdot$ which relates g, h, ∇^h . This will be studied in the next section.

4 Uniform structures of Clifford bundles

As we know from the definition, a Clifford bundle $(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ has as additional ingredient the module structure of E_m over $\text{CL}_m(g) = \text{CL}(T_m M, g_m)$. Change of $g, g \longrightarrow g'$, changes point by point the Clifford algebra, $\text{CL}_m(g) \longrightarrow \text{CL}_m(g')$. Locally they are isomorphic since by radial parallel transport of orthonormal bases in a normal neighborhood $U(m_0)$ always

$$\text{CL}(M, g)|_{U(m_0)} \cong U(m_0) \times \text{CL}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong U(m_0) \times \text{CL}(M, g')|_{U(m_0)}. \quad (4.1)$$

The same holds for bundles of Clifford modules if we fix the typical fibre, i. e.

$$E|_U \cong E'|_U \quad (4.2)$$

but globally (4.2) in general does not hold although as vector bundles E and E' can be isomorphic. The point is the module structure which includes g (in $\text{CL}_m(g)$) as operating algebra and $\cdot : T_m M \otimes E_m \longrightarrow E_m$. Therefore we consider for a moment the following admitted deformations. Let $(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Clifford bundle of rkN . The vector bundle structure $E \longrightarrow M$ (of rkN) shall remain fixed. We admit variation of g , hence of $\text{CL}(TM)$, variation of $\cdot \in \text{Hom}(TM \otimes E, E)$, hence variation of the structure of E as bundle of Clifford modules, compatible variation of h, ∇^h . $\text{Hom}(TM \otimes E, E) \cong T^*M \otimes E^* \otimes E$ is for given g, h a Riemannian vector bundle. Including ∇^h , the notion of Sobolev sections is well defined. For fixed g, h, ∇^h the space $\Gamma(\text{Mult}, g, h, \nabla^h)$ of Clifford multiplications $\cdot$ is a well defined subspace of $\Gamma(\text{Hom}(TM \otimes E, E))$ described invariantly by the conditions

$$\langle X \cdot \Phi, \Psi \rangle = -\langle \Phi, \Psi \cdot Y \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\nabla_X(Y \cdot \Phi) = (\nabla_X Y) \cdot \Phi + Y \cdot \nabla_Y \Phi. \quad (4.4)$$

We describe this space locally as follows. Let $U(m_0) \subset M$ and $e_1(m), \dots, e_n(m)$ be a field of orthonormal bases obtained from $e_1(m_0), \dots, e_n(m_0)$ by radial parallel translation, similarly $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N$ a field of orthonormal bases in $E|_{U(m_0)}$, obtained also by radial parallel translation. Then (4.3), (4.4) mean for the attachment $e_i \otimes \Phi_j \longrightarrow e_i \cdot \Phi_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_i \cdot \Phi_j, \Phi_k \rangle &= -\langle \Phi_j, e_i \cdot \Phi_k \rangle, \\ i &= 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, \dots, N, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_i}(e_j \cdot \Phi_k) &= (\nabla_{e_i} e_j) \cdot \Phi_k + e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_j} \Phi_k, \\ i, j &= 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

If we write in the linear space $\text{Hom}(T_m \otimes E_m, E_m)$ $e_i \cdot \Phi_j = \sum_{k=1}^N a_{ij}^k \Phi_k$ then (4.5) reduces to $\frac{nN(N+1)}{2}$ independent linear equations between the a_{ij}^k , $a_{ij}^k = -a_{ik}^j$. Hence the fibre $\text{Mult}_m(g, h, \nabla^h)$ is an $n \cdot N^2 - \frac{nN(N+1)}{2} = \frac{nN}{2}(N-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace at any point m . This establishes a locally trivial fibre bundle $\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)$. The charts for local trivialization arise from radial parallel translation P of $\cdot$ from m to m_0 since $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}}(P(e_i \cdot \Phi_j) - (Pe_i) \cdot (P\Phi_j)) = 0$. $\text{CLM}(g, h, \nabla^h) \subset \Gamma(\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h))$ now are those sections of $\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ which additionally satisfy (4.4) or (4.6). Consider the Riemannian vector bundle $(\mathcal{H} = \text{Hom}(TM \otimes E, E) = (T^*M \otimes E^* \otimes E, h_{\mathcal{H}}) \longrightarrow (M^n, g))$. Assume as always (M^n, g) with $(I), (B_k)$, (E, h, ∇^h) with (B_k) , $k \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2$ and $(\pi : E \longrightarrow M) \in C^{\infty, k+1}(E, M)$. Then with respect to the Kaluza–Klein metrix $g_{\mathcal{H}}(X, Y) = h_{\mathcal{H}}(X^V, Y^V) + g_M(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)$, X^V, Y^V vertical components, the total space of $\mathcal{H}$ becomes a Riemannian manifold satisfying $(I), (B_k)$. The fibres $\mathcal{H}_m$ are totally geodesic submanifolds, moreover they are flat. The latter also holds for the affine fibres $\text{Mult}_m(g, h, \nabla^h)$ of $\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)$. If $\cdot$ and $\cdot'$ are sections of $\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ satisfying (4.4), i. e. $\cdot, \cdot' \in \text{CLM}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ then

$$(1-t) \cdot + t \cdot' \in \text{CLM}(g, h, \nabla^h), \quad (4.7)$$

Let $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$, $\delta > 0$. Set

$$V_{\delta} = \{(\cdot, \cdot') \in \text{CLM}(g, h, \nabla^h)^2 \mid \|\cdot - \cdot'\|_{g, h, \nabla^h, p, r} < \delta\}.$$

Lemma 4.1 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_{\delta}\}_{\delta > 0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}^{p, r}(\text{CLM}(g, h, \nabla^h))$. $\square$

Denote by $\text{CLM}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ the completion.

Proposition 4.2 a) $\text{CLM}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ is locally arcwise connected.

b) In $\text{CLM}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ coincide components and arc components.

c) $\text{CLM}^{p,r}(g, h, \nabla^h) = \sum_{i \in I} \text{comp}^{p,r}(\cdot_i)$.

d) $\text{comp}^{p,r}(\cdot) = \{ \cdot' \mid | \cdot - \cdot' |_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \infty \}$.

Proof. a) follows from (4.7), b) and c) follow from a), d) is a simple calculation. $\square$

Remark 4.3 In the language of the intrinsic Riemannian geometry of $\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)$ and of $\Gamma(\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h))$ we can rewrite $| \cdot - \cdot' |_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \delta$ as $\cdot' = \exp X \circ \cdot$, $X \in \Gamma(T(\text{Mult}(g, h, \nabla^h)))$, $|X|_{g,h,\nabla^h,p,r} < \delta$. Here X_m lies in the affine subspace Mult_m . $\square$

Denote by $\text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ the set of (Clifford isometry classes) of all Clifford bundles $(E, h, \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ of (module) rank N over n -manifolds, all with (I) and (B_k) .

Lemma 4.4 Let $E_i = ((E_i, h_i, \nabla^{h_i}, \cdot_i) \longrightarrow (M_i^n, g_i)) \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $f = (f_E, f_M) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r+1}(E_1, E_2) \cap C^{\infty,k+1}(E_1, E_2)$ be a vector bundle isomorphism between bundles of Clifford modules, $f_E(X \cdot_1 \Phi) = (f_M)_* X \cdot_2 f_E \Phi$. Then $f^* E_2 := ((E_1, f_E^* h_2, f_E^* \nabla^{h_2}, f_E^* \cdot_2) \longrightarrow (M_1, f_M^* g_2)) \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$.

Proof. The definitions of $f_E^* h_2$, $f_E^* \nabla^{h_2}$, $f_M^* g_2$ are clear. $f_E^* \cdot_2$ is defined by $X(f_E^* \cdot_2) \Phi = f_E^{-1}(f_* X \cdot_2 f_E \Phi)$. It is now an easy calculation that $f^* E_2 \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$. $\square$

Let $k \geq r > \frac{n}{p} + 2$ and define for $E_1, E_2 \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L, \text{diff}}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = & \inf \{ \max\{0, \log^b |df_E|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |df_E^{-1}|\} + \\ & \max\{0, \log^b |df_M|\} + \max\{0, \log^b |df_M^{-1}|\} + \\ & |g_1 - f_M^* g_2|_{g_1,p,r} + |h_1 - f_E^* h_2|_{g_1,h_1,\nabla^{h_1},p,r} + \\ & |\nabla^{h_1} - f_E^* \nabla^{h_2}|_{g_1,h_1,\nabla^{h_1},p,r} + | \cdot_1 - f_E^* \cdot_2 |_{g_1,h_1,\nabla^{h_1},p,r} \\ & \mid f = (f_E, f_M) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) \text{ is a } (k+1) - \text{bounded} \\ & \text{isomorphism of Clifford bundles} \} \end{aligned}$$

if $\{\dots\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\inf\{\dots\} < \infty$. In the other case set $d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) = \infty$. $d_{L,diff}^{p,r}$ is numerically not symmetric but nevertheless it defines a uniform structure which is by definition symmetric. Set for $\delta > 0$

$$V_\delta = \{(E_1, E_2) \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)\}^2 \mid d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2) < \delta\}.$$

Proposition 4.5 $\mathfrak{L} = \{V_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$ is a basis for a metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(\text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k))$. $\square$

The proof is quite analogous to that of 5.16 in [6] using additionally 4.1. $\square$

Denote $\text{CLB}_{L,diff,r}^{N,n,p}(I, B_k)$ for the pair $(\text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k), \mathcal{U}^{p,r})$ and $\text{CLB}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ for the completion. By the same motivation as above and at the end of section 3 we introduce again the generalized component $\text{gen comp}(E) = \text{gen comp}_{L,diff}^{p,r}((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M, g)) \subset \text{CLB}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ by

$$\text{gen comp}_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E) = \{E' \in \text{CLB}_{L,diff}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L,diff}^{p,r}(E, E') < \infty\}. \quad (4.8)$$

$\text{gen comp}(E)$ contains $\text{arccomp}(E)$ and is endowed with a Sobolev topology induced from $\mathcal{U}_{L,diff}^{p,r}$.

The absolutely last step in our uniform structures approach is the additional admission of compact topological perturbations. We proceed as in (3.31), i. e. we assume additionally $E_i = ((E_i, h_i, \nabla^{h_i}, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M_i^n, g_i)) \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$, add in (3.31) still $|(f_E|_{E|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}})^* \cdot_2 - \cdot_1|_{E|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}}|_{g_1, h_1, \nabla^{h_1}, p, r}$ and assume $f = (f_E, f_M)|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}$, $h = (h_E, h_M)|_{M_2 \setminus K_2 = f_M(M_1 \setminus K_1)}$ vector bundle isomorphisms (not necessary Clifford isometric). Then we get $d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E_1, E_2)$, define V_δ , $\mathfrak{L} = \{v_\delta\}_{\delta>0}$, obtain the metrizable uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(\text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k))$ and finally the completion $\text{CLB}_{L,diff,rel}^{N,n,p,r}$. We set again $\text{gen comp}(E) = \text{gen comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E) = \{E' \in \text{CLB}_{L,diff,rel}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k) \mid d_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}(E, E') < \infty\}$ which contains the arc component and inherits a Sobolev topology from $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{p,r}$.

As in section 3, we obtain by requiring additionally $h_1 = f_E^* h_2$ or $h_1|_{E_1|_{M_1 \setminus K_1}} = f_E^*(h_2|_{E_2|_{M_2 \setminus K_2}})$ local distances $d_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(\cdot, \cdot)$ or $d_{L,diff,F,rel}^{p,r}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and corresponding uniform spaces $\text{CLB}_{L,diff,F}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ or $\text{CLB}_{L,diff,F,rel}^{N,n,p,r}(I, B_k)$ respectively. We obtain generalized components

$$\text{gen comp}_{L,diff,F}^{p,r}(E) \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F, \text{rel}}^{p, r}(E) \quad (4.10)$$

as before. One of our main technical results will be that E and E' in the same generalized component (4.10) or (4.11) respectively implies that after transforming $e^{-tD'^2}$ into the Hilbert space $L_2((M, E), g, h)$, $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ and $e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-tD'^2}D'$ are of trace class and their trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -Intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. For our later applications the components (4.9), (4.10) are most important, excluded one case, the case $D^2 = \Delta(g)$, $D'^2 = \nabla(g')$. In this case variation of g automatically induces variation of the fibre metric and we have to consider (4.8) and $\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{p, r}(E)$.

5 General heat kernel estimates

We collect some standard facts concerning the heat kernel of e^{-tD^2} . The best references for this are [3], [4].

We consider the self-adjoint closure of D in $L_2(E) = H^0(E)$, $D = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda E_\lambda$.

Lemma 5.1 $\{e^{itD}\}_{t \in \mathbf{R}}$ defines a unitary group on the spaces $H^r(E)$, for $0 \leq h \leq r$ holds

$$|D^h e^{itD} \Psi|_{L_2} \cdot |e^{itD} D^h \Psi|_{L_2} = |D^h \Psi|_{L_2}. \quad (5.1)$$

□

We can extend this action to $H^{-r}(E)$ by means of duality.

Lemma 5.2 e^{-tD^2} maps $L_2(E) \equiv H^0(E) \rightarrow H^r(E)$ for any $r > 0$ and

$$|e^{-tD^2}|_{L_2 \rightarrow H^r} \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{r}{2}}, \quad t \in]0, \infty[, \quad C = C(r). \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. Insert into $e^{-tD^2} = \int e^{-t\lambda^2} dE_\lambda$ the equation

$$e^{-t\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\lambda s} e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} ds$$

and use

$$\sup |\lambda^r e^{-t\lambda^2}| \leq C \cdot t^{-\frac{r}{2}}.$$

□

Corollary 5.3 *Let $r, s \in \mathbf{Z}$ be arbitrary. Then $e^{-tD^2} : H^r(E) \rightarrow H^s(E)$ continuously.*

Proof. This follows from 5.2., duality and the semi group property of $\{e^{-tD^2}\}_{t \geq 0}$. □

e^{-tD^2} has a Schwartz kernel $W \in \Gamma(\mathbf{R} \times M \times M, E \boxtimes E)$,

$$W(t, m, p) = \langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2} \delta(p) \rangle,$$

where $\delta(m) \in H^{-r}(E) \otimes E_m$ is the map $\Psi \in H^r(E) \rightarrow \langle \delta(m), \Psi \rangle = \Psi(m)$, $r > \frac{n}{2}$. The main result of this section is the fact that for $t > 0$, $W(t, m, p)$ is a smooth integral kernel in L_2 with good decay properties if we assume bounded geometry.

Denote by $C(m)$ the best local Sobolev constant of the map $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi(m)$, $r > \frac{n}{2}$, and by $\sigma(D^2)$ the spectrum.

Lemma 5.4 a) $W(t, m, p)$ is for $t > 0$ smooth in all Variables.

b) For any $T > 0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|W(t, m, p)| \leq e^{-(t-\varepsilon) \inf \sigma(D^2)} \cdot C \cdot C(m) \cdot C(p) \text{ for all } t \in]T, \infty[. \quad (5.3)$$

c) Similar estimates hold for $(D_m^i D_p^j W)(t, m, p)$.

Proof.

a) First one shows W is continuous, which follows from $\langle \delta(m), \cdot \rangle$ continuous in m and $e^{-tD^2} \delta(p)$ continuous in t and p . Then one applies elliptic regularity.

b) Write

$$|\langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2} \delta(p) \rangle| = |\langle (1 + D^2)^{-\frac{r}{2}} \delta(m), (1 + D^2)^r e^{-tD^2} (1 + D^2)^{\frac{r}{2}} \delta(p) \rangle|$$

c) Follows similar as b. □

Lemma 5.5 *For any $\varepsilon > 0, T > 0, \delta > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for $r > 0, m \in M, T > t > 0$ holds*

$$\int_{M \setminus B_r(m)} |W(t, m, p)|^2 dp \leq C \cdot C(m) \cdot e^{-\frac{(r-\varepsilon)^2}{(4+\delta)t}}. \quad (5.4)$$

A similar estimate holds for $D_m^i D_p^j W(t, m, p)$.

We refer to [3] for the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.6 *For any $\varepsilon > 0, T > 0, \delta > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $m, p \in M$ with $\text{dist}(m, p) > 2\varepsilon, T > t > 0$ holds*

$$|W(t, m, p)|^2 \leq C \cdot C(m) \cdot C(p) \cdot e^{-\frac{(\text{dist}(m, p) - \varepsilon)^2}{(4+\delta)t}}. \quad (5.5)$$

A similar estimate holds for $D_m^i D_p^j W(t, m, p)$.

We refer to [3] for the proof. $\square$

Proposition 5.7 *Assume (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_K) , (E, ∇) with (B_K) , $k \geq r > \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Then all estimates in 5.4, 5.5, 5.6 hold with uniform constants.*

Proof. From the assumptions $H^r(E) \cong W^r(E)$ and $\sup_m C(m) = C =$ global Sobolev constant for $W^r(E)$, according to 2.13. $\square$

Let $U \subset M$ be precompact, open, (M^+, g^+) closed with $U \subset M^+$ isometrically and $E^+ \rightarrow M^+$ a Clifford bundle with $E^+|_U \cong E|_U$ isometrically. Denote by $W^+(t, m, p)$ the heat kernel of $e^{-tD^{+2}}$.

Lemma 5.8 *Assume $\varepsilon > 0, T > 0, \delta > 0$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $T > t > 0, m, p \in U$ with $B_{2\varepsilon}(m), B_{2\varepsilon}(p) \subset U$ holds*

$$|W(t, m, p) - W^+(t, m, p)| \leq C \cdot e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{(4+\delta)t}} \quad (5.6)$$

We refer to [3] for the simple proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.9 *$\text{tr}W(t, m, m)$ has for $t \rightarrow 0^+$ the same asymptotic expansion as for $\text{tr}W^+(t, m, m)$.* $\square$

6 Trace class property under variation of the Clifford connection

Our procedure in the next sections is as follows. We admit step by step larger perturbations of a given Clifford structure $((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g))$ where the perturbations $E' = ((E', h', \nabla^{h'}) \longrightarrow (M'^n, g'))$ are elements of $\text{gen comp}(E)$. Fixing $\text{gen comp}(E)$ has the consequence that we start with $(E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$, fix the vector bundle $E \longrightarrow M$ and admit step by step perturbation of ∇^h , then simultaneously of $g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot$ and finally compact topological perturbations. The reason for this is that if we have $f = (f_E, f_M) : ((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)) \longrightarrow ((E', h', \nabla^{h'}) \longrightarrow (M', g'))$ which is a vector bundle isomorphism (not necessarily an isometry) then we can write $f : E \longrightarrow E'$ as $f : E \longrightarrow f^*E' \xrightarrow{i} E'$ where i is a Clifford isometry, i. e. the interesting perturbation takes place from E to f^*E' . In this section we start with the simplest case, perturbation of the metric Clifford connection $\nabla = \nabla^h, \nabla \longrightarrow \nabla', \nabla - \nabla' \in \Omega^{1,p,r}(\mathfrak{G}_E^{\text{CL}})$. This case has model character for the other cases and for this reason we present carefully the sometimes very complicated estimates.

We come now to the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 6.1 *Assume $(E, \nabla) \rightarrow (M^n, g)$, (M^n, g) with (I) and (B_k) (E, ∇) with (B_k) , $k \geq r > n + 2, n \geq 2$, $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \cap C_E(B_k) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k)$, $D = D(g, \nabla), D' = D'(g, \nabla')$ generalized Dirac operators. Then*

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$$

is for $t > 0$ a trace class operator and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervals $[a_0, a_1], a_0 > 0$.

Remark 6.2 The condition $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \cap C_E(B_k) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k)$, i. e. $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla)$ and additionally ∇' smooth and satisfying (B_K) can be weakened to $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k)$. The main reason for this is that we can write $\nabla' = \nabla'_0 + (\nabla' - \nabla'_0)$, $\nabla'_0 \in C_E(B_k)$, $|\nabla' - \nabla'_0|_{1,r,\nabla} < \varepsilon$. Then one can reestablish the whole Sobolev theory etc. extensively using the module structure theorem.

We refer to the forthcoming paper [14]. □

The proof of theorem 6.1 will occupy the remaining part of this section. We always assume the assumptions of 6.1. According to 3.13,

$$\mathcal{D}_D = \mathcal{D}_{D'}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{D^2} = \mathcal{D}_{D'^2}.$$

Lemma 6.3 *Assume $t > 0$. Then*

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} = \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D'^2 - D^2) e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds. \quad (6.1)$$

Proof. (6.1) means at heat kernel level

$$W(t, m, p) - W'(t, m, p) = - \int_0^t \int_M (W(s, m, q), (D^2 - D'^2) W'(t-s, q, p))_q dq ds, \quad (6.2)$$

where $(\cdot)_q$ means the fibrewise scalar product at q and $dq = d\text{vol}_q(g)$. Hence for (6.1) we have to prove (6.2). (6.2) is an immediate consequence of Duhamel's principle. Only for completeness, we present the proof of (6.2), which is the last of the following 7 facts and implications.

1. For $t > 0$ is $W(t, m, p) \in L_2(M, E, dp) \cap \mathcal{D}_D^2$
2. If $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{D}_D^2$ then $\int (D^2 \Phi, \Psi) - (\Phi, D^2 \Psi) d\text{vol} = 0$ (Greens formula).
3. $((D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}) \Phi(\tau, g) \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q - (\Phi(\tau, g), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q =$
 $= (D^2(\Phi(\tau, q), \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q - (\Phi(\tau, q), D^2 \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}(\Phi(\tau, g),$
 $\Psi(t - \tau, q))_q).$
4. $\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_M ((D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}) \Phi(\tau, q), \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q - (\Phi(\tau, q), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \Psi(t - \tau, q))_q dq d\tau =$
 $= \int_M [(\Phi(\beta, q), \Psi(t - \beta, q))_q - (\Phi(\alpha, q), \Psi(t - \alpha, q))_q] dq.$
5. $\Phi(t, q) = W(t, m, q), \Psi(t, q) = W'(t, q, p)$ yields
 $-\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_M (W(\tau, m, q), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) W'(t - \tau, q, p)) dq d\tau =$

$$= \int_M [(W(\beta, m, q), W'(t - \beta, q, p))_q - (W(\alpha, m, q), W'(t - \alpha, q, p))_q] dq .$$

6. Performing $\alpha \rightarrow 0^+, \beta \rightarrow t^-$ in 5. yields

$$- \int_0^t \int_M (W(s, m, q), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})W'(t - s, q, p))_q dq ds = W(t, m, p) - W'(t, m, p).$$

7. Finally, using $D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = D^2 - D'^2 + D'^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ and $(D'^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})W' = 0$ we obtain

$$W(t, m, p) - W'(t, m, p) = - \int_0^t \int_M (W(s, m, q), (D^2 - D'^2)W'(t - s, q, p))_q dq ds$$

which is (6.2).

If we write $D^2 - D'^2 = D(D - D') + (D - D')D'$ then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} &= - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D^2 - D'^2) e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D(D - D') e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D - D') D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \\ &= \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D \eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta = \eta^{op}$ in the sense of section 3, $\eta^{op}(\Psi)|_x = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \eta_{e_i}(\Psi)$ and $|\eta^{op}|_{op,x} \leq$

$C \cdot |\eta|_x$, C independent of x . We split $\int_0^t = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$,

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2} = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D \eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_1)$$

$$+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_2)$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D \eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds \quad (I_3)$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \eta D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2} ds. \quad (I_4)$$

We want to show that each integral (I₁) – (I₄) is a product of Hilbert–Schmidt operators and to estimate their Hilbert–Schmidt norm. Consider the integrand of (I₄),

$$(e^{-sD^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}). \quad (6.3)$$

According to 5.2,

$$|e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_{L_2 \rightarrow H^1} \leq C \cdot (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_{L_2 \rightarrow L_2} &\leq |D'|_{H^1 \rightarrow L_2} \cdot |e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_{L_2 \rightarrow H^1} \\ &\leq C \cdot (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Write

$$(e^{-sD^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}) = (e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} f)(f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \eta)(D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}). \quad (6.6)$$

Here f shall be a scalar function which acts by multiplikation. (6.5) estimates the right hand factor in (6.6). The main point is the right choice of f . $e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} f$ has the integral kernel

$$W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p) f(p) \quad (6.7)$$

and $f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2}\eta$ has the kernel

$$f^{-1}(m)W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)\eta(p). \quad (6.8)$$

We have to make a choice such that (6.7), (6.8) are square integrable over $M \times m$ and that their L_2 -norm is on compact t -intervals uniformly bounded.

We decompose the L_2 -norm of (6.7) as

$$\int_M \int_M |W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)|^2 |f(m)|^2 dm dp = \quad (6.9)$$

$$\int_M \int_{\text{dist}(m,p) \geq c} |W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)|^2 |f(m)|^2 dp dm = \quad (6.10)$$

$$\int_M \int_{\text{dist}(m,p) < c} |W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)|^2 |f(m)|^2 dp dm \quad (6.11)$$

We obtain from 5.4 for $s \in]\frac{t}{2}, t[$

$$(6.11) \leq \int_M C_1 |f(m)|^2 \text{vol} B_c(m) dm \leq C_2 \int_M |f(m)|^2 dm$$

and from 5.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \int_{\text{dist}(m,p) \geq c} |W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)|^2 |f(m)|^2 dp dm &\leq \int_M C_3 e^{-\frac{-(c-\varepsilon)^2}{4+\delta} \frac{s}{2}} |f(m)|^2 dm \leq \\ &\leq C_3 \cdot e^{-\frac{-(c-\varepsilon)^2}{4+\delta} \frac{s}{2}} \int_M |f(m)|^2 dm, \quad c > \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Hence the estimate of $\int_M \int_M |W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p)|^2 |f(m)|^2 dp dm$ for $s \in [\frac{t}{2}, t]$ is done if

$$\int_M |f(m)|^2 dm < \infty$$

and then $|e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2}f|_2 \leq C_4 \cdot |f|_{L_2}$, where, according to 5.4, $C_4 = C_4(t)$ contains a factor e^{-at} , $a > 0$, if $\inf \sigma(D^2) > 0$.

For (6.8) we have to estimate

$$\int_M \int_M |f(m)|^{-2} |(W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p), \eta^{op}(p) \cdot)_p|^2 dp dm \quad (6.13)$$

We recall a simple fact about Hilbert spaces. Let X be a Hilbert space, $x \in X, x \neq 0$. Then $|x| = \sup_{|y|=1} |\langle x, y \rangle|$,

$$|x|^2 = \left(\sup_{|y|=1} |\langle x, y \rangle| \right)^2. \quad (6.14)$$

This follows from $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq |x| \cdot |y|$ and equality for $y = \frac{x}{|x|}$. We apply this to $E \rightarrow M$, $X = L_2(M, E, dp)$, $x = x(m) = W(t, m, p), \eta^{op}(p) \cdot)_p = W(t, m, p) \circ \eta^{op}(p)$ and have to estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} N(\Phi) = \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} |\langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2} \eta^{op} \Phi \rangle|_{L_2} \quad (6.15)$$

According to 5.4, 5.5 and 5.7

$$W(t, m, \cdot) \in H^{\frac{r}{2}}(E), \quad |W(t, m, \cdot)|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_5(t). \quad (6.16)$$

Hence we have can restrict in (6.15) to

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1 \\ |\Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_5}} N(\Phi) \quad (6.17)$$

In the sequel we estimate (6.17). For doing this, we recall some simple facts concerning the wave equation

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_s}{\partial s} = iD\Phi_s, \quad \Phi_0 = \Phi, \quad \Phi \in C^1 \text{ with compact support.} \quad (6.18)$$

It is well known that (6.18) has a unique solution Φ_s which is given by

$$\Phi_s = e^{isD} \Phi \quad (6.19)$$

and

$$\text{supp } \Phi_s \subset U_{|s|}(\text{supp } \Phi) \quad (6.20)$$

$U_{|s|} = |s|$ - neighborhood. Moreover,

$$|\Phi_s|_{L_2} = |\Phi|_{L_2}, \quad |\Phi_s|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} = |\Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}}. \quad (6.21)$$

We fix a uniformly locally finite cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\nu\}_\nu = \{B_d(x_\nu)\}_\nu$ by normal charts of radius $d < r_{inj}(M, g)$ and associated decomposition of unity $\{\varphi_\nu\}_\nu$ satisfying

$$|\nabla^i \varphi_\nu| \leq C \text{ for all } \nu, \quad 0 \leq i \leq k+2 \quad (6.22)$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} N(\Phi) &= |\langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2} \eta^{op} \Phi \rangle| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left| \langle \delta(m), \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-s^2}{4t}} e^{isD} (\eta^{op} \Phi) ds \rangle \right|_{L_2(dp)} = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{\frac{-s^2}{4t}} (e^{isD} \eta^{op} \Phi)(m) ds \right|_{L_2(dp)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

We decompose

$$\eta^{op}(\Phi) = \sum_{\nu} \varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi. \quad (6.24)$$

(6.24) is a locally finite sum, (6.18) linear. Hence

$$(\eta^{op}(\Phi))_s = \sum_{\nu} (\varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi)_s. \quad (6.25)$$

Denote as above

$$| \cdot |_{p,i} \equiv | \cdot |_{W^{p,i}},$$

in particular

$$| \cdot |_{2,i} \equiv | \cdot |_{W^{2,i}} \sim | \cdot |_{H^i}, \quad i \leq k. \quad (6.26)$$

Then we obtain from (6.21), (6.22), (2.1)

$$|(\varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi)_s|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} = |\varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_6 |\varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi|_{2, \frac{r}{2}} \leq$$

$$\leq C_7 |\eta^{op} \Phi|_{2, \frac{r}{2}, U_\nu} \leq C_8 |\eta|_{2, \frac{r}{2}, U_\nu} \leq C_9 |\eta|_{1, r-1, U_\nu} \quad (6.27)$$

since $r - 1 - \frac{n}{i} \geq \frac{r}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$, $r - 1 \geq \frac{r}{2}$, $2 \geq i$ for $r > n + 2$ and $|\Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_5$. This yields together with (2.3) the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |(\eta^{op} \Phi)_s(m)| &\leq C_{10} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ m \in U_s(U_\nu)}} |(\varphi_\nu \eta^{op} \Phi)_s|_{2, \frac{r}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq C_{11} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\nu \\ m \in U_s(U_\nu)}} |\eta|_{1, r-1, U_\nu} \leq C_{12} \cdot |\eta|_{1, r-1, B_{2d+|s|}(m)} = \\ &= C_{12} \cdot \text{vol}(B_{2d+|s|}(m)) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1, r-1, B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

There exist constants A and B , independent of m s. t.

$$\text{vol}(B_{2d+|s|}(m)) \leq A \cdot e^{B|s|}.$$

Write

$$e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} \cdot \text{vol}(B_{2d+|s|}(m)) \leq C_{13} \cdot e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}}, \quad C_{13} = A \cdot e^{10B^2 t}, \quad (6.29)$$

thus obtaining

$$N(\Phi) \leq C_{14} \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1, r-1, B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \right) ds,$$

$$C_{14} = C_{12} \cdot C_{13} = C_{12} \cdot A \cdot e^{10B^2 t}.$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.7 with $R = 3d + s$ and infer

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1, r-1, B_{2d+|s|}(m)} dm &\leq \\ &\leq |\eta|_{1, r-1} + C(3d + s) \cdot (2d + s) |\nabla \eta|_{1, r-1} \leq \\ &\leq |\eta|_{1, r-1} + C(3d + s) \cdot (2d + s) |\eta|_{1, r-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

$C(3d + s)$ depends on $3d + s$ at most linearly exponentielly, i. e.

$$C(3d + s) \cdot (2d + s) \leq A_1 e^{B_1 s}.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} \int_M \frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1,r-1,B_{2d+|s|}(m)} dm ds \quad (6.31) \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} (|\eta|_{1,r-1} + C(3d + s) \cdot (2d + s) |\eta|_{1,r-1}) ds \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{8}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} ds (|\eta|_{1,r-1} + A_1 e^{10B_1^2 t} |\eta|_{1,r}) \\ & = \sqrt{t} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5\pi} (|\eta|_{1,r-1} + A_1 e^{10B_1^2 t} |\eta|_{1,r}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The function $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$(s, m) \rightarrow e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1,r-1,B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \right)$$

is measurable, nonnegative, the integrals (6.30), (6.31) exist, hence according to the principle of Tonelli, this function is 1-summable, the Fubini theorem is applicable and

$$\tilde{\eta} := C_{10} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{9}{10} \frac{s^2}{4t}} \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol} B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \cdot |\eta|_{1,r-1,B_{2d+|s|}(m)} \right) ds$$

is (for $\eta \neq 0$) everywhere $\neq 0$ and i -summable. We proved

$$\int |(W(t, m, p), \eta^{op} \cdot)_p|^2 \leq \tilde{\eta}(m)^2. \quad (6.32)$$

Now we set

$$f(m) = (\tilde{\eta}(m))^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (6.33)$$

and infer $f(m) \neq 0$ everywhere, $f \in L_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
|f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \circ \eta|_{L_2}^2 &= \int_M \int_M f(m)^{-2} |(W(\frac{s}{2}, m, p), \eta^{op})_p|^2 dp dm \\
&\leq \int_M \frac{1}{\tilde{\eta}(m)} \tilde{\eta}(m)^2 dm = \int_M \tilde{\eta}(m) dm \\
&\leq C_{12} \cdot A \cdot e^{10B^2s} \sqrt{s} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5\pi} (|\eta|_{1,r-1} + A_1 e^{10B_1^2s} |\eta|_{1,r}) \\
&\leq C_{15} \sqrt{s} e^{10B^2s} |\eta|_{1,r}, \tag{6.34}
\end{aligned}$$

i. e.

$$|f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \circ \eta|_2 \leq C_{15}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot e^{5B^2s} \cdot |\eta|_{1,r}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.35}$$

Here according to the term $A_1 e^{10B_1^2s}$, C_{15} still depends on s .

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \circ f|_{L_2} \cdot |f^{-1} \circ e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \circ \eta| &\leq C_4 |f|_{L_2} \cdot C_{15}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot e^{5B^2s} \cdot |\eta|_{1,r}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_4 \cdot C_{15} \sqrt{s} e^{10B^2s} |\eta|_{1,r} \\
&= C_{16} \cdot \sqrt{s} \cdot e^{10B^2s} |\eta|_{1,r}. \tag{6.36}
\end{aligned}$$

This yields $e^{-sD^2} \circ \eta$ is of trace class,

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{-sD^2} \eta|_1 &\leq |e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \circ f|_2 \cdot |f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} \eta|_2 \\
&\leq C_{16} \sqrt{s} e^{10B^2s} |\eta|_{1,r}, \tag{6.37}
\end{aligned}$$

$e^{-sD^2} \circ \eta \circ D' \circ e^{-(t-s)D'^2}$ is of trace class,

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{-sD^2} \circ \eta \circ D' \circ e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_1 &\leq |e^{-sD^2} \eta|_1 \cdot |D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_{op} \\
&\leq C_{16} \sqrt{s} e^{10B^2s} |\eta|_{1,r} \cdot C' \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}, \tag{6.38}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (e^{-sD^2} \circ \eta \circ D' \circ e^{-(t-s)D'^2}) ds \right|_1 &\leq \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t |e^{-sD^2} \eta \circ D' e^{-(t-s)D'^2}|_1 ds \\
&\leq C_{16} \cdot C' \cdot e^{10B^2t} |\eta|_{1,r} \cdot \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left(\frac{s}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds, \tag{6.39}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left(\frac{s}{t-s} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds = [\sqrt{s(t-s)} + \frac{t}{2} \arcsin \frac{2s-t}{t}]_{\frac{t}{2}}^t = -\frac{t}{2} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{t}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 1 \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (e^{-sD^2} \circ \eta \circ D' \circ e^{-(t-s)D'^2}) ds \right|_1 &\leq C_{16} \cdot C' \cdot e^{10B^2t} \cdot \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{t}{2} |\eta|_{1,r} \\ &= C_{17} e^{10B^2t} \cdot t \cdot |\eta|_{1,r}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

Here $C_{17} = C_{17}(t)$ and $C_{17}(t)$ can grow exponentially in t if the volume grows exponentially. (6.40) expresses the fact that (I_4) is of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. The treatment of $(I_1) - (I_3)$ is quite parallel to that of (I_4) . Write the integrand of (I_3) , (I_2) or (I_1) as

$$(De^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2})[(e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2}f)(f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2}\eta)]e^{-(t-s)D'^2} \quad (6.41)$$

or

$$(e^{-sD^2})[(\eta e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{4}D'^2}f^{-1})(fe^{-\frac{(t-s)}{4}D'^2})]D'e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}D'^2} \quad (6.42)$$

or

$$(e^{-sD^2}D)[(\eta e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}D'^2}f^{-1})(fe^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}D'^2})], \quad (6.43)$$

respectively. Then in the considered intervals the expression $[\dots]$ are of trace class which can literally be proved as for (I_4) . The main point in (I_4) was the estimate of $f^{-1}e^{-\pi D^2}\eta$. In (6.42), (6.43) we have to estimate expressions $\eta e^{-\tau D'^2}f^{-1}$. Here we use the fact that $\eta = \eta^{op}$ is symmetric with respect to the fibre metric h : the endomorphism $\eta_{e_i}(\cdot)$ is skew symmetric as the Clifford multiplication $e_i \cdot$ which yields together that η^{op} is symmetric. Then the L_2 -estimate of $(\eta^{op} \cdot W'(\tau, m, p), \cdot)$ is the same as that of $W'(\tau, m, p), \eta^{op}(p) \cdot$ and we can perform the same procedure as that starting with (6.12). The only distinction are other constants. Here essentially enters the equivalence of the D - and D' -Sobolev spaces i. e. the symmetry of our uniform structure. The factors outside $[\dots]$ produce $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ on $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ on $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ (up to constants). Hence $(I_1) - (I_3)$ are of trace class with uniformly bounded trace

norm on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. This finishes the proof of theorem 6.1. $\square$

For our later applications we need still the trace class property of

$$e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-tD'^2}D'. \quad (6.44)$$

Consider the decomposition

$$e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-tD'^2}D' = e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}) \quad (6.45)$$

$$+ (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D - e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}D')e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}. \quad (6.46)$$

According to 6.1, $e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}$ is for $t > 0$ of trace class. Moreover, $e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D = De^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}$ is for $t > 0$ bounded, its operator norm is $\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$. Hence their product is for $t > 0$ of trace class and has bounded trace norm for $t \in [a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. (6.45) is done. We can write (6.46) as

$$\begin{aligned} (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D - e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}D')e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2} &= [e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}(D - D') + (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2} - e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2})D'] \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2} \\ &= [-e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}\eta]e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2} + [\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2}D\eta e^{-(\frac{t}{2}-s)D'^2} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2}\eta D'e^{-(\frac{t}{2}-s)D'^2} ds](D'e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

Now

$$[e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}\eta] \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2} = [(e^{-\frac{t}{4}D^2}f)(f^{-1}e^{-\frac{t}{4}D^2}\eta)]e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}. \quad (6.48)$$

(6.48) is of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on any $[a_0, a_1]$,

$a_0 > 0$, according the proof of 6.1. If we decompose $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} = \int_0^{\frac{t}{4}} + \int_{\frac{t}{4}}^{\frac{t}{2}}$ then we obtain

back from the integrals in (6.47) the integrals $(I_1) - (I_4)$, replacing $t \rightarrow \frac{t}{2}$. These are done. $D'e^{-\frac{t}{2}D'^2}$ generates $C/\sqrt{t}$ in the estimate of the trace norm. Hence we proved

Theorem 6.4 *Assume $(E, \nabla) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ with $(I), (B_k)$, (E, ∇) with (B_k) , $k \geq r > n + 2$, $n \geq 2$, $\nabla' \in \text{comp}(\nabla) \cap \mathcal{C}_E(B_k) \subset \mathcal{C}_E^{1,r}(B_k)$, $D = D(g, \nabla)$, $D' = D(g, \nabla')$ generalized Dirac operators. Then*

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$$

and

$$De^{-tD^2} - D'e^{-tD'^2}$$

are trace class operators for $t > 0$ and their trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. $\square$

7 Trace class property for variation of the Clifford structure

Our intention is to admit much more general perturbations than those of $\nabla = \nabla^h$ only. Nevertheless, the discussion of more general perturbations is modelled by the case of ∇ -perturbation. In this section, we admit perturbations of $g, \nabla^h, \cdot$, fixing h , the topology and vector bundle structure of $E \longrightarrow M$. The main result of this section shall be formulated as follows.

Theorem 7.1 *Let $E = (E, h, \nabla = \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ be a Clifford bundle with $(I), (B_k(M, g)), (B_k(E, \nabla))$, $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, $E' = (E, h, \nabla' = \nabla'^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g') \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E) \cap \text{CLB}^{N, n}(I, B_k)$, $D = D(g, h, \nabla = \nabla^h, \cdot)$, $D' = D(g', h, \nabla' = \nabla'^h, \cdot)$ the associated generalized Dirac operators. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} \tag{7.1}$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Here $D'^2_{L_2}$ is the unitary transformation of D'^2 to $L_2 = L_2((M, E), g, h)$. 7.1. needs some explanations. D acts in $L_2 = L_2((M, E), g, h)$, D' in $L'_2 = L_2((M, E), g', h)$. L_2 and L'_2 are quasi isometric Hilbert spaces. As vector spaces they coincide, their scalar products can be quite different but must

be mutually bounded at the diagonal after multiplication by constants. D is self adjoint on $\mathcal{D}_D$ in L_2 , D' is self adjoint on $\mathcal{D}_{D'}$ in L'_2 but not necessarily in L_2 . Hence $e^{-tD'^2}$ and $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ are not defined in L_2 . One has to graft D^2 or D'^2 . Write $dvol_q(g) \equiv dq(g) = \alpha(q) \cdot dq(g') \equiv dvol_q(g')$. Then

$$0 < c_1 \leq \alpha(q) \leq c_2, \alpha, \alpha^{-1} \text{ are } (g, \nabla^g) - \text{ and } (g', \nabla^{g'}) - \text{ bounded} \\ \text{up to order 3, } |\alpha - 1|_{g,1,r+1}, |\alpha^{-1} - 1|_{g',1,r+1} < \infty, \quad (7.2)$$

since $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$. Define $U : L_2 \longrightarrow L'_2$, $U\Phi = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi$. Then U is a unitary equivalence between L_2 and L'_2 , $U^* = U^{-1}$. $D'_{L_2} := U^*D'U$ acts in L_2 , is self adjoint on $U^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{D'})$, since U is a unitary equivalence. The same holds for $D'^2_{L_2} = U^*D'^2U = (U^*D'U)^2$. It follows from the definition of the spectral measure, the spectral integral and the spectral representations $D'^2 = \int \lambda^2 dE'_\lambda$, $e^{-tD'^2} = \int e^{-t\lambda^2} dE'_\lambda$ that $D'^2_{L_2} = U^*D'^2U = U^* \int \lambda^2 dE'_\lambda U = \int \lambda^2 d(U^*E'_\lambda U)$ and

$$e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} = \int e^{-t\lambda^2} d(U^*E'_\lambda U) = U^* \left(\int e^{-t\lambda^2} dE'_\lambda \right) U = U^* e^{-tD'^2} U. \quad (7.3)$$

In (7.1) $e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}}$ means $e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} = e^{-t(U^*D'U)^2} = U^* e^{-tD'^2} U$. We obtain from $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$, $\nabla'^h \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(\nabla^h g)$, $\cdot' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(\cdot)$, $D - \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}D'\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} = D - D' - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{2\alpha}$ and (7.2) the following lemma

Lemma 7.2 $W^{1,i}(E, g, h, \nabla^h) = W^{1,i}(E, g', h, \nabla'^h)$ as equivalent Banach spaces, $0 \leq i \leq r+1$. $\square$

Corollary 7.3 $W^{2,i}(E, g, h, \nabla^h) = W^{2,i}(E, g', h, \nabla'^h)$ as equivalent Hilbert spaces, $0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}$. $\square$

Corollary 7.4 $H^j(E, D) \cong H^j(E, D')$, $0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}$. $\square$

7.2 has a parallel version for the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}E$.

Lemma 7.5 $\Omega^{1,1,i}(\text{End}E, g, h, \nabla^h) \cong \Omega^{1,1,i}(\text{End}E, g', h, \nabla'^h)$ $0 \leq i \leq r+1$. $\square$

Lemma 7.6 $\Omega^{1,2,j}(EndE, g, h, \nabla^h) \cong \Omega^{1,2,j}(EndE, g', h, \nabla'^h)$ $0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}$.

□

$e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} : L_2 \longrightarrow L_2$ has evidently the heat kernel

$$W'_{L_2}(t, m, p) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m)W'(t, m, p)\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(p)$$

$W' \equiv W'_{L_2}$. Our next task is to obtain an explicit expression for $e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}}$. For this we apply again Duhamel's principle. The steps 1. – 4. in the proof of 6.3. remain. Then we set $\Phi(t, q) = W(t, m, q)$, $\Psi(t, q) = W'_{L_2}(t, m, q)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_M h_q(W(\tau, m, q), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})W'_{L_2}(t - \tau, q, p)) dq(g) d\tau = \\ & = \int_M [h_q(W(\beta, m, q), W'_{L_2}(t - \beta, q, p) - h_q(W(\alpha, m, q), W'_{L_2}(t - \alpha, q, p))] dq(g). \end{aligned}$$

Performing $\alpha \longrightarrow 0^+$, $\beta \longrightarrow t$ and using $dq(g) = \alpha(q)dq(g')$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^t \int_M h_q(W(s, m, q), (D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})W'(t - s, q, p)) dq(g) ds = \\ & = - \int_0^t \int_M [h_q(W(s, m, q), (D^2 - D'^2_{L_2})W'_{L_2}(t - s, q, p) dq(g) ds \\ & = W(t, m, p)\alpha(p) - W'_{L_2}(t, m, p). \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

(7.4) expresses the operator equation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-tD^2}\alpha - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} &= - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2}(D^2 - D'^2_{L_2})e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \\ e^{-tD^2}\alpha - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} &= e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1) + e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}}, \text{ hence} \\ e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}} &= -e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1) - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2}(D^2 - D'^2_{L_2})e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{7.5}$$

As we mentioned in (7.2), $(\alpha - 1) = \frac{dq(g)}{dq(g')} - 1 = \frac{\sqrt{\det g}}{\sqrt{\det g'}} - 1 \in \Omega^{0,1,r+1}$ since $g \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$. We write $e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1) = (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}f)(f^{-1}e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}(\alpha - 1))$, determine f as in section 6 from $\eta_\alpha = \alpha - 1$ and obtain $e^{-tD^2}(\alpha - 1)$ is of trace class with trace norm uniformly bounded on any t -interval $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. Decompose $D^2 - D'^2_{L_2} = D(D - D'_{L_2}) + (D - D'_{L_2})D'_{L_2}$. We need explicit analytic expressions for this. $D(D - D'_{L_2}) = D(D - \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}D'\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) = D(D - D') - D\frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{2\alpha}$, $(D - D'_{L_2})D'_{L_2} = ((D - D') - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{2\alpha})\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}D'\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If we set again $D - D' = -\eta$ then we have to consider as in section 6 with $\frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{2\alpha} = \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{2\alpha}$ where $\text{grad}' \equiv \text{grad}_{g'}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D\left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \left(\eta - \frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{2\alpha}\right) D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately from $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$ that the vector field $\frac{\text{grad}'\alpha}{\alpha} \in \Omega^{0,1,r}(TM)$. If we write $\eta_0^{op} = -\frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{\alpha}$ then η_0^{op} is a zero order operator, $|\eta_0|_r < \infty$ and we literally repeat the procedure for $(I_1) - (I_4)$ in section 6, inserting $\eta_0 = -\frac{\text{grad}'\alpha'}{\alpha}$ for η there. Hence there remains to discuss the integrals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D\eta e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds + \\ & \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} \eta D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

The first main step is to insert explicit expressions for $D - D'$. Let $m_0 \in M$, $U = U(m_0)$ a manifold and bundle coordinate neighborhood with coordinates $x^1, \dots, x^n$ and local bundle basis $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_n : U \longrightarrow E|_U$. Setting $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} \Phi_\alpha \equiv \nabla_i \Phi_\alpha = \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta \Phi_\beta$, $\nabla \Phi_\alpha = dx^i \otimes \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta \Phi_\beta$, we can write $D\Phi_\alpha = \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta g^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \Phi_\beta$, $D'\Phi_\alpha = \Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot' \Phi_\beta$, or for a local section Φ

$$D\Phi = g^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \nabla_i \Phi, \quad D'\Phi = g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot' \nabla'_i \Phi. \quad (7.7)$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} -(D - D')\Phi &= g^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \nabla_i \Phi - g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot' \nabla'_i \Phi \\ &= [(g^{ik} - g'^{ik}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \nabla_i + g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot (\nabla_i - \nabla'_i)] \Phi \\ &\quad + g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

i. e. we can write

$$-(D - D')\Phi = (\eta_1^{op} + \eta_2^{op} + \eta_3^{op})\Phi, \quad (7.9)$$

where locally

$$\eta_1^{op}\Phi = (g^{ik} - g'^{ik}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \nabla_i \Phi, \quad (7.10)$$

$$\eta_2^{op}\Phi = g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot (\nabla_i - \nabla'_i)\Phi, \quad (7.11)$$

$$\eta_3^{op}\Phi = g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi. \quad (7.12)$$

Here $(g'^{ik}) = (g'_{jl})^{-1}$. We simply write η_ν instead η_ν^{op} , hence

$$(7.6) = \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D(\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds + \quad (7.13)$$

$$+ \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3) D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \quad (7.14)$$

We have to estimate

$$\int_0^t e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds \quad (7.15)$$

and

$$\int_0^t e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \quad (7.16)$$

Decompose $\int_0^t = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t$ which yields

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,1})$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,2})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,3})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \quad (I_{\nu,4})$$

$(I_{\nu,1}) - (I_{\nu,4})$ look as $(I_1) - (I_4)$ in section 6. But in distinction to section 6, not all $\eta_\nu = \eta_\nu^{op}$ are operators of order zero. Only η_2 is a zero order operator, generated by an $\text{End}E$ valued 1-form η_2 . η_1 and η_3 are first order operators. We start with $\nu = 2$, $\eta_2 \cdot |\eta_2|_{1,r} < \infty$ is a consequence of $E' \in \text{comp}_{L,diff}^{1,r+1}(E)$

and we are from an analytical point of view exactly in the situation of section 6. $(I_{2,1}) - (I_{2,4})$ can be estimated quite parallel to $(I_1) - (I_4)$ in section 6 and we are done. There remains to estimate $(I_{\nu,j})$, $\nu \neq 2$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$. We start with $\nu = 1$, $j = 3$ and write

$$e^{-sD^2} D \eta_1 e^{-(t-s)D'^2} = (De^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2})(e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} \cdot f)(f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} \eta_1)(e^{-(t-s)D'^2}). \quad (7.17)$$

$De^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2}$ and $e^{-(t-s)D'^2}$ are bounded in $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$ and we perform their estimate as in section 6. $e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} \cdot f$ is Hilbert–Schmidt if $f \in L_2$. There remains to show that for appropriate f

$$f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} \eta_1$$

is Hilbert–Schmidt. Recall $r + 1 > n + 3$, $n \geq 2$, which implies $\frac{r}{2} > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, $r - 1 - n \geq \frac{r}{2} - \frac{n}{2}$, $r - 1 \geq \frac{r}{2}$, $2 \geq i$, i. e. 2.4, 2.5 are available. If we write in the sequel pointwise or Sobolev norms we should always write $|\Psi|_{g',h,m'}$, $|\Psi|_{H^\nu(E,D')}$, $|\Psi|_{g',h,\nabla',2,\frac{r}{2}}$, $|g - g'|_{g',m}$, $|g - g'|_{g',1,r}$ etc. or the same with respect to g, h, ∇, D , depending on the situation. But we often omit the reference to $g', h, \nabla', D, m, g, h \dots$ in the notation. The justification for doing this in the Sobolev case is the symmetry of our uniform structure.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_1 \Phi)(m) &= ((g^{ik} - g'^{ik}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \nabla_i \Phi)|_m, \\ |\eta_1 \Phi|_m &= |\eta_1 \Phi|_{g,h,m} \\ &\leq C_1 \cdot |g - g'|_{g,m} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right|_{g,m}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_i \Phi|_{h,m}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

To estimate $\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right|_{g,m}^2$ more concretely we assume that $x^1, \dots, x^n$ are normal coordinates with respect to g , i. e. we assume a (uniformly locally finite) cover of M by normal charts of fixed radius $\leq r_{inj}(M, g)$. Then $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right|_{g,m}^2 = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}\right) = g_{kk}(m)$, and there is a constant $C_2 = C_2(R, r_{inj}(M, g))$ s. t. $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_i \Phi|_{h,m}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_2$. Using finally $|\nabla_X \Phi| \leq |X| \cdot |\nabla \Phi|$, we obtain

$$|\eta_1 \Phi|_m \leq C \cdot |g - g'|_g \cdot |\nabla \Phi|_{h,m}. \quad (7.19)$$

(7.19) extends by the Leibniz rule to higher derivatives $|\nabla^k \eta_1 \Phi|_m$, where the polynomials on the right hand side are integrable by the module structure theorem (this is just the content of this theorem). (7.18), (7.19) also hold (with other constants) if we perform some of the replacements $g \longrightarrow g'$, $\nabla \longrightarrow \nabla'$: We remark that the expressions $D(g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot, \cdot)$, $D(g', h, \nabla^h, \cdot, \cdot)$ are invariantly defined, hence

$$[D(g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot, \cdot) - D(g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot, \cdot)](\Phi|_U) = ((g^{ik} - g'^{ik})\partial_k) \cdot \nabla_i(\Phi|_U). \quad (7.20)$$

We have to estimate the kernel of

$$h_p(W(t, m, p), \eta_1^{op} \cdot) \quad (7.21)$$

in $L_2((M, E), g, h)$ and to show that this represents the product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators in $L_2 = L_2((M, E), g, h)$. We cannot immediately apply the procedure starting with (6.27), (6.28) since η_1^{op} is not of zero order but we would be done if we could write (7.21) as

$$(\eta_{1,1}^{op}(p)W(t, m, p), \eta_{1,0}^{op} \cdot), \quad (7.22)$$

$\eta_{1,1}^{op}$ of first order, $\eta_{1,0}^{op}$ of zeroth order. Then we would replace in (6.23), $\dots$, W by $\eta_{1,1}^{op}(p)W(t, m, p)$, apply $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7 and obtain

$$\eta_{1,1}^{op}W(t, m, \cdot) \in H^{\frac{r}{2}}(E), \quad |W(t, m, \cdot)|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C(t) \quad (7.23)$$

and would then literally proceed as in (6.27) – (6.40).

Let $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int (W(t, m, p), \eta_1^{op}(p)\Phi(p))_p \, dvol_p(g) = \\ & \int (((g^{ik} - g'^{ik})\partial_k) \cdot \nabla_i W, \Phi)_p \, dvol_p(g) - \\ & - \int (W, (\nabla_i(g^{ik} - g'^{ik})\partial_k) \cdot \Phi) \, dvol_p(g) = \\ & - \int (\nabla_i W, (g^{ik} - g'^{ik})\partial_k \cdot \Phi)_p \, dvol_p(g) - \\ & - \int (W, (\nabla_i((g^{ik} - g'^{ik})\partial_k)) \cdot \Phi)_p \, dvol_p(g). \end{aligned}$$

This can easily be globalized by introducing a u. l. f. cover by normal charts $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of fixed radius, an associated decomposition of unity $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int (W, \eta_1^{op}(\sum \varphi_\alpha \Phi)) &= \sum_\alpha \int (W, \eta_1^{op}(\varphi_\alpha \Phi)) \\
&= \sum_\alpha \int (\nabla_{\alpha,i} W, ((g_\alpha^{ik} - g_\alpha'^{ik}) \partial_{\alpha,k} \cdot \varphi_\alpha \Phi) - \\
&\quad - \sum_\alpha \int (W, (\nabla_{\alpha,i}((g_\alpha^{ik} - g_\alpha'^{ik}) \partial_k)) \cdot \varphi_\alpha \Phi) \\
&= - \int (\sum_\alpha \nabla_{\alpha,i} W, \varphi_\alpha ((g_\alpha^{ik} - g_\alpha'^{ik}) \partial_{\alpha,k}) \cdot \Phi) - \tag{7.24} \\
&\quad - \int (W, \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha (\nabla_{\alpha,i}((g_\alpha^{ik} - g_\alpha'^{ik}) \partial_k)) \cdot \Phi) \tag{7.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (7.24), (7.25), we write

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\Phi) &= |\langle \delta(m), e^{tD^2} \eta_1^{op} \Phi \rangle|_{L_2(M,E,dp)} \\
&= |(W(t, m, p), \eta_1^{op} \Phi)_p|_{L_2(M,E,dp)} \\
&= |(\eta_{1,1}^{op}(p) W(t, m, p), \eta_{1,0}^{op} \Phi)_p + \\
&\quad + (W(t, m, p, \eta_{1,0,0}^{op} \Phi))_p|_{L_2(M,E,dp)}. \tag{7.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use $|\nabla_X \chi| \leq |X| \cdot |\nabla \chi|$, that the cover is u.l.f. and $|\nabla W| \leq C_1 \cdot (|DW| + W)$ (since we have bounded geometry) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\Phi) &\leq C \cdot (|(DW(t, m, p), \eta_{1,0}^{op} \Phi)|_{L_2(M,E,dp)} + |W(t, m, p, \eta_{1,0,0}^{op} \Phi)|_{L_2(dp)}) \\
&\equiv C \cdot (N_1(\Phi) + N_2(\Phi)). \tag{7.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have to estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} N_1(\Phi) = \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} |\langle \delta(m), (De^{-tD^2}) \eta_{1,0}^{op} \Phi \rangle|_{L_2 dp} \tag{7.28}$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} N_2(\Phi) = \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} |\langle \delta(m), (e^{-tD^2}) \eta_{1,0,0}^{op} \Phi \rangle|_{L_2 dp}. \tag{7.29}$$

According to $k > r + 1 > n + 3$, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7,

$$\begin{aligned} D(W(t, m, \cdot), W(t, m, \cdot)) &\in H^{\frac{r}{2}}(E), \\ |(D(W(t, m, \cdot))|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}}, |W(t, m, \cdot)|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}})| &\leq C_1(t) \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

and we can restrict in (7.28), (7.29) to

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1 \\ |\Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_1(t)}} N_i(\Phi). \quad (7.31)$$

$\eta_{1,0}^{op}$, $\eta_{1,0,0}^{op}$ are of order zero and we estimate them by

$$C \cdot |g - g'|_{g,2,\frac{r}{2}} \leq C' |g - g'|_{g,1,r-1} \quad (7.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad D \cdot |\nabla(g - g')|_{g,2,\frac{r}{2}} &\leq D' |\nabla(g - g')|_{g,1,r-1} \\ &\leq D'' |g - g'|_{g,1,r} \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

respectively. As we have seen already, into the estimate (7.33) enters $|\nabla \eta|_{1,r-1}$, i. e. in our case $|\nabla^2(g - g')|_{r-1} \sim |g - g'|_{r+1}$. For this reason we assumed $E' \in \text{comp}_{L,diff,F}^{1,r+1}(E)$, not as in section 6, $E \in \text{comp}^{1,r}(E')$. In the expression for $N_1(\Phi)$ corresponding to (6.23) there is now a slight deviation,

$$N_1(\Phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \frac{1}{2t} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s \cdot e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} e^{isD} \eta_{1,0}^{op} \Phi(m) ds \right|. \quad (7.34)$$

We estimate in (7.34) $s \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{18}\frac{s^2}{4t}}$ by a constant, write instead of (6.29)

$$e^{-\frac{17}{18}\frac{s^2}{4t}} \cdot \text{vol}(B_{2d+s}(m)) \leq C \cdot e^{-\frac{9}{10}\frac{s^2}{4t}}$$

and proceed now for $N_1(\Phi)$, $N_2(\Phi)$ literally as in (6.29) – (6.40). Hence (7.17) is of trace class, its trace norm is uniformly bounded on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. $(I_{1,3})$ is done. $(I_{1,4})$ is absolutely parallel to $(I_{1,3})$, even better, since the left hand factor D is missing. $|D'_{L_2} e^{-(t-s)D'_{L_2}}|_{op}$ now produces the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}$ which is integrable over $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$. Write the integrand of $(I_{1,1})$ as

$$(De^{-sD^2})(\eta_1 e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}D'_{L_2}} f^{-1})(f e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}D'_{L_2}}). \quad (7.35)$$

We proceed with (7.35) as before. Here η_1 already stands at the right place, we must not perform partial integration. Into the estimate enters again the first derivative of W' . De^{-sD^2} generates the factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}$ which is integrable on $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$. We write $(I_{1,2})$ as

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} [(\eta_1 e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{4}D_{L_2}'^2} f^{-1})(f e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{4}D_{L_2}'^2})] e^{-\frac{(t-s)}{2}D_{L_2}'^2} D_{L_2}'^2 ds \quad (7.36)$$

and proceed as before.

Consider finally the case $\nu = 3$, locally

$$\eta_3^{op}\Phi = g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi.$$

The first step in this procedure is quite similar as in the case $\nu = 1$ to shift the derivation to the left of W and to shift all zero order terms to the right. Let X be a tangent vector field and Φ a section.

Lemma 7.7 $X(\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi = \nabla'_i (X(\cdot - \cdot') \Phi) + \text{zero order terms}.$

Proof. $X(\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi = [X(\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi - \nabla'_i (X(\cdot - \cdot') \Phi)] + \nabla'_i (X(\cdot - \cdot') \Phi).$ We are done if [...] on the right hand side contains no derivatives of Φ . But an easy calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & [X(\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi - \nabla'_i (X(\cdot - \cdot') \Phi)] = \\ & = X \cdot (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) \Phi - (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) (X \cdot \Phi) \\ & + (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) X \cdot' \Phi + (\nabla_i X) (\cdot' - \cdot) \Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (7.37)$$

□

Hence for $\Phi, \Psi \in C_c^\infty(U)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int h(\Psi, g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_i \Phi)_p dp(g) = \\ & = \int h(\Psi, \nabla'_i (g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \Phi))_p dp(g) + \end{aligned} \quad (7.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \int h(\Psi, g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) \Phi - (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \Phi)_p + \\ & + (\nabla'_i - \nabla_i) X \cdot' \Phi + \left(\nabla_i g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) (\cdot' - \cdot) \Phi)_p dp(g). \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

(7.38) equals to

$$\int h(\nabla'_i{}^* \Psi, g'^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \Phi)_p dp(g). \quad (7.40)$$

If Φ is Sobolev and $\Psi = W$ then we obtain again by a u.l.f. cover by normal charts $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ and an associated decomposition of unity $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int h(W, \eta_3^{op} \Phi)_p dp(g) = \\ &= \int h(W, \sum_\alpha g'^{ik}_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (\cdot - \cdot') \nabla'_{\alpha,i} (\varphi_\alpha \Phi))_p dp(g) = \\ &= \int h(\nabla'_{\alpha,i}{}^* W, \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha g'^{ik}_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k_\alpha} (\cdot - \cdot') \Phi)_p dp(g) + \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

$$+ \int h(W, \eta_{3,0}^{op} \Phi)_p dp(g), \quad (7.42)$$

where $\eta_{3,0}^{op} \Phi$ is the right component in $h(\cdot, \cdot)$ under the integral (7.41), multiplied with φ_α and summed up over α .

Now we proceed literally as before. Start with

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{3,3}) &= \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D \eta_3^{op} e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds = \\ &= \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (D e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2}) [(e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} f)(f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} \eta_3^{op})] e^{-(t-s)D'^2_{L_2}} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

We want that for suitable $f \in L_2$, $f^{-1} e^{\frac{s}{4}D^2} \eta_3^{op}$ is Hilbert–Schmidt. For this we have to estimate $h(W(t, m, p), \eta_3^{op} \cdot)_p$ and to show it defines an integral operator with finite $L_2((M, E), dp)$ -norm. We estimate

$$N(\Phi) = |\langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2} \eta_3^{op} \Phi \rangle|_{L_2((M, E), dp)} = \quad (7.44)$$

$$= |h(W(t, m, p), \eta_3^{op} \Phi)_p|_{L_2((M, E), dp)}. \quad (7.45)$$

Using (7.41) and (7.42), we write

$$\begin{aligned} N(\Phi) &= |h(W(t, m, p), \eta_3^{op} \Phi)_p|_{L_2(dp)} = \\ &= |h(\eta_{3,1}^{op} W(t, m, p), \eta_{3,0}^{op} \Phi)_p| \\ &+ |h(W(t, m, p), \eta_{3,0}^{op} \Phi)_p|_{L_2(dp)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.46)$$

Now we use $|\nabla'_X{}^*\chi| \leq C_1|\nabla'_X\chi| \leq C_2|X| \cdot |\nabla'\chi| \leq C_3|X|(|\nabla\chi| + |\chi|)$, that the cover is u.l.f. and $|\nabla W| \leq C_4(|DW| + |W|)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N(\Phi) &\leq C(|hDW(t, m, p), \eta_{3,0}^{op}\Phi|_{L_2(dp)} + |h(W(t, m, p), \eta_{3,0,0}^{op}\Phi)|_{L_2(dp)} = \\ &= C(N_1(\Phi) + N_2(\Phi)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we again essentially use the bounded geometry and refer to [3] and [21].

Hence we have to estimate

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} N_1(\Phi) = \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} |\langle \delta(m), (De^{-tD^2})\eta_{3,0}^{op}\Phi \rangle|_{L_2(dp)} \quad (7.47)$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} N_2(\Phi) = \sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1}} |\langle \delta(m), e^{-tD^2}\eta_{3,0,0}^{op}\Phi \rangle|_{L_2(dp)}. \quad (7.48)$$

According to $k > r + 1 > n + 3$, 5.4, 5.5, 5.7

$$\begin{aligned} DW(t, m, \cdot), W(t, m, \cdot) &\in H^{\frac{r}{2}}(E) \\ |DW(t, m, \cdot)|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}}, |W(t, m, \cdot)|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} &\leq C_1(t) \end{aligned} \quad (7.49)$$

and we can restrict on (7.48), (7.49) to

$$\sup_{\substack{\Phi \in C_c^\infty(E) \\ |\Phi|_{L_2} = 1 \\ |\Phi|_{H^{\frac{r}{2}}} \leq C_1(t)}} \quad (7.50)$$

$\eta_{3,0}^{op}, \eta_{3,0,0}^{op}$ are of order zero and can be estimated by

$$C_0|\cdot - \cdot'|_{2, \frac{r}{2}} \leq C_1|\cdot - \cdot'|_{1, r-1} \quad (7.51)$$

and

$$D_0 \cdot (|\nabla - \nabla'|_{2, \frac{r}{2}} + |\cdot - \cdot'|_{2, \frac{r}{2}}) \leq D_1 \cdot (|\nabla - \nabla'|_{2, r-1} + |\cdot - \cdot'|_{1, r-1}) \quad (7.52)$$

respectively.

Now we proceed literally as for $(I_{1,3})$, replacing (7.34) by

$$N_1(\Phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \frac{1}{2t} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s e^{-\frac{s^2}{4t}} e^{isD} \eta_{3,0}^{op}\Phi(m) ds \right|. \quad (7.53)$$

$(I_{3,3})$ is done, $(I_{3,4})$, $(I_{3,1})$, $(I_{3,2})$ are absolutely parallel to the case $\nu = 1$.

This finishes the proof of 7.1. $\square$

We need in later sections the theorem analogous to 6.3 for the case of additional variation of $g, \nabla^h, \cdot$.

Theorem 7.8 *Suppose the hypotheses of 7.1. Then*

$$De^{-tD^2} - D'_{L_2}e^{-tD'^2_{L_2}}$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Proof. The proof is a simple combination of the proofs of 6.3 and 7.1. $\square$

Example. The simplest standard example is $E = (\Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_{\Lambda^*}, \nabla^{g_{\Lambda^*}}) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$ with Clifford multiplication

$$X \otimes \omega \in T_m M \otimes \Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow X \cdot \omega = \omega_X \wedge \omega - i_X \omega,$$

where $\omega_X := g(\cdot, X)$. In this case E as a vector bundle remains fixed but the Clifford module structure varies smoothly with $g, g' \in \text{comp}(g)$. It is well known that in this case $D = d + d^*$, $D^2 = (d + d^*)^2$ Laplace operator Δ .

Theorem 7.9 *Assume (M^n, g) with (I) , (B_k) , $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, $g' \in \mathcal{M}(I, B_k)$, $g' \in \text{comp}^{1, r+1}(g) \subset \mathcal{M}(I, B_k)$. Denote by $\Delta'_{L_2(g)} = U^*i^*\Delta(g')iU$ the transformation of $\Delta' = \Delta(g')$ from $L_2(g', g') \equiv L_2((M, \Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}), g', g'_{\Lambda^*})$ to $L_2(g) \equiv L_2(g, g) = L_2((M, \Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}), g, g_{\Lambda^*})$, where $i : L_2(g, g') = L_2((M, \Lambda^*T^*M \otimes \mathbb{C}), g', g_{\Lambda^*}) \longrightarrow L_2(g', g')$ and $U : L_2(g, g) \longrightarrow L_2(g', g)$, $U = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $dq(g) = \alpha(q) \cdot dq(g')$, are the canonical maps, i^* , U^* their adjoints. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-t\Delta(g)} - e^{-t\Delta'_{L_2(g)}(g)}$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Unfortunately the proof would not follow from 7.1 since $E' = E'(g') \notin \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ but $E' \in \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}}^{1, r+1}(E)$, the fibre metric g_{Λ^*} varies simultaneously with g . Now there are two possibilities. 1. A complete direct proof for this special case which will be even much easier than the proof of 7.1 since $h', \nabla', \cdot'$ have very explicit expressions depending only on g' . 2. A still more general version of 7.1, admitting even variation of the fibre metric, $g \longrightarrow g', h \longrightarrow h', \nabla^h \longrightarrow \nabla^{h'}, \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot'$. We decide to establish the general version. Before the formulation of the theorem we must give some explanations. Consider the Hilbert spaces $L_2(g, h) = L_2((M, E), g, h)$, $L_2(g', h) = L_2((M, E), g', h)$, $L_2(g', h') = L_2((M, E), g', h') \equiv L'_2$ and the maps

$$\begin{aligned} i_{(g', h), (g', h')} : L_2(g', h) &\longrightarrow L_2(g', h'), \quad i_{(g', h), (g', h')} \Phi = \Phi \\ U_{(g, h), (g', h)} : L_2(g, h) &\longrightarrow L_2(g', h), \quad U_{(g, h), (g', h)} \Phi = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi \end{aligned}$$

where $dp(g) = \alpha(p)dp(g')$. Then we set

$$\begin{aligned} D'_{L_2(g, h)} &= D'_{L_2} := U_{(g, h), (g', h)}^* i_{(g', h), (g', h')}^* D' i_{(g', h), (g', h')} U_{(g, h), (g', h)} \equiv \\ &\equiv U^* i^* D' i U. \end{aligned} \quad (7.54)$$

Here i^* is even locally defined (since g' is fixed) and $i_p^* = \text{dual}_h^{-1} \circ i' \circ \text{dual}_{h'}$, where $\text{dual}_h(\Phi(p)) = h_p(\cdot, \Phi(p))$. In a local basis field $\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N$, $\Phi(p) = \xi^i(p)\Phi_i(p)$,

$$i_p^* \Phi(p) = h^{kl} h'_{ik} \xi^i \Phi_l(p). \quad (7.55)$$

It follows from (7.55) that for $h' \in \text{comp}^{1, r+1}(h)$ i^* , i^{*-1} are bounded up to order k ,

$$\begin{aligned} i^* - 1, i^{*-1} - 1 &\in \Omega^{0, 1, r+1}(Hom((E, h', \nabla^{h'}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow (M, g'), (E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M, g'))) \end{aligned} \quad (7.56)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i^* - 1, i^{*-1} - 1 &\in \Omega^{0, 2, \frac{r+1}{2}}(Hom((E, h', \nabla^{h'}) \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow (M, g'), (E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M, g'))) \end{aligned} \quad (7.57)$$

$D' \equiv \overline{D'}$ is self adjoint on $D_{\overline{D'}} = \overline{C_c^\infty(E)}|_{D'}$, where $|\Phi|_{D'}^2 = |\Phi|_{L'_2}^2 + |D'\Phi|_{L'_2}^2$. $i : L_2(g', h) \longrightarrow L_2(g', h') \equiv L'_2$ and $i^* : L_2(g', h') \longrightarrow L_2(g', h)$ are for

$h' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(h)$ quasi isometries with bounded derivatives, they map $C_c^\infty(E)$ 1-1 onto $C_c^\infty(E)$ and $i^*D'i$ is self adjoint on $\overline{C_c^\infty(E)}^{|i^*D'i|} = D_{i^*D'i} \subset L_2((M, E), g', h) \equiv L_2(g', h)$. We obtain as a consequence that $e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2}$ is defined and selfadjoint in $L_2((M, E), g', h) = L_2(g', h)$, maps for $t > 0$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $H^i(E, i^*D'i)$ continuously into $H^j(E, i^*D'i)$ and has the heat kernel $W'_{g',h}(t, m, p) = \langle \delta(m), e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2} \delta(p) \rangle$, $W'(t, m, p)$ satisfies the same general estimates as $W(t, m, p)$ in section 5. By exactly the same arguments we obtain that $e^{-tU^*(i^*D'i)^2U} = e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2} = U^*e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2}U$ is defined in $L_2 = L_2((M, E), g, h)$, self adjoint and has the heat kernel $W'_{L_2}(t, m, p) = W'_{g,h}(t, m, p) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m)W'_{g',h}(t, m, p)\alpha(p)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Here we assume $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$. Now we are able to formulate our main theorem.

Theorem 7.10 *Let $E = ((E, h, \nabla = \nabla^h, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g))$ be a Clifford bundle with $(I), (B_k(M, g)), (B_k(E, \nabla))$, $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, $E' = ((E, h, \nabla' = \nabla^{h'}, \cdot) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)) \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}}^{1,r+1}(E) \cap \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$, $D = D(g, h, \nabla = \nabla^h, \cdot)$, $D' = D(g', h, \nabla' = \nabla^{h'}, \cdot)$ the associated generalized Dirac operators, $dp(g) = \alpha(p)dp(g')$, $U = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2} - U^*e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2}U \quad (7.58)$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Proof. We are done if we could prove the assertions for

$$e^{-t(UD'U^*)^2} - e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2} = Ue^{-tD^2}U^* - e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2} \quad (7.59)$$

since $U^*(7.59)U = (7.58)$. To get a better explicit expression for (7.59), we apply again Duhamel's principle. This holds since Greens formula for UD^2U^* holds,

$$\int h_q(UD^2U^*\Phi, \Psi) - h(\Phi, UD^2U^*\Psi) dq(g') = 0.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^t \int_M h_q(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(m)W(s, m, q)\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q), \left(UD^2U^* + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) W'_{g',h}(t-s, q, p) dq(g') ds = \\
& = - \int_0^t \int_M h_q(\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(m)W(s, m, q)\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q), (UD^2U^* - (i^*D'i)^2)W'_{g',h}(t-s, q, p) dq(g') ds = \\
& = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(m)W(s, m, q)\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q) - W'_{g',h}(t, m, p) = \\
& = W_{g',h}(t, m, p) - W'_{g',h}(t, m, p). \tag{7.60}
\end{aligned}$$

(7.60) expresses the operator equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-t(UDU^*)^2} - e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2} = \\
& = - \int_0^t e^{-s(U^*DU)^2} ((UDU^*)^2 - (i^*D'i)^2) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds = \\
& = - \int_0^t e^{-s(UDU^*)^2} UDU^*(UDU^* - i^*D'i) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds - \tag{7.61} \\
& - \int_0^t e^{-s(UDU^*)^2} (UDU^* - i^*D'i)(i^*D'i) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds. \tag{7.62}
\end{aligned}$$

We write (7.62) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} D\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} - i^*D'i) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds = \\
& - \int_0^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} (D - i^*D'i - \frac{\text{grad } \alpha \cdot}{2\alpha}) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds = \\
& - \int_0^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} i^* ((i^{*-1} - 1)D + (D - D') - i^{*-1} \frac{\text{grad } \alpha \cdot}{2\alpha}) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds = \\
& \int_0^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D(\eta_0 + \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 + \eta_4) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$\eta_0 = \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}}$, $\eta_i = -\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}i^*\eta_i(7)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\eta_1(7) = (7.10)$, $\eta_2(7) = (7.11)$, $\eta_3(7) = (7.12)$, $\eta_4 = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}i^{*-1}(i^* - 1)D$. Here η_0 and η_2 are of zeroth order. η_1 and η_3 can be discussed as in (7.18)–(7.54). η_4 can be discussed analogous to η_1 , η_3 in section 7, i.e. η_4 will be shifted via partial integration to the left (up to zero order terms) and $\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}i^*(i^* - 1)$ thereafter again to the right. In the estimates one has to replace W by DW and nothing essentially changes as we exhibited in (7.34). We perform in (7.62) the same decomposition and have to estimate 20 integrals,

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,1})$$

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu (i^*D'i) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,2})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} D\eta_\nu e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,3})$$

$$\int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sD^2} \eta_\nu (i^*D'i) e^{-(t-s)(i^*D'i)^2} ds, \quad (I_{\nu,4})$$

$\nu = 0, \dots, 4$ and to show that these are products of Hilbert–Schmidt operators and have uniformly bounded trace norm on compact t –intervals. This has been completely modelled in the proof of 7.1. $\square$

Combining the proof of 7.9 and the decomposition (6.45)–(6.48), we obtain

Theorem 7.11 *Assume the hypotheses of 7.10. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{tD^2} D - U^* e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2} (i^*D'i) U$$

is of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t –intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. $\square$

The operators $i^* D'^2 i$ and $(i^* D' i)^2$ are different in general. We should still compare $e^{-ti^* D'^2 i}$ and $e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2}$.

Theorem 7.12 *Assume the hypotheses of 7.10. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-t(i^* D'^2 i)} - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2}$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervalls $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Proof. We obtain again immediately from Duhamel's principle

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-ti^* D'^2 i} - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} &= \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)} (i^* D'^2 i - (i^* D' i)^2) e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2} ds = \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)} i^* D' (1 - ii^*) D' i e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2} ds = \\ &= - \int_0^t e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)} (i^* D' i) i^{-1} (1 - ii^*) i^{*-1} (i^* D' i) e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2} ds \end{aligned} \quad (7.63)$$

In $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$ we shift $i^* D' i$ again to the left of the kernel $W'_{e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)}}$ via partial integration and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & (i^* D' i e^{-\frac{s}{2}(i^* D'^2 i)}) [(e^{-\frac{s}{4}(i^* D'^2 i)}) f] (f^{-1} e^{-\frac{s}{4}(i^* D'^2 i)} i^{-1} (1 - ii^*) i^{*-1})] \\ & ((i^* D' i) e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2}) \end{aligned}$$

as before. In $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ we write the integrand of (7.63) as

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)} i^* D' i) [(i^* i)^{-1} e^{-\frac{t-s}{4}(i^* D' i)^2} f^{-1}] (f e^{-\frac{t-s}{4}(i^* D' i)^2})] \\ & (e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i)) \end{aligned}$$

and proceed as in the corresponding cases. $\square$

Theorem 7.13 *Assume the hypotheses of 7.10. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*i^*D^2iU)} \equiv e^{-tD^2} - U^*e^{-t(i^*D^2i)}U$$

is of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Proof. This immediately follows from 7.10 and 7.12. $\square$

Theorem 7.9 is now a special case of the more general theorem 7.12. $\square$

Finally the last class of admitted perturbations are compact topological perturbations which will be the content of the next section.

8 Trace class property in the class of additional compact topological perturbations

Let $E = ((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)) \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ be a Clifford bundle, $k \geq r+1 > n+3$, $E' = ((E, h', \nabla^{h'}) \longrightarrow (M'^n, g')) \in \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E) \cap \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$. Then there exist $K \subset M$, $K' \subset M'$ and a vector bundle isomorphism (not necessarily an isometry) $f = (f_E, f_M) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{1, r+2}(E|_{M \setminus K}, E'|_{M' \setminus K'})$ s. t.

$$g|_{M \setminus K} \text{ and } f_M^* g'|_{M \setminus K} \text{ are quasi isometric,} \quad (8.1)$$

$$h|_{E|_{M \setminus K}} \text{ and } f_E^* h'|_{E|_{M \setminus K}} \text{ are quasi isometric,} \quad (8.2)$$

$$|g|_{M \setminus K} - f_M^* g'|_{M \setminus K}|_{g, 1, r+1} < \infty, \quad (8.3)$$

$$|h|_{E|_{M \setminus K}} - f_E^* h'|_{E|_{M \setminus K}}|_{g, h, \nabla^h, 1, r+1} < \infty, \quad (8.4)$$

$$|\nabla^h|_{E|_{M \setminus K}} - f_E^* \nabla^{h'}|_{E|_{M \setminus K}}|_{g, h, \nabla^h, 1, r+1} < \infty, \quad (8.5)$$

$$|\cdot|_{M \setminus K} - f_E^* \cdot'|_{M \setminus K}|_{g, h, \nabla^h, 1, r+1} < \infty. \quad (8.6)$$

(8.1) – (8.6) also hold if we replace f by f^{-1} , $M \setminus K$ by $M' \setminus K'$ and $g, h, \nabla^h, \cdot$ by $g', h', \nabla^{h'}, \cdot'$. If we consider the complete pull back $f_E^*(E'|_{M' \setminus K'})$, i.e. the pull back together with all Clifford datas, then we have on $M \setminus K$ two Clifford bundles, $E|_{M \setminus K}$, $f_E^*(E'|_{M' \setminus K'})$ which are as vector bundles isomorphic and we denote $f_E^*(E'|_{M' \setminus K'})$ again by E' on $M \setminus K$, i.e. $g'_{\text{new}} = (f_M|_{M \setminus K})^* g'_{\text{old}}$ etc..

(8.1) – (8.6) and the symmetry of our uniform structure $\mathfrak{U}_{L,diff,rel}^{1,r+1}$ imply

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,i}(E|_{M \setminus K}) &\cong W^{1,i}(E'|_{M \setminus K}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \\ W^{2,j}(E|_{M \setminus K}) &\cong W^{2,j}(E'|_{M \setminus K}), \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}, \\ H^j(E|_{M \setminus K}, D) &\cong H^j(E'|_{M \setminus K}, D'), \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{1,1,i}(\text{End}(E|_{M \setminus K})) &\cong \Omega^{1,1,i}(\text{End}(E'|_{M \setminus K})), \quad 0 \leq i \leq r+1, \\ \Omega^{1,2,j}(\text{End}(E|_{M \setminus K})) &\cong \Omega^{1,2,j}(\text{End}(E'|_{M \setminus K})), \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{r+1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the Sobolev spaces are defined by restriction of corresponding Sobolev sections.

We now fix our set up for compact topological perturbations. Under more special assumptions this has already been done in [2], [5]. Set $\mathcal{H} = L_2((K, E|_K), g, h) \oplus L_2((K', E'|_{K'}), g', h') \oplus L_2((M \setminus K, E), g, h)$ and consider the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} i_{L_2, K'} : L_2((K', E'|_{K'}), g', h') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}, \\ i_{L_2, K'}(\Phi) &= \Phi, \\ i^{-1} : L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g', h') &\longrightarrow L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g', h), \\ i^{-1}\Phi &= \Phi, \\ U^* : L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g', h) &\longrightarrow L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g, h), \\ U^*\Phi &= \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $dq(g) = \alpha(q)dq(g')$. We identify $M \setminus K$ and $M' \setminus K'$ as manifolds and $E'|_{M' \setminus K'}$ and $E|_{M \setminus K}$ as vector bundles. Then we have natural embeddings

$$\begin{aligned} i_{L_2, M} : L_2((M, E), g, h) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}, \\ i_{L_2, K'} \oplus U^* i^{-1} : L_2((M', E'), g', h') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}, \\ (i_{L_2, K'} \oplus U^* i^{-1})\Phi &= i_{L_2, K'} \chi_{K'} \Phi + U^* i^{-1} \chi_{M' \setminus K'} \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

The images of these two embeddings are closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$. Denote by P and P' the projection onto these closed subspaces. D is defined on $\mathcal{D}_D \subset \text{im } P$. We extend it onto $(\text{im } P)^\perp$ as zero operator. The definition of (the shifted) D' is a little more complicated. For the sake of simplicity of

notation we write $U^*i^{-1} \equiv i_{L_2, K'} \oplus U^*i^{-1} = id \oplus U^*i^{-1}$, keeping in mind that $i_{L_2, K'}$ fixes χ_K, Φ and the scalar product. Moreover we set also $iU\chi_{K'}\Phi = U^*i^*\chi_{K'}\Phi = \chi_{K'}\Phi$. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{D'}$, $\chi_{K'}\Phi + U^*i^{-1}\chi_{M' \setminus K'}\Phi$ its image in $\mathcal{H}$. Then $(U^*i^*D'iU)(\chi_{K'}\Phi + U^*i^{-1}\chi_{M' \setminus K'}\Phi) = U^*i^*D'\Phi = \chi_{K'}D'\Phi + U^*i^*\chi_{M' \setminus K'}D'\Phi$. Now we set as $\mathcal{D}_{U^*i^*D'iU} \subset H$

$$\mathcal{D}_{U^*i^*D'iU} = \{\chi_{K'}\Phi + U^*i^{-1}\chi_{M' \setminus K'}\Phi \mid \Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{D'}\} \oplus (\text{im } P')^\perp. \quad (8.8)$$

It follows very easy from the selfadjointness of D' on $\mathcal{D}_{D'}$ and (8.7) that $U^*i^*D'iU$ is self adjoint on $\mathcal{D}_{U^*i^*D'iU}$, if we additionally set $U^*i^*D'iU = 0$ on $(\text{im } P')^\perp$.

Remark 8.1 *If g and h do not vary then we can spare the whole $i - U$ -procedure, $i = U = id$. Nevertheless this case still includes interesting perturbations. Namely perturbations of $\nabla, \cdot$ and compact topological perturbations.* $\square$

We set for the sake of simplicity $\tilde{D}' = U^*i^*D'iU$. The first main result of this section is the following

Theorem 8.2 *Let $E = ((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)) \in \text{CLB}^{N, n}(I, B_k)$, $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E) \cap \text{CLB}^{N, n}(I, B_k)$. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P' \quad (8.9)$$

and

$$e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}' \quad (8.10)$$

are of trace class and their trace norms are uniformly bounded on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

For the proof we make the following construction. Let $V \subset M \setminus K$ be open, $\overline{M \setminus K} \setminus V$ compact, $\text{dist}(V, \overline{M \setminus K} \setminus (M \setminus K)) \geq 1$ and denote by $B \in L(\mathcal{H})$ the multiplication operator $B = \chi_V$. The proof of 8.2 consists of two steps. First we prove 8.2 for the restriction of (8.9), (8.10) to V , i.e. for $B(8.9)B$, thereafter for $(1 - B)(8.9)B$, $B(8.9)(1 - B)$ and the same for (8.10).

Theorem 8.3 *Assume the hypothesis of 8.2. Then*

$$B(e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P')B, \quad (8.11)$$

$$B(e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')B, \quad (8.12)$$

$$B(e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B), \quad (8.13)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P')B, \quad (8.14)$$

$$B(e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')(1 - B), \quad (8.15)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')B, \quad (8.16)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B), \quad (8.17)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{-tD^2}D - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')(1 - B) \quad (8.18)$$

are of trace class and their trace norms are uniformly bounded on any t -intervall $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

8.2 immediately follows from 8.3. We start with the assertion for (8.11). Introduce functions $\varphi, \psi, \gamma \in C^\infty(M, [0, 1])$ with the following properties.

1. $\text{supp } \varphi \subset M \setminus K$, $(1 - \varphi) \in C_c^\infty(M \setminus K)$, $\varphi|_V = 1$.
2. ψ with the same properties as φ and additionally $\psi = 1$ on $\text{supp } \varphi$, i.e. $\text{supp } (1 - \psi) \cap \text{supp } \varphi = \emptyset$.
3. $\gamma \in C_c^\infty(M)$, $\gamma = 1$ on $\text{supp } (1 - \varphi)$, $\gamma|_V = 0$.

Define now as in [5] an approximate heat kernel $E(t, m, p)$ on M by

$$E(t, m, p) := \gamma(m)W(t, m, p)(1 - \varphi(p)) + \psi(m)\tilde{W}'(t, m, p)\varphi(p).$$

Applying Duhamel's principle yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_M h_q(W(s, m, q), \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D^2 \right) E(t - s, q, p)) \chi_\nu(p) \, dq(g) \, ds \\ & = \int_M [h_q(W(\beta, m, q), E(t - \beta, q, p)) - h_q(W(\alpha, m, q), \\ & E(t - \alpha, q, p))] \chi_\nu(p) \, dq(g). \end{aligned} \quad (8.19)$$

Performing $\alpha \longrightarrow 0^+$, $\beta \longrightarrow t^-$ in (8.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \int_M h_q(W(s, m, q), \left(D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) E(t-s, q, p)) \chi_{\nu}(p) \, dq(g) \, ds = \\
& \lim_{\beta \rightarrow t^-} \int_M [h_q(W(\beta, m, q), E(t-\beta, q, p)) \chi_{\nu}(p) \, dq(g) - \\
& E(t, m, p) \chi_{\nu}(p)].
\end{aligned} \tag{8.20}$$

Now we use

$$\chi_V(p)(1 - \varphi(p)) = 0 \tag{8.21}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\beta \rightarrow t^-} \int_M [h_q(W(\beta, m, q), E(t-\beta, q, p)) \chi_{\nu}(p) \, dq(g) = \\
& = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow t^-} \int_M [h_q(W(\beta, m, q), \psi(q) \tilde{W}'(t-\beta, q, p)) \varphi(p) \chi_{\nu}(p) \, dq(g) = \\
& = W(t, m, p)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\tilde{W}'(\tau, q, p)$ is the heat kernel of $e^{-\tau \tilde{D}'^2}$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^t \int_M h_q(W(s, m, q), \left(D^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) E(t-s, q, p)) \chi_V(p) \, dq(g) \, ds = \\
& - \int_0^t \int_M h_q(W(s, m, q), (D^2 \psi(q) - \psi(q) \tilde{D}'^2) \tilde{W}'(t-s, q, p)) \chi_V(p) \, dq(g) \, ds = \\
& = [W(t, m, p) - \tilde{W}'(t, m, p)] \cdot \chi_V(p).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.22}$$

(8.22) expresses the operator equation

$$(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} P') B = - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D^2 \psi - \psi \tilde{D}'^2) e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} B \, ds \tag{8.23}$$

in $\mathcal{H}$ at kernel level.

We rewrite (8.23) as in the foregoing cases.

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.23) &= - \int_0^t e^{-sD^2} (D(D - \tilde{D}')\psi + (D - \tilde{D}')\tilde{D}'\psi + \tilde{D}'^2\psi - \psi\tilde{D}'^2) e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds = \\
&= - \left[\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} (D(D - \tilde{D}')\psi e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds + \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.24}$$

$$+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} (D - \tilde{D}')\tilde{D}'\psi e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds + \tag{8.25}$$

$$+ \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sD^2} (\tilde{D}'^2\psi - \psi\tilde{D}'^2) ds \tag{8.26}$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} D(D - \tilde{D}')\psi e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds + \tag{8.27}$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} (D - \tilde{D}')\tilde{D}'\psi e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds + \tag{8.28}$$

$$+ \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-sD^2} (\tilde{D}'^2\psi - \psi\tilde{D}'^2) e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2} ds \Big]. \tag{8.29}$$

Write the integrand of (8.27) as

$$(e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} D) [(e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} f)(f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} (D - \tilde{D}')\psi)] e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2},$$

$|e^{-\frac{s}{2}D^2} D|_{op} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{s}}$, $|e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2}|_{op} \leq C'$ and $[\dots]$ is the product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators if f can be chosen $\in L_2$ and such that $f^{-1}e^{-\frac{s}{4}D^2} (D - \tilde{D}')\psi$ is Hilbert–Schmidt. We know from sections 6 and 7, sufficient for this is that

$(D - \tilde{D}')\psi$ has Sobolev coefficients of order $r + 1$ (and $p = 1$).

$$\begin{aligned}
(D - \tilde{D}')\psi &= (D - \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}i^*D'i\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}})\psi \\
&= \left(D - i^* \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' - i^*D' \right) \psi \\
&= i^* \left((i^{*-1} - 1)D + (D - D') - \frac{\text{grad } \alpha \cdot'}{2\alpha} \right) \psi \\
&= i^* \left[i^{*-1} (\text{grad } \psi \cdot + \psi D) + \text{grad } \Psi(\cdot - \cdot') \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\text{grad } \psi - \text{grad } \psi) \cdot' + \psi(D - D') - \frac{\text{grad } \alpha \cdot'}{2\alpha} \psi \right].
\end{aligned}$$

i^* is bounded up to order k , $i^{*-1} - 1$ is $(r + 1)$ -Sobolev, $\text{grad } \psi$, $\text{grad } \psi$ have compact support, $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\frac{\text{grad } \alpha \cdot'}{2\alpha}$ is $(r + 1)$ -Sobolev and $\psi(D - D')$ is completely discussed in (7.9) – (7.53). Hence (8.27) is completely done.

Write the integrand of (8.28) as

$$[(e^{\frac{s}{2}D^2}f)(f^{-1}e^{\frac{s}{2}D^2}(D - D'))](D'e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2}).$$

[...] is the product of two Hilbert–Schmidt operators with bounded trace norm on t -intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. An easy calculation yields

$$\tilde{D}'\psi = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}i^*D'i\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi = i^* \text{grad } \psi \cdot' + \psi\tilde{D}',$$

hence

$$|\tilde{D}'\psi e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2}|_{op} = |(i^* \text{grad } \psi \cdot' + \psi\tilde{D}')e^{-(t-s)\tilde{D}'^2}|_{op} \leq C + \frac{C'}{\sqrt{t-s}},$$

(8.28) is done.

Rewrite finally the integrands of (8.24), (8.25) as

$$\begin{aligned}
&(e^{-sD^2}D)[((D - \tilde{D}')\psi e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}f^{-1})(fe^{-\frac{t-s}{2}\tilde{D}'^2})] = \\
&= e^{-sD^2}Di^*[((i^{*-1} - 1)(\text{grad } \psi \cdot + \psi D) + \\
&+ \text{grad } \psi(\cdot - \cdot') + (\text{grad } \psi - \text{grad } \psi) \cdot' + \\
&+ \psi(D - D') - \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' \psi)e^{-\frac{t-s}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}f^{-1})(fe^{-\frac{t-s}{2}\tilde{D}'^2})]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-sD^2} i^* [((D - \tilde{D}') \psi e^{-\frac{t-s}{4} \tilde{D}'^2} f^{-1})(f e^{-\frac{t-s}{4} \tilde{D}'^2})] (\tilde{D}' e^{-\frac{t-s}{2} \tilde{D}'^2}) = \\
& = e^{-sD^2} i^* [(((i^{*-1} - 1)(\text{grad } \psi \cdot + \psi D) + \text{grad } \psi(\cdot - \cdot') + \\
& (\text{grad } \psi - \text{grad } \psi) \cdot' + \psi(D - D') - \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' \psi) \\
& e^{-\frac{t-s}{4} \tilde{D}'^2} f^{-1})(f e^{-\frac{t-s}{4} \tilde{D}'^2})] (\tilde{D}' e^{-\frac{t-s}{2} \tilde{D}'^2}),
\end{aligned}$$

respectively, and (8.24), (8.25) are done. The remaining integrals are (8.26) and (8.29). We have to find an appropriate expression for $\tilde{D}'^2 \psi - \psi \tilde{D}'^2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D}'^2 &= (\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} i^* D' i \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} i^* D' i \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{8.30} \\
&= \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} i^* D' i^* \left(\frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot' + \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} D' \right) \\
&= i^* \left(D' \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot' \right) i^* \left(\frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdot' + \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} D' \right) \\
&= i^* D' i^* D' + i^* D' i^* \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + i^* \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + \\
&\quad + i^* \frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* D'. \tag{8.31}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}'^2 \psi - \psi \tilde{D}'^2 &= i^* D' i^* D' \psi - \psi i^* D' i^* D' + \\ &+ i^* D' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' \psi - \psi i^* D' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + \end{aligned} \quad (8.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' \psi - \psi i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + \\ &+ i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* D' \psi - \psi i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* D' = \\ &= i^* D' i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot' + i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot' i^* D' + \psi i^* D' i^* D' - \psi i^* D' i^* D' + \\ &+ i^* (\text{grad}' \psi \cdot' + \psi D') i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' - \psi i^* D' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + \end{aligned} \quad (8.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* (\text{grad}' \psi \cdot' + \psi D') - \psi i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* D' = \\ &= i^* D' i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot' + i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot' i^* D' + \end{aligned} \quad (8.34)$$

$$+ i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot' i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' + \quad (8.35)$$

$$+ i^* \frac{\text{grad}' \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot' i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot'. \quad (8.36)$$

The terms in (8.34) are first order operators but $\text{grad}' \psi$ has compact support and we are done. The terms in (8.35), (8.36) are zero order operators and we are also done since $\text{grad}' \psi$ has compact support.

Hence $(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} P')B$, $B(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} P')B$ are of trace class and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on any compact t -interval $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. The assertions for (8.11) are done.

Next we study the operator

$$(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2} P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2} P')(1 - B). \quad (8.37)$$

Denote by M_ε the multiplication operator with $\exp(-\varepsilon \text{dist}(m, K)^2)$. Referring to [2], we state that for ε small enough

$$M_\varepsilon e^{-tD^2} B, \quad M_\varepsilon e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} B \quad (8.38)$$

and

$$M_\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-tD^2} \chi_G, \quad M_\varepsilon^{-1} e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} \chi_G \quad (8.39)$$

are Hilbert–Schmidt for every compact $G \subset M$ or $G' \subset M'$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B) = \\ & = [e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}PM_\varepsilon] \cdot [M_\varepsilon^{-1}(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B)] + \end{aligned} \quad (8.40)$$

$$+ [e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P']M_\varepsilon \cdot [M_\varepsilon^{-1}e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P'(1 - B)]. \quad (8.41)$$

According to (6.7) – (6.9) and (8.38), each of the factors $[\dots]$ in (8.40), (8.41) is Hilbert–Schmidt and we obtain that (8.34) is of trace class and has uniformly bounded trace norm in any t -interval $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. The same holds for

$$B(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B) \quad (8.42)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')B \quad (8.43)$$

$$(1 - B)(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B) \quad (8.44)$$

by multiplication of (8.37) from the left by B etc., i.e. the assertions for (8.13), (8.14), (8.17) are done. Write now

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}D')B = \\ & (e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D)(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')B + \end{aligned} \quad (8.45)$$

$$(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}D')(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P)B. \quad (8.46)$$

(8.45) is done already by (8.23) and $|e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}D|_{op} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$. Decompose (8.46) as the sum of

$$e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P(D - \tilde{D}') \cdot (e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}') = [e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P(-\eta)] \cdot (e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')B \quad (8.47)$$

and

$$(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}')B \quad (8.48)$$

$[\dots]$ in (8.47) is done. Rewrite $e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P'$ as

$$(e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P)B + \quad (8.49)$$

$$+(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')(1 - B). \quad (8.50)$$

(8.49), (8.50) are done already, hence (8.48) and hence $(e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P')B$, (8.12), (8.15), (8.16), (8.18). This finishes the proof of 8.3. $\square$

The proof of theorem 8.2 now follows from 8.3 by adding up the four terms containing $e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}P - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}P'$ or $e^{\frac{t}{2}D^2}D - e^{-\frac{t}{2}\tilde{D}'^2}\tilde{D}'$, respectively. $\square$

Remark 8.4 *We could perform the proof of 8.2, 8.3 also along the lines of (7.59) – (7.62), performing first a unitary transformation, proving the trace class property and performing the back transformation, as we indicate in (7.59). This procedure is completely equivalent to the proof of 8.2, 8.3 presented above. $\square$*

The operators $U^*i^*D'^2iU$ and $(U^*i^*D'^2iU)^2$ are distinct in general and we have still to compare $e^{-t(U^*i^*D'^2iU)}P'$ and $e^{-t(U^*i^*D'^2iU)^2}P'$. According to our remark above, it is sufficient to prove the trace class property of

$$e^{-t(i^*D'^2i)}P - e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2}P' \quad (8.51)$$

in

$$\mathcal{H}' = L_2((K, E), g, h) \oplus L_2((K', E'), g', h') \oplus L_2((M \setminus K, E), g', h').$$

Here we have an embedding

$$\begin{aligned} i_{L_2, K'} \oplus i^{-1} : L_2((M', E'), g', h') &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}' \\ (i_{L_2, K'} \oplus i^{-1})\Phi &= i_{L_2, K'}\chi_{K'}\Phi + i^{-1}\chi_{M' \setminus K'}\Phi, \end{aligned} \quad (8.52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} i^{-1} : L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g', h') &\longrightarrow L_2((M' \setminus K', E'|_{M' \setminus K'}), g'h), \\ i^{-1}\Phi &= \Phi, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$i^*D'i(\chi_K, \Phi + i^{-1}\chi_{M' \setminus K'}\Phi) := i^*D'\Phi = \chi_{K'}D'\Phi + i^*\chi_{M' \setminus K'}D'\Phi,$$

$i^*D'^2i$ similar, all with the canonical domains of definition analogous to (8.8). P' is here the projection onto $\text{im } (i_{L_2, K'} \oplus i^{-1})$. We define $i^*D'^2i$, $(i^*D'i)^2$ to be zero on $\text{im } P'^\perp$.

Remark 8.5 *Quite similar we could embed $L_2((M, E), g, h)$ into $\mathcal{H}'$, define P , UDU^* and the assertion 8.2 would be equivalent to the assertion for*

$$e^{-t(UDU^*)^2}P - e^{-t(i^*D'i)^2}P'. \quad (8.53)$$

Applying the (extended) U^ from the right, U from the left, yields just the expression (8.9). $\square$*

Theorem 8.6 *Assume the hypotheses of 8.2. Then*

$$e^{-t(i^* D'^2 i)} P' - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} P' \quad (8.54)$$

is of trace class and its trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$.

Proof. We prove this by establishing the assertion for the four cases arising from multiplication by $B, 1 - B$. Start with (8.54). B. Duhamel's principle again yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{-t(i^* D'^2 i)} P' - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} P') B = \\ & = - \int_0^t e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)} ((i^* D'^2 i) - (i^* D' i)^2) e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (8.55)$$

An easy calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & (i^* D'^2 i) \psi - \psi (i^* D' i)^2 = i^* D'^2 \psi - \psi i^* D' i^* D' = \\ & = i^* D' \text{grad}' \psi + i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot D + \psi i^* D'^2 - \\ & - (\psi i^* D'^2 + \psi i^* D' (i^* - 1) D') = \\ & = i^* D' \text{grad}' \psi + i^* \text{grad}' \psi \cdot D' - \end{aligned} \quad (8.56)$$

$$- \psi i^* D' (i^* - 1) D'. \quad (8.57)$$

The first order operators in (8.56) contain the compact support factor $\text{grad}' \psi$ and we are done. Here $i^* D'$ (coming from the first term or from $\text{grad}' \psi \cdot D' = \text{grad}' \psi \cdot i^{*-1} i^* D$) will be connected with $e^{-s(i^* D'^2 i)}$ or $e^{-(t-s)(i^* D' i)^2}$, depending on the interval $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$ or $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$. The (D') 's of the second order operator (8.57) can be distributed analogous to the proof of 7.12. The remaining main point is $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $i^* - 1$ Sobolev of order $r + 1$, i. e. $i^* - 1 \in \Omega^{0,1,r+1}(\text{Hom}((E'|_{M' \setminus K'}, g', h'), (E|_{M \setminus K}, g', h)))$.

The assertion for (8.54) $\cdot B$ is done. Quite analogously (and parallel to the proofs of (8.11), (8.13), (8.14), (8.17)) one discusses the other 3 cases. $\square$

We obtain as a corollary from 8.2 and 8.6

Theorem 8.7 *Assume the hypothesis of 8.2. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D'^2 i U)} P'$$

is of trace class in $\mathcal{H}'$ and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. $\square$

Applying 8.7 to the case $E = \Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}$, $D^2 = \Delta$, we obtain

Theorem 8.8 *Let $(\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_{\Lambda^*}) \in \text{CLB}^{2n}(I, B_k)$, $k \geq r + 2 > n + 3$, $(\Lambda^* T^* M' \otimes \mathbb{C}, g'_{\Lambda^*}) \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_{\Lambda^*}) \cap \text{CLB}^{2n, n}(I, B_k)$, $\Delta = \Delta(g)$, $\Delta' = \Delta(g')$ the graded Laplace operator. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-t\Delta} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* \Delta' i U)} P'$$

is of trace class in $\mathcal{H}'$ and the trace norm is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$. $\square$

Roughly or more concretely spoken, as one prefers, this means the following. Given an open manifold (M^n, g) satisfying (I) and (B_k) , $k \geq r + 2 > n + 3$. Cut out a compact submanifold K and glue the compact submanifold K' along $\partial M = \partial K$, getting thus M' , endow M' with a metric g' satisfying (I) and (B_k) and

$$|g - g'|_{M \setminus K, g, 1, r+1} < \infty.$$

Then for $t > 0$

$$e^{-t\Delta} P - e^{-tU^* i^* \Delta' i U} P'$$

has the asserted properties.

9 Relative index theory

We proved in 8.4 that after fixing $E \in \text{CLB}^{N, n}(I, B_k)$, $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, we can attach to any $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$ two number valued invariants, namely

$$E' \longrightarrow \text{tr} (e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') \quad (9.1)$$

and

$$E' \longrightarrow \text{tr} (e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-tU^* i^* D'^2 i U} P'). \quad (9.2)$$

This is a contribution to the classification inside a component but still unsatisfactory insofar as it

1. could depend on t .
2. will depend on the $K \subset M$, $K' \subset M'$ in question,
3. is not yet clear the meaning of this invariant.

We are in a much more comfortable situation if we additionally assume that the Clifford bundles under consideration are endowed with an involution $\tau : E \longrightarrow E$, s.t.

$$\tau^2 = 1, \quad \tau^* = \tau \tag{9.3}$$

$$[\tau, X]_+ = 0 \text{ for } X \in TM \tag{9.4}$$

$$[\nabla, \tau] = 0 \tag{9.5}$$

Then $L_2((M, E), g, h) = L_2(M, E^+) \oplus L_2(M, E^-)$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^- \\ D^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $D^- = (D^+)^*$. If M^n is compact then as usual

$$\text{ind} D := \text{ind} D^+ := \dim \ker D^+ - \dim \ker D^- \equiv \text{tr} (\tau e^{-tD^2}), \tag{9.6}$$

where we understand τ as

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$

For open M^n $\text{ind} D$ in general is not defined since τe^{-tD^2} is not of trace class. The appropriate approach on open manifolds is relative index theory for pairs of operators D, D' . If D, D' are selfadjoint in the same Hilbert space and $e^{tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}$ would be of trace class then

$$\text{ind}(D, D') := \text{tr} (\tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2})) \tag{9.7}$$

makes sense, but at the first glance (9.7) should depend on t .

If we restrict to Clifford bundles $E \in \text{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ with involution τ then we assume that the maps entering in the definition of $\text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ or $\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$ are τ -compatible, i.e. after identification of $E|_{M \setminus K}$ and $f_E^* E'|_{M' \setminus K}$ holds

$$[f_E^* \nabla^{h'}, \tau] = 0, \quad [f^{* \cdot'}, \tau]_+ = 0. \tag{9.8}$$

We call $E|_{M \setminus K}$ and $E'|_{M' \setminus K'}$ τ -compatible. Then, according to section 8,

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') \right) \quad (9.9)$$

makes sense.

Theorem 9.1 *Let $((E, h, \nabla^h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g), \tau) \in \mathrm{CLB}^{N,n}(I, B_k)$ be a graded Clifford bundle, $k \geq r > n + 2$.*

a) *If $\nabla'^h \in \mathrm{comp}^{1,r}(\nabla) \subset C_E^{1,r}(B_k)$, ∇' τ -compatible, i.e. $[\nabla', \tau] = 0$ then*

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-tD'^2}) \right)$$

is independent of t .

b) *If $E' \in \mathrm{gen} \mathrm{comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}, \mathrm{rel}}^{1,r+1}(E)$ is τ -compatible with E , i.e. $[\tau, X \cdot']_+ = 0$ for $X \in TM$ and $[\nabla', \tau] = 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') \right)$$

is independent of t .

Proof. a) follows from our 6.1 and 5.1 in [2]. b) follows from our 9.2. $\square$

Proposition 9.2 *If $E' \in \mathrm{gen} \mathrm{comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}, \mathrm{rel}}^{1,r+1}(E)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') \\ & \tau(e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U)) \end{aligned}$$

are for $t > 0$ of trace class and the trace norm of $\tau(e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^ i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U))$ is uniformly bounded on compact t -intervals $[a_0, a_1]$, $a_0 > 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\tau(e^{-tD^2} p - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U)) \right)$$

is independent of t .

Proof. The assumptions of 5.1 in [2] are much more restrictive then ours here. Nevertheless, the main idea of the proof carries over to here. We sketch the proof. Let $(\varphi_i)_i$ be a sequence of smooth functions $\in C_c^\infty(\overline{M \setminus K})$,

satisfying $\sup |d\varphi_i| \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 0$, $0 \leq \varphi_i \leq \varphi_{i+1}$ and $\varphi_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} 1$. Denote by M_i the multiplication operator with φ_i on $L_2((M \setminus K, E|_{M \setminus K}), g, h)$. We extend M_i by 1 to the complement of $L_2((M \setminus K, E), g, h)$ in H . We have to show

$$\frac{d}{dt} \text{tr } \tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') = 0.$$

$e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P'$ is of trace class, hence

$$\text{tr } \tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr } \tau M_j(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') M_j.$$

M_j restricts to compact sets and we can differentiate under the trace and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr } \tau M_j(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') M_j = \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr } \tau(M_j U^* (e^{-t(UDU^*)^2} P - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} P') U M_j) = \\ & = -\text{tr } \tau(U^* M_j (e^{-t(UDU^*)^2} (UDU^*)^2 - e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i)^2) M_j U) \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\text{tr } \tau(U^* M_j (e^{-t(UDU^*)^2} (UDU^*)^2 M_j U) = \text{tr } \tau M_j e^{-tD^2} D^2 M_j$. According to [2], $\text{tr } \tau(M_j e^{-tD^2} D^2 M_j) = \text{tr } M_j \text{ grad } \varphi_i \cdot \tau D e^{-tD^2}$. Quite similar

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr } \tau(M_j (e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i)^2) M_j) = \\ & = \text{tr } \tau \varphi_j e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i) (i^* D' i) e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} \varphi_j = \\ & = \text{tr } (i^* D' i) e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} \varphi_j \tau \varphi_j e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i) = \\ & = \text{tr } e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i) \varphi_j^2 \tau e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i) = \\ & = \text{tr } e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} i^* (2\varphi_j \text{ grad } ' \varphi_j \cdot ' + \varphi_j^2 D') i \tau e^{-\frac{t}{2}(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i) = \\ & = \text{tr } 2i^* M_j \text{ grad } ' \varphi_j \cdot ' i \tau (i^* D' i) e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} - \text{tr } \tau M_j e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i)^2 M_j, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\text{tr } \tau(M_j e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2} (i^* D' i)^2 M_j) = \text{tr } M_j i^* \text{ grad } ' \varphi_j \cdot ' i \tau (i^* D' i) e^{-t(i^* D' i)^2}$$

and finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \text{tr } \tau M_j(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} P') M_j = \\ & = \text{tr } \tau M_j[\text{grad } \varphi_j \cdot e^{-tD^2} D - \text{grad } ' \varphi_j \cdot ' e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U)] = \\ & = \text{tr } \tau M_j[(\text{grad } \varphi_j - \text{grad } ' \varphi_j) \cdot e^{-tD^2} + \text{grad } ' \varphi_j (\cdot - \cdot') e^{-tD^2} + \\ & + \text{grad } ' \varphi_j \cdot ' (e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U))]. \end{aligned}$$

But this tends to zero uniformly for t in compact intervals since $\text{grad } \varphi_j$, $\text{grad } ' \varphi_j$ do so. $\square$

We denote $Q^\pm = D^\pm$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q^+ \\ Q^- & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ & 0 \\ 0 & H^- \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q^- Q^+ & 0 \\ 0 & Q^+ Q^- \end{pmatrix} = Q^2, \quad (9.10)$$

$Q'^\pm = U^* i^* D'^\pm i U = (U^* i^* D' i U)^\pm$, Q' , H' analogous, assuming (9.3) – (9.5) as before and $\cdot', \nabla'$ τ -compatible. H, H' form by definition a supersymmetric scattering system if the wave operators

$$W^\mp(H, H') := \lim_{t \rightarrow \mp\infty} e^{itH} e^{-tH'} \cdot P_{ac}(H') \text{ exist and are complete} \quad (9.11)$$

and

$$QW^\mp(H, H') = W^\mp(H, H')H' \text{ on } \mathcal{D}_{H'} \cap \mathcal{H}'_{ac}(H'). \quad (9.12)$$

Here $P_{ac}(H')$ denotes the projection on the absolutely continuous subspace $\mathcal{H}'_{ac}(H') \subset \mathcal{H}$ of H' .

A well known sufficient criterion for forming a supersymmetric scattering system is given by

Proposition 9.3 *Assume for the operators graded Q, Q' (= supercharges)*

$$e^{-tH} - e^{-tH'}$$

and

$$e^{-tH}Q - e^{-tH'}Q$$

are for $t > 0$ of trace class. Then they form a supersymmetric scattering system. $\square$

Corollary 9.4 *Assume the hypotheses of 9.1. Then D, D' or $D, U^* i^* D' i U$ form a supersymmetric scattering system, respectively. In particular, the restriction of D, D' or $D, U^* i^* D' i U$ to their absolutely continuous spectral subspaces are unitarily equivalent, respectively.* $\square$

Until now we have seen that under the hypotheses of 9.1

$$\text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}') = \text{tr } \tau(e^{-tD^2} P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} P'), \quad (9.13)$$

$\tilde{D}' = D'$ or $\tilde{D}' = U^* i^* D' i U$, is a well defined number, independent of $t > 0$ and hence yields an invariant of the pair (E, E') , still depending on K, K' . Hence we should sometimes better write

$$\text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}', K, K'). \quad (9.14)$$

We want to express in some good cases $\text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}', K, K')$ by other relevant numbers. Consider the abstract setting (9.10). If $\inf \sigma_e(H) > 0$ then $\text{ind} D := \text{ind} D^+$ is well defined.

Lemma 9.5 *If $e^{-tH} p - e^{-tH'} P'$ is of trace class for all $t > 0$ and $\inf \sigma_e(H), \inf \sigma_e(H') > 0$ then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr } \tau(e^{-tH} P - e^{-tH'} P') = \text{ind} Q^+ - \text{ind} Q^-. \quad (9.15)$$

This is just theorem 5.2 in [2]. □

We infer from this

Theorem 9.6 *Assume the hypotheses of 9.1 and $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$. Then $\inf \sigma_e(D'^2), \inf \sigma_e(U^* i^* D' i U)^2 > 0$ and for each $t > 0$*

$$\text{tr } \tau(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}) = \text{ind} D^+ - \text{ind} D'^+. \quad (9.16)$$

Proof. In the case 9.1.a, $\inf \sigma_e(D'^2) > 0$ follows from 3.15 and (9.16) then follows from 9.5. Consider the case 9.1.b. We can replace the comparison of $\sigma_e(D^2)$ and $\sigma_e((U^* i^* D' i U)^2)$ by that of $\sigma_e(UD^2 U^*)$ and $\sigma_e((i^* D' i)^2)$. Moreover, for self adjoint A , $0 \notin \sigma_e(A)$ if and only if $\inf \sigma_e(A^2) > 0$. Assume $0 \notin \sigma_e(UDU^*)$ and $0 \in \sigma_e(i^* D' i)$. We must derive a contradiction. Let $(\Phi_\nu)_\nu$ be a Weyl sequence for $0 \in \sigma_e(i^* D' i)$ satisfying additionally $|\Phi_\nu|_{L_2} = 1$, $\text{supp } \Phi_\nu \subseteq M \setminus K = M' \setminus K'$ and for any compact $L \subset M \setminus K = M' \setminus K'$

$$|\Phi_\nu|_{L_2(M \setminus L)} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (9.17)$$

We have $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} i^* D' i \Phi_\nu = 0$. Then also $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} D' \Phi_\nu = 0$. We use in the sequel the following simple fact. If β is an L_2 -function, in particular if β is even Sobolev, then

$$|\beta \cdot \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (9.18)$$

Now $(UDU^*)\Phi_\nu = (UDU^* - D')\Phi_\nu + D'\Phi_\nu$. Here $D'\Phi_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Consider $(UDU^* - D')\Phi_\nu = (\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} D \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} - D')\Phi_\nu = \left(-\frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot + D - D'\right) \Phi_\nu$. Assume $\alpha \neq 1$. Then $\beta = \left|\frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha}\right| \in \Omega^{0,2,\frac{r}{2}}(T(M \setminus K))$ satisfies the assumptions above and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left| -\frac{\text{grad } \alpha}{2\alpha} \cdot \Phi_\nu \right|_{L_2} = 0. \quad (9.19)$$

If $\alpha \equiv 1$ this term does not appear. Write, according to (7.9) – (7.12),

$$(D - D')\Phi_\nu = \eta_1^{op} \Phi_\nu + \eta_2^{op} \Phi_\nu + \eta_3^{op} \Phi_\nu. \quad (9.20)$$

$\left| g^{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \cdot \right|$ is bounded (we use a uniformly locally finite cover by normal charts, an associated bounded decomposition of unity etc.). $\beta = |\nabla - \nabla'|$ is Sobolev hence L_2 and by (9.18)

$$|\eta_2^{op} \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (9.21)$$

Now $|\nabla \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \leq C_1(|\Phi_\nu|_{L_2} + |D\Phi_\nu|_{L_2}) \leq C_2(|\Phi_\nu|_{L_2} + |D'\Phi_\nu|_{L_2})$. $g - g'$ is Sobolev, hence, according to (9.18) with $\beta = |g - g'|$, $||g - g'| \cdot \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and finally $||g - g'| \cdot D'\Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0$. This yields

$$|\eta_1^{op} \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (9.22)$$

We conclude in the same manner from $\cdot - \cdot'$ Sobolev and $|\nabla' \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \leq C_3(|\Phi_\nu|_{L_2} + |D'\Phi_\nu|_{L_2})$ that

$$|\eta_3^{op} \Phi_\nu|_{L_2} \xrightarrow{\nu \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (9.23)$$

(9.19) – (9.23) yield $(UDU^*)\Phi_\nu \longrightarrow 0$, $0 \in \sigma_e(UDU^*)$, $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) = 0$, a contradiction, hence $\inf \sigma_e((U^* i^* D' i U)^2) > 0$, $\inf \sigma_e((i^* D' i)^2) > 0$, $0 \notin$

$\sigma_e(i^*D'i)$, $0 \notin \sigma_e(D')$, $\inf \sigma_e(D'^2) > 0$. We infer from 9.2 and 9.5 that for $t > 0$

$$\mathrm{tr} \tau e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2} = \mathrm{ind} D^+ - \mathrm{ind}(U^*i^*D'iU)^+. \quad (9.24)$$

We are done if we can show

$$\mathrm{ind}(U^*i^*D'iU)^+ = \mathrm{ind} D'^+. \quad (9.25)$$

$\Phi \in \ker(U^*i^*D'iU)^+$ means $(U^*i^*D'iU)^+\Phi = (U^*i^*D'iU)(\chi_{K'}\Phi + U^*i^{-1}\chi_{M'\setminus K'}\Phi) = \chi_{K'}D'^+\Phi + U^*i^*\chi_{M'\setminus K'}D'^+\Phi = 0$. But this is equivalent to $D'^+\Phi = 0$. Similar for D'^- . (9.25) holds and hence (9.16). $\square$

It would be desirable to express $\mathrm{ind}(D, \tilde{D}', K, K')$ by geometric topological terms. In particular, this would be nice in the case $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$. In the compact case, one sets $\mathrm{ind}_a D := \mathrm{ind}_a D^+ = \dim \ker D^+ - \dim \ker (D^+)^* = \dim \ker D^+ - \dim \ker D^- = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{tr} \tau e^{-tD^2}$. On the other hand, for $t \rightarrow 0^+$ there exists the well known asymptotic expansion for the kernel of τe^{-tD^2} . Its integral at the diagonal yields the trace. If $\mathrm{tr} \tau e^{-tD^2}$ is independent of t (as in the compact case), we get the index theorem where the integrand appearing in the L_2 -trace consists only of the t -free term of the asymptotic expansion. Here one would like to express things in the asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel of $e^{-tD'^2}$ instead of $e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2}$. For this reason we restrict in the definition of the topological index to the case $E' \in \mathrm{comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ or $E' \in \mathrm{comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}, F, \mathrm{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$, i.e. we admit Sobolev perturbation of $g, \nabla^h, \cdot$ but the fibre metric h should remain fixed. Then for $D' = D(g', h, \nabla'^h, \cdot)$ in $L_2((M, E), g, h)$ the heat kernel of $e^{-t(U^*D'U)^2} = U^*e^{-tD'^2}U$ equals to $\alpha(q)^{-\frac{1}{2}}W'(t, q, p)\alpha(p)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. At the diagonal this equals to $W'(t, m, m)$, i.e. the asymptotic expansion at the diagonal of the original $e^{-tD'^2}$ and the transformed to $L_2((M, E), g, h)$ coincide.

Consider

$$\mathrm{tr} \tau W(t, m, m) \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b_{-\frac{n}{2}}(D, m) + \cdots + b_0(D, m) + \cdots \quad (9.26)$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr} \tau W'(t, m, m) \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b_{-\frac{n}{2}}(D', m) + \cdots + b_0(D', m) + \cdots. \quad (9.27)$$

We show in the next section that

$$b_i(D, m) - b_i(D', m) \in L_1, \quad -\frac{n}{2} \leq i \leq 1. \quad (9.28)$$

Define for $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$

$$\text{ind}_{\text{top}}(D, D') := \int_M b_0(D, m) - b_0(D', m). \quad (9.29)$$

According to (9.28), $\text{ind}_{\text{top}}(D, D')$ is well defined.

Theorem 9.7 Assume $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$

a) Then

$$\text{ind}(D, D', K, K') = \int_K b_0(D, m) - \int_{K'} b_0(D', m) + \quad (9.30)$$

$$+ \int_{M \setminus K = M' \setminus K'} b_0(D, m) - b_0(D', m). \quad (9.31)$$

b) If $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ then

$$\text{ind}(D, D') = \text{ind}_{\text{top}}(D, D'). \quad (9.32)$$

c) If $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ and $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$ then

$$\text{ind}_{\text{top}}(D, D') = \text{ind}_a D - \text{ind}_a D'. \quad (9.33)$$

Proof. All this follows from 9.1, the asymptotic expansion, (9.28) and the fact that the L_2 -trace of a trace class integral operator equals to the integral over the trace of the kernel. $\square$

Remarks 9.8 1. If $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$, g and g' , ∇^h and ∇'^h , $\cdot$ and $\cdot'$ coincide in $V = M \setminus L = M' \setminus L'$, $L \supseteq K$, $L' \supseteq K'$, then in (7.4) – (7.53) $\alpha - 1$ and the η 's have compact support and we conclude from (8.38), (8.39) and the heat kernel estimates in section 5 that

$$\int_V |W(t, m, m) - W'(t, m, m)| dm \leq C \cdot e^{-\frac{d}{t}} \quad (9.34)$$

and obtain

$$\text{ind}(D, D', L, L') = \int_L b_o(D, m) - \int_{L'} b_o(D', m). \quad (9.35)$$

This follows immediately from 9.7.a. and (9.35) and contains corollary 5.2 in [2]. It is one of the main results in [17].

2. The point here is that we admit much more general perturbations than in preceding approaches to prove relative index theorems.

3. $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$ is an invariant of $\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$. If we fix E, D as reference point in $\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ then 9.7.c enables us to calculate the analytical index for all other D 's in the component from $\text{ind} D$ and a pure integration.

4. $\inf \sigma_e(D^2) > 0$ is satisfied e.g. if in $D^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \mathcal{R}$ the operator $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies outside a compact K the condition

$$\mathcal{R} \geq \kappa_0 \cdot id, \kappa_0 > 0. \quad (9.36)$$

(9.36) is an invariant of $\text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ (with possibly different K, κ_0).

□

It is possible that $\text{ind} D, \text{ind} D'$ are defined even if $0 \in \sigma_e$. For the corresponding relative index theorem we need the scattering index.

To define the scattering index and in the next section relative ζ -functions, we must introduce the spectral shift function of Birman/Krein/Yafaev. Let A, A' be bounded self adjoint operators, $V = A - A'$ of trace class, $R'(z) = (A' - z)^{-1}$. Then the spectral shift function

$$\xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda, A, A') := \pi^{-1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \arg \det(1 + V R'(\lambda + i\varepsilon)) \quad (9.37)$$

exists for a.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. $\xi(\lambda)$ is real valued, $\in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$\text{tr}(A - A') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad |\xi|_{L_1} \leq |A - A'|_1. \quad (9.38)$$

If $I(A, A')$ is the smallest interval containing $\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(A')$ then $\xi(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \notin I(A, A')$.

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \in L_1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(p)|(1 + |p|) dp < \infty\}.$$

Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$, $\varphi(A) - \varphi(A')$ is of trace class and

$$\text{tr} (\varphi(A) - \varphi(A')) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (9.39)$$

We recall proposition 2.1 from [19].

Lemma 9.9 *Let $H, H' \geq 0$, selfadjoint in $\mathcal{H}$, $e^{-tH} - e^{-tH'}$ for $t > 0$ of trace class. Then there exist a unique function $\xi = \xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda, H, H') \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for $\lambda > 0$, $e^{-t\lambda} \xi(\lambda) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ and the following holds.*

a) $\text{tr} (e^{-tH} - e^{-tH'}) = -t \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \xi(\lambda) d\lambda.$

b) For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}$, $\varphi(H) - \varphi(H')$ is of trace class and

$$\text{tr} (\varphi(H) - \varphi(H')) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

c) $\xi(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda < 0$. □

We apply this to our case $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, \text{rel}}^{1, r+1}(E)$. According to 9.4, D and $U^* i^* D' i U$ form a supersymmetric scattering system, $H = D^2$, $H' = (U^* i^* D' i U)^2$. In this case

$$e^{2\pi i \xi(\lambda, H, H')} = \det S(\lambda),$$

where $S = (W^+)^* W^- = \int S(\lambda) dE'(\lambda)$ and $H'_{ac} = \int \lambda dE'(\lambda)$.

Let $P_d(D)$, $P_d(U^* i^* D' i U)$ be the projector on the discrete subspace in $\mathcal{H}$, respectively and $P_c = 1 - P_d$ the projector onto the continuous subspace. Moreover we write

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ & 0 \\ 0 & H^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (U^* i^* D' i U)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} H'^+ & 0 \\ 0 & H'^- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9.40)$$

We make the following additional assumption.

$$e^{-tD^2}P_d(D), e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2}P_d(U^*i^*D'iU) \quad \text{are for } t > 0 \text{ of trace class.} \quad (9.41)$$

Then for $t > 0$

$$e^{-tD^2}P_c(D) - e^{-t(U^*i^*D'iU)^2}P_c(U^*i^*D'iU)$$

is of trace class and we can in complete analogy to (9.37) define

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^c(\lambda, H^\pm, H'^\pm) &:= -\pi \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \arg \det[1 + (e^{-tH^\pm}P_c(H^\pm) - e^{-tH'^\pm}P_c(H'^\pm)) \\ &\quad (e^{-tH'^\pm}P_c(H'^\pm) - e^{-\lambda t} - i\varepsilon)^{-1}] \end{aligned} \quad (9.42)$$

According to (9.38),

$$\text{tr} (e^{-tH^\pm}P_c(H^\pm) - e^{-tH'^\pm}P_c(H'^\pm)) = -t \int_0^\infty \xi^c(\lambda, H^\pm, H'^\pm) e^{-t\lambda} d\lambda. \quad (9.43)$$

We denote as after (9.13) $\tilde{D}' = D'$ in the case $\nabla' \in \text{comp}^{1,r}(\nabla)$ and $\tilde{D}' = U^*i^*D'iU$ in the case $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L,diff,rel}^{1,r+1}(E)$. The assumption (9.41) in particular implies that for the restriction of D and $\tilde{D}'$ to their discrete subspace the analytical index is well defined and we write $\text{ind}_{a,d}(D, \tilde{D}') = \text{ind}_{a,d}(D) - \text{ind}_{a,d}(\tilde{D}')$ for it. Set

$$n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}') := -\xi^c(\lambda, H^+, H'^+) + \xi^c(\lambda, H^-, H'^-). \quad (9.44)$$

Theorem 9.10 *Assume the hypotheses of 9.1 and (9.41).*

Then $n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}') = n^c(D, \tilde{D}')$ is constant and

$$\text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}') - \text{ind}_{a,d}(D, \tilde{D}') = n^c(D, \tilde{D}'). \quad (9.45)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}') &= \text{tr} \tau(e^{-tD^2}P - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P') = \\ &= \text{tr} \tau e^{-tD^2}P_d(D)P - \text{tr} \tau e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P_d(\tilde{D}')P' + \\ &\quad + \text{tr} \tau(e^{-tD^2}P_c(D) - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}P_c(\tilde{D}')) = \\ &= \text{ind}_{a,d}(D, \tilde{D}') + t \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}') d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

According to 9.1, $\text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}')$ is independent of t . The same holds for $\text{ind}_{a,d}(D, \tilde{D}')$. Hence $t \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}') d\lambda$ is independent of t . This is possible only if $\int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}') d\lambda = \frac{1}{t}$ or $n^c(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}')$ is independent of λ . $\square$

Corollary 9.11 *Assume the hypotheses of 9.10 and additionally $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$. Then $n^c(D, \tilde{D}') = 0$.*

Proof. In this case $\text{ind}_{a,d}(D, \tilde{D}') = \text{ind} D - \text{ind} \tilde{D}' = \text{ind}(D, \tilde{D}')$, hence $n^c = 0$. $\square$

10 Relative ζ -functions, η -functions, determinants and torsion

Assume $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$. Then we have in $L_2((E, M), g, h)$ the asymptotic expansion

$$\text{tr } W(t, m, m) \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b_{-\frac{n}{2}}(m) + t^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} b_{-\frac{n}{2}+1} + \dots \quad (10.1)$$

and analogously for $\text{tr } \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) W'(t, m, m) \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) = \text{tr } W'(t, m, m)$ with

$$b_{-\frac{n}{2}+1}(m) = b_{-\frac{n}{2}+1}(D(g, h, \nabla), m), \quad b'_{-\frac{n}{2}+1}(m) = b_{-\frac{n}{2}+1}(D(g', h, \nabla'), m).$$

Here we use that the odd coefficients vanish, i.e. terms with $t^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}$, $t^{-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{3}{2}}$ etc. do not appear. The heat kernel coefficients have for $l \geq 1$ a representation

$$b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l} = \sum_{k=1}^l \sum_{q=0}^k \sum_{i_1+i_2+\dots+i_k=2(l-k)} \nabla^{i_1} R^g \dots \nabla^{i_q} R^g \text{tr } (\nabla^{i_{q+1}} R^E \dots \nabla^{i_k} R^E) C^{i_1, \dots, i_k}, \quad (10.2)$$

where $C^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ stands for a contraction with respect to g , i.e. it is built up by linear combination of products of the g^{ij} , g_{ij} .

Lemma 10.1 $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l} - b'_{-\frac{n}{2}+l} \in L_1(M, g)$, $0 \leq l \leq \frac{n+3}{2}$.

Proof. First we fix g . Forming the difference $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l} - b'_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}$, we obtain a sum of terms of the kind

$$\nabla^{i_1} R^g \dots \nabla^{i_q} R^g \operatorname{tr} [\nabla^{i_{q+1}} R^E \dots \nabla^{i_k} R^E - \nabla'^{i_{q+1}} R'^E \dots \nabla'^{i_k} R'^E] C^{i_1, \dots, i_k}. \quad (10.3)$$

The highest derivative of R^g with respect to ∇^g occurs if $q = k$, $i_1 = \dots = i_{q-1} = 0$. Then we have

$$(\nabla^g)^{2l-2k} R^g. \quad (10.4)$$

By assumption, we have bounded geometry of order $\geq r > n + 2$, i. e. of order $\geq n + 3$. Hence $(\nabla^g)^i R^g$ is bounded for $i \leq n + 1$. To obtain bounded $\nabla^j R^g$ -coefficients of $[\dots]$ in (10.3), we must assume

$$2l - 2 \leq n + 1, \quad l \leq \frac{n+3}{2}. \quad (10.5)$$

Similarly we see that the highest occurring derivatives of R^E , R'^E in $[\dots]$ are of order $2l - 2$. The corresponding expression is

$$\begin{aligned} R^E \nabla^{2l-2} R^E - R'^E \nabla'^{2l-2} R'^E &= (R^E - R'^E) (\nabla^{2l-2} R^E) \\ &\quad + R'^E (\nabla^{2l-2} R^E - \nabla'^{2l-2} R'^E). \end{aligned} \quad (10.6)$$

We want to apply the module structure theorem. $\nabla - \nabla' \in \Omega^{1,1,r}(\mathcal{G}_E^{Cl}, \nabla) = \Omega^{1,1,r}(\mathcal{G}_E^{Cl}, \nabla')$ implies $R^E - R'^E \in \Omega^{2,1,r-1}$. We can apply the module structure theorem (and conclude that all norm products of derivatives of order $\leq 2l - 2$ are absolutely integrable) if $2l - 2 \leq r - 1$, $2l - 2 \leq n + 1$, $l \leq \frac{n+3}{2}$. Hence, (10.5) $\in L_1$ since R^E , R'^E , $C^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ bounded. It is now a very simple combinatorial matter to write $[\dots]$ in (10.3) as a sum of terms each of them is a product of differences $(\nabla^i R^E - \nabla'^i R'^E)$ with bounded functions $\nabla^j R^E$, $\nabla'^{j'} R'^E$. Remember $\nabla, \nabla' \in \mathcal{C}_E(B_k)$. Admit now change of g . We write $\nabla^{i_1} R^g \dots \nabla^{i_q} R^g \operatorname{tr} (\nabla^{i_{q+1}} R^E \dots \nabla^{i_k} R^E) C^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ as $\mathcal{R}^1(g) \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla) C(g)$, similarly $\mathcal{R}^1(g') \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla') C(g')$. Then we have to consider expressions

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}^1(g) \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla) C(g) - \mathcal{R}^1(g') \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla') C(g') = \\ &= [\mathcal{R}^1(g) - \mathcal{R}^1(g')] \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla) C(g) + \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R}^1(g') \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla) [C(g) - C(g')] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R}^1(g) \operatorname{tr} [\mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla) - \mathcal{R}^2(h, \nabla')] C(g'). \end{aligned}$$

But each term $[\dots] \in L_1(g)$ and the others are bounded what we infer as above. This proves 10.1. $\square$

Lemma 10.2 *There is an expansion*

$$\mathrm{tr} (e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*D'U)^2}) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}} a_{-\frac{n}{2}} + \dots + t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]} a_{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]} + O(t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}). \quad (10.7)$$

Proof. Set

$$a_{-\frac{n}{2}+i} = \int \left(b_{-\frac{n}{2}+i}(m) - b'_{-\frac{n}{2}+i}(m) \right) dm \quad (10.8)$$

and use

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr} W(t, m, m) &= t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b_{-\frac{n}{2}} + \dots + t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]} b_{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]} + \\ &\quad + O(m, t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}), \end{aligned} \quad (10.9)$$

$$\mathrm{tr} W'(t, m, m) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b'_{-\frac{n}{2}} + \dots + O'(m, t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}) \quad (10.10)$$

$$\mathrm{tr} (e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*D'U)^2}) = \int \left(\mathrm{tr} W(t, m, m) - \mathrm{tr} W'(t, m, m) \right) dm.$$

Using lemma 10.1, the only critical point is

$$\int_M O(m, t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}) - O'(m, t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}) dm = O(t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}). \quad (10.11)$$

(10.11) requires a very careful investigation of the concrete representatives for $O(m, t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]})$. We did this step by step, following [16], p. 21/22, 66 – 69. Very roughly spoken, the m -dependence of $O(m, \cdot)$ is given by the parametwise construction, i. e. by differences of corresponding derivatives of the $\Gamma_{i\alpha}^\beta, \Gamma'_{i\alpha}^\beta$, which are integrable by assumption. $\square$

Definition. Assume $E' \in \mathrm{gen\,comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$. Set

$$\zeta_1(s, D^2, (U^*D'U)^2) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} \mathrm{tr} (e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^*D'U)^2}) dt. \quad (10.12)$$

Using 10.7,

$$\int_0^1 t^{s-1} t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]} dt = \frac{1}{s + \frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}]}, \quad (10.13)$$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} O(t^{-\frac{n}{2} + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1}) dt \text{ holomorphic for } \operatorname{Re}(s) + (-\frac{n}{2}) + [\frac{n+3}{2}] + 1 > 0 \quad (10.14)$$

and $[\frac{n+3}{2}] \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, we obtain a function meromorphic in $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -1$, holomorphic in $s = 0$ with simple poles at $s = \frac{n}{2} - l$, $l \leq [\frac{n+3}{2}]$.

Much more troubles causes the integral $\int_1^\infty$. Here we must additionally assume

$$\mu_e(D) = \inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0. \quad (10.15)$$

(10.15) implies $\mu_e(D'^2) = \inf \sigma_e((U^* D' U)^2|_{(\ker(U^* D' U)^2)^\perp}) > 0$. Denote by $\mu_0(D^2)$, $\mu_0(D'^2) = \mu_0((U^* D' U)^2)$ the smallest positive eigenvalue of D^2 , D'^2 , respectively and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(D^2) &= \min\{\mu_e(D^2), \mu_0(D^2)\}, \\ \mu(D'^2) &= \min\{\mu_e(D'^2), \mu_0(D'^2)\}, \\ \mu(D^2, D'^2) &:= \min\{\mu(D^2), \mu(D'^2)\} > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10.16)$$

If there is no such eigenvalue for D^2 then set $\mu(D^2) = \mu_e(D^2)$, analogous for D'^2 . D^2 , D'^2 , $(U^* D' U)^2$ have in $]0, \mu(D^2, D'^2)[$ no further spectral values.

We assert that the spectral function $\xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda, D^2, (U^* D' U)^2)$ is constant in the interval $[0, \mu(D^2, D'^2)/2[$.

Consider the function $\omega_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} c_\varepsilon e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2 - x^2}} & |x| \leq \varepsilon \\ 0 & |x| > \varepsilon \end{cases}$ and choose c_ε s. t. $\int \omega_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1$. Let $0 < 3\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\chi_{[-\delta-2\varepsilon, \delta+2\varepsilon]}$ the characteristic function of $[-\delta-2\varepsilon, \delta+2\varepsilon]$. Then $\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon} := \chi_{[-\delta-2\varepsilon, \delta+2\varepsilon]} * \omega_\varepsilon$ satisfies $0 \leq \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon} \leq 1$, $\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(x) = 1$ on $[-\delta-\varepsilon, \delta+\varepsilon]$, $\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(x) = 0$ for $x \notin [-\delta-3\varepsilon, \delta+3\varepsilon]$, $\varphi'_{\delta, \varepsilon} \leq K \cdot \varepsilon^{-1}$

and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon} = \delta$ -distribution. Assume $\delta + 3\varepsilon < \frac{\mu}{2}$. A regular distribution $f \in D'(\cdot - \frac{\mu}{2}, \frac{\mu}{2})$ equals to zero if and only if $\langle f(\lambda), w_\varepsilon(\lambda - a) \sin(k(\lambda - a)) \rangle = 0$, $\langle f(\lambda), w_\varepsilon(\lambda - a) \cos(k(\lambda - a)) \rangle = 0$ for all sufficiently small ε and all a (s.t. $|a| + \varepsilon < \frac{\mu}{2}$) and for all k . ([22], p. 95). This is equivalent to $\langle f, w_\varepsilon(\lambda - a) \rangle = 0$ for all sufficiently small ε and a and the latter is equivalent to $\langle f(\lambda), \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \varphi_{\delta', \varepsilon} \rangle = 0$ for all δ, δ' and all ε (s.t. $\delta + 3\varepsilon, \delta' + 3\varepsilon < \frac{\mu}{2}$). We get for $\mu = \mu(D^2, D'^2)$, $0 < 3\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $\delta + 3\varepsilon < \frac{\mu}{2}$ that $\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2)$ is independent of δ, ε , $\text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2))$ is independent of δ, ε , $0 = \text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2)) - \text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta', \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta', \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2)) = \int_{\frac{\mu}{2}}^{\frac{\mu}{2}} (\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon} - \varphi_{\delta', \varepsilon})'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda$, i.e. the distributional derivative of ξ equals to zero, $\xi(\lambda)$ is a constant regular distribution. We write $\xi(\lambda)|_{[0, \frac{\mu}{2}] = \text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2)) \equiv -h$. Set quite parallel to [19] $\tilde{\xi}(\lambda) := \xi(\lambda) + h$ which yields $\tilde{\xi}(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda < \frac{\mu}{2}$ and $-t \int_0^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \xi(\lambda) d\lambda = h - \int_{\frac{\mu}{2}}^\infty e^{-t\lambda} \tilde{\xi}(\lambda) d\lambda$. The latter integral converges for $t > 0$ and can for $t \geq 1$ estimated by

$$e^{-t\frac{\mu}{4}} \int_{\frac{\mu}{2}}^\infty |\tilde{\xi}(\lambda)| e^{-t\lambda} d\lambda \leq C e^{-t\frac{\mu}{4}}.$$

Hence we proved

Proposition 10.3 *Assume $E' \in \text{comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$, $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$ and set $h = \text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}(D^2) - \varphi_{\delta, \varepsilon}((U^* D' U)^2))$ as above. Then there exist $c > 0$ s.t.*

$$\text{tr}(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* D' U)^2}) = h + O(e^{-ct}). \quad (10.17)$$

□

Define for $\text{Re } s < 0$

$$\zeta_2(s, D^2, D'^2) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^\infty t^{s-1} [\text{tr}(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* D' U)^2}) - h] ds. \quad (10.18)$$

Then $\zeta_2(s, D^2, D'^2)$ is holomorphic in $\text{Re } s < 0$ and admits a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic in $s = 0$.

Define finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(s, D^2, D'^2) &:= \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} [\text{tr} (e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t(U^* D' U)^2}) - h] dt \\
&= \zeta_1(s, D^2, D'^2) + \zeta_2(s, D^2, D'^2) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 t^{s-1} h dt \\
&= \zeta_1(s, D^2, D'^2) + \zeta_2(s, D^2, D'^2) - \frac{h}{\Gamma(s+1)} \quad (10.19)
\end{aligned}$$

We proved

Theorem 10.4 *Suppose $E' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$, $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$ and set h as above. Then $\zeta(s, D^2, D'^2)$ is after meromorphic extension well defined in $\text{Re } s > -1$ and holomorphic in $s = 0$. $\square$*

We know from QFT that functional integrals of the type

$$\int_A e^{-\langle H\varphi, \varphi \rangle} d\varphi \quad (10.20)$$

play a decisive role. It is very difficult to give a reasonable sense to (10.20). An oftenly used kind to do this is to define – in analogy to the Gauß integral – (10.20) by the regularized determinant $\det H = e^{-\zeta'(0, H)}$, where $\zeta(s, H)$ is the zeta function of H . This makes sense if $\zeta(s, H)$ is defined and holomorphic at $s = 0$. Exactly spoken, this is for open manifolds very rarely the case and definitely wrong for underlying manifolds satisfying (I) and (B_k) . We are now able to rescue this situation by considering relative determinants,

$$\det(H, H') := e^{-\zeta'(0, H, H')}. \quad (10.21)$$

If $E', E'' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ then we denote as above $\tilde{D}'^2 = (U^* D' U)^2$, $\tilde{D}''^2 = (V^* D'' V)^2$ for the transformed operators acting in $L_2((M, E), g, h)$.

Theorem 10.5 *Suppose $E', E'' \in \text{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ and $\inf \sigma(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$.*

a) Then $\zeta(s, D^2, \tilde{D}'^2)$, $\zeta(s, D^2, \tilde{D}''^2)$, $\zeta(s, \tilde{D}'^2, \tilde{D}''^2)$ are after meromorphic extension in $\operatorname{Re} s > -1$ well defined and holomorphic in $s = 0$. In particular $\det(D^2, D'^2) = e^{-\zeta'(0, D^2, \tilde{D}'^2)}$, $\det(D^2, D''^2) = e^{-\zeta'(0, D^2, \tilde{D}''^2)}$, $\det(\tilde{D}'^2, D''^2) = e^{-\zeta'(0, \tilde{D}'^2, \tilde{D}''^2)}$ are well defined.

b) There holds

$$\det(\tilde{D}'^2, D^2) = \det(D^2, \tilde{D}'^2)^{-1} \quad (10.22)$$

etc. and

$$\det(D^2, \tilde{D}''^2) = \det(D^2, \tilde{D}'^2) \cdot \det(\tilde{D}'^2, \tilde{D}''^2). \quad (10.23)$$

Proof. a) follows from 10.4 and the fact that $E, E'' \in \operatorname{gen comp}(E)$ implies $E'' \in \operatorname{gen comp}(E') (= \operatorname{gen comp}(E))$.

b) immediately follows from the definitions and $\operatorname{tr}(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t\tilde{D}''^2}) = \operatorname{tr}(e^{-tD^2} - e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}) + \operatorname{tr}(e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2} - e^{-t\tilde{D}''^2})$. $\square$

If we now restrict to the case $E = (\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g_\Lambda)$ then, as we have seen already in section 7, $g' \in \operatorname{gen comp}^{1, r+1}(g)$ does not imply $E' = (\Lambda^* T^* M \otimes \mathbb{C}, g'_\Lambda) \in \operatorname{gen comp}_{L, \text{diff}, F}^{1, r+1}(E)$ since the fibre metric changes, $g_\Lambda \longrightarrow g'_\Lambda$. Hence the above considerations for constructing the relative ζ -function are not immediately applicable. Fortunately we can define relative ζ -functions also in this case. We recall from [16], p. 65 – 74 the following well known fact which we used in (10.1), (10.2) already. Let P be a self adjoint elliptic partial differential operator of order 2 such that the leading symbol of P is positive definite, acting on sections of a vector bundle $(V, h) \longrightarrow (M^n, g)$. Let $W_P(t, p, m)$ be the heat kernel of e^{-tP} , $t > 0$. Then for $t \longrightarrow 0^+$

$$\operatorname{tr} W_P(t, m, m) \sim t^{-\frac{n}{2}} b_{-\frac{n}{2}}(m) + t^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} b_{-\frac{n}{2}+1}(m) + \dots$$

and the $b_\nu(m)$ can be locally calculated as certain derivatives of the symbol of P according to fixed rules. As established by Gilkey, for $P = \Delta$ or $P = D^2$ the b 's can be expressed by curvature expressions (including derivatives). This is (10.1), (10.2), (10.3). We apply this to $e^{-t\Delta}$ and $e^{-t(U^* i^* \Delta' i U)}$ but we want to compare the asymptotic expansions of $W_\Delta(t, m, m)$ and $W_{\Delta'}(t, m, m)$. The expansion of

$$W_{i^* \Delta' i}(t, m, m) \text{ in } L_2(g', g_{\Lambda^*}) \quad (10.24)$$

and

$$W_{U^*i^*\Delta'iU}(t, m, m) \text{ in } L_2(g, g_{\Lambda^*}) \quad (10.25)$$

coincide since

$$W_{U^*i^*\Delta'iU}(t, m, m) = \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m)W_{i^*\Delta'i}(t, m, m)\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}(m). \quad (10.26)$$

The point is to compare the expansions of

$$W_{i^*\Delta'i}(t, m, m) \text{ in } L_2(g', g_{\Lambda^*}) \quad (10.27)$$

and

$$W_{\Delta'}(t, m, m) \text{ in } L_2(g', g_{\Lambda^*}'), \quad (10.28)$$

i.e. we have to compare the symbol of $i^*\Delta'i = i^*\Delta'$ and Δ' . For $q = 0$ they coincide. Let $q = 1$, $m \in M$, $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ a basis in T_m^*M , $\Phi \in \Omega^1(M)$, $\Delta'\Phi|_m = \xi^1\omega_1 + \dots + \xi^n\omega_n$. Then, according to (7.55) $i^*(\Delta'\Phi_i)|_m = g^{kl}g'_{ik}\xi^i\omega_l$, i.e.

$$((i^* - 1)\Delta'_1\Phi)|_m = (g^{kl}g'_{ik}\xi^i - \xi^l)\omega_l. \quad (10.29)$$

Hence for the (local) coefficients of $i^*\Delta'i$ as differential operator holds

$$\text{coeff of } (i^*\Delta'_1i) = (g^{kl}g'_{ik}) \text{ coeff of } (\Delta'_1). \quad (10.30)$$

Quite similar for $0 < q < n$, e.g.

$$\text{coeff of } (i^*\Delta'_2i) = (g^{k_1l_1}g^{k_2l_2}g'_{i_1k_1}g'_{i_2k_2}) \text{ coeff of } (\Delta'_2).$$

Proposition 10.6 *Let $r > n + 2$, $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$, $l \leq \frac{n+3}{2}$, $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta(g, g_{\Lambda^*}), g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m)$ and $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(U^*i^*\Delta'(g', g_{\Lambda^*}')iU, g, g_{\Lambda^*})$ the coefficients of the asymptotic expansion of $\text{tr}_{g_{\Lambda^*}}W_{\Delta}(t, m, m)$ and $\text{tr}_{g_{\Lambda^*}}W_{U^*i^*\Delta'iU}(t, m, m)$ in $L_2(M, g)$, respectively. Then*

$$b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) - b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(U^*i^*\Delta'iU, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) \in L_1(M, g). \quad (10.31)$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) - b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(U^* i^* \Delta' i U, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) \\ &= b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) - b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta', g', g'_{\Lambda^*}, m) + \end{aligned} \quad (10.32)$$

$$+ b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta', g', g'_{\Lambda^*}, m) - b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(i^* \Delta' i, g', g_{\Lambda^*}, m) + \quad (10.33)$$

$$+ b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(i^* \Delta' i, g', g_{\Lambda^*}, m) - b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(U^* i^* \Delta' i U, g, g_{\Lambda^*}, m) \quad (10.34)$$

where $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(\Delta', g', g'_{\Lambda^*}, m)$, $b_{-\frac{n}{2}+l}(i^* \Delta' i, g', g_{\Lambda^*}, m)$ are explained in (10.28), (10.27), respectively. (10.34) vanishes according to (10.26). (10.32) $\in L_1(M, g)$ according to the expressions (10.2) and $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r}(g)$. We conclude this as in the proof of 10.1. Finally (10.33) $\in L_1$ according to $i^* \Delta' i = (i^* - 1)\Delta' + \Delta'$, $\text{coeff}(i^* \Delta' i) = \text{coeff}(i^* - 1) + \text{coeff}(\Delta')$, $(i^* - 1) \in \Omega^{0,r}(\text{End}(\Lambda^*))$, the rules for calculating the heat kernel expansion and according to the module structure theorem. $\square$

Theorem 10.7 *Let (M^n, g) be open, satisfying (I), (B_k) , $k \geq r + 1 > n + 3$, $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g)$, $\Delta = \Delta(g, g_{\Lambda^*})$, $\Delta' = \Delta(g', g'_{\Lambda^*})$ the graded Laplace operators, U, i as in (7.54), and assume $\inf \sigma_e(\Delta|_{(\ker \Delta)^\perp}) > 0$.*

a) *Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-t\Delta} - e^{-tU^* i^* \Delta' i U}$$

is of trace class.

b) *Denote $h = \text{tr}(\varphi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\Delta) - \varphi_{\delta,\varepsilon}(U^* i^* \Delta' i U))$ for $0 < 3\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{2}$, $\delta + 3\delta < \frac{\mu}{2}$, $\mu = \inf\{\text{nonzero spectrum of } \Delta, i^* \Delta' i\}$. Then*

$$\zeta_q(s, \Delta, \Delta') := \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} [\text{tr}(e^{-t\Delta_q} - e^{-t(U^* i^* \Delta'_q i U)}) - h] dt$$

has a well defined meromorphic extension to $\text{Re}(s) > -1$ which is holomorphic in $s = 0$.

c) *The relative analytic torsion $\tau^a(M^n, g, g')$,*

$$\log \tau^a(M^n, g, g') := \sum_{q=0}^n (-1)^q q \cdot \zeta'_q(0, \Delta, \Delta') \quad (10.35)$$

is well defined.

Proof. a) is just theorem 7.9. b) immediately follows from 10.6 and the proof of 10.4. c) is a consequence of b). $\square$

It is very easy to provide many classes of **Examples**.

- 1) Let $(H_{-1}^{2k}, g_{H_{-1}^{2k}}) = (\mathbb{R}^{2k}, dr^2 + (\sinh r)^2 d\sigma_{S^{2k-1}}^2)$ be the $2k$ -dimensional real hyperbolic space and $(\mathbb{R}^{2k}, g')$ such that $g \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g_{H_{-1}^{2k}})$. Then $\tau^a(H_{-1}^{2k}, g_{H_{-1}^{2k}}, g')$ is a well defined important non local relative invariant for the pair $(H_{-1}^{2k}, (\mathbb{R}^{2k}, g'))$.
- 2) This can be e.g. generalized to finite connected sums $(M^{2k}, g) = \left(\#_1^m H_{-1}^{2k}, g \#_1^m H_{-1}^{2k} \right)$ and $g' \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1} \left(\#_1^m g_{H_{-1}^{2k}} \right)$.
- 3) A further generalization is $(M^{2k}, g) = (\text{compact topological and metrical perturbation of } \#_1^m H_{-1}^{2k}, g_{M^{2k}}), g'_M \in \text{comp}^{1,r+1}(g_M)$.
- 4) Still more general manifolds (M^{2k}, g) which fall into the domain of 10.7 are those with warped product metric at infinity satisfying the assumptions of 10.7 which can in this case easily be controlled.
- 5) Multiplication by S^1 yields odd dimensional examples. $\square$

Finally we turn to the relative η -invariant. On a closed manifold (M^n, g) and for a generalized Dirac operator the η -function is defined as

$$\eta_D(s) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \sigma(D) \\ \lambda \neq 0}} \frac{\text{sign } \lambda}{|\lambda|^s} = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \text{tr} (D e^{-tD^2}) dt. \quad (10.36)$$

$\eta_D(s)$ is defined for $\text{Re}(s) > n$, it has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ with isolated simple poles and the residues at all poles are locally computable. $\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \cdot \eta_D(s)$ has its poles at $\frac{n+1-\nu}{2}$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. One cannot conclude directly η is regular at $s = 0$ since $\Gamma(u)$ is regular at $u = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)$ is regular at $s = 0$. But one can show in fact using methods of algebraic topology that $\eta(s)$ is regular at $s = 0$. A purely analytical proof for this is presently not known (cf. [16], p. 114/115).

(10.36) does not make sense on open manifolds. But we are able to define a relative η -function and under an additional assumption the relative η -invariant. $D e^{-tD^2}$ is an integral operator with heat kernel $D_p W_D(t, m, p)$ which has at the diagonal a well defined asymptotic expansion (cf. [16], p.

75, lemma 1.9.1 for the compact case)

$$\mathrm{tr} D_p W(t, m, p)|_{p=m} \underset{t \rightarrow 0^+}{\sim} \sum_{l \geq 0} b_{-\frac{n+l}{2}}(D^2, D, m) t^{\frac{-n+l}{2}}. \quad (10.37)$$

In [3] has been proved that the heat kernel expansion on closed manifolds also holds on open manifolds with the same coefficients (it is a local matter) independent of the trace class property. The (simple) proof there is carried out for e^{-tD^2} , $\mathrm{tr} W(t, m, m)$, but can be word by word repeated for De^{-tD^2} , $DW(t, m, m)$. The rules for calculating the $b_{-\frac{n+l}{2}}(D^2, D, m)$ are quite similar to them for $b_{-\frac{n+l}{2}}(D, m)$ (cf. [16], Lemma 1.9.1). We sum up these considerations in

Proposition 10.8 *Let $E' \in \mathrm{gen\,comp}_{L, \mathrm{diff}}^{1, r+1}(E)$, $r + 1 > n + 3$. Then for $t > 0$*

$$e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U) \quad (10.38)$$

is of trace class, for $t \rightarrow 0^+$ there exists an asymptotic expansion

$$\mathrm{tr} (e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U)) = \sum_{l=0}^{n+3} \int_M a_{-\frac{n+l}{2}}(m) \, \mathrm{dvol}_m(g) t^{\frac{-n+l}{2}} + o(t) \quad (10.39)$$

Proof. The first assertion is just theorem 7.10. (10.39) can just be derived as proposition 10.6. $\square$

We recall from [19] the following

Proposition 10.9 *Assume that D and $\tilde{D}' = U^* i^* D' i U$ satisfy (10.37), (10.38) and that the spectra of D and D' have a common gap $[a, b]$, $(\sigma(D) \cup \sigma(\tilde{D}')) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. Then there exists a spectral shift function $\xi(\lambda) = \xi(\lambda, D, \tilde{D}')$ having the following properties.*

$$1. \, \xi \in L_{1, \mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \xi(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for } \lambda \in [a, b]. \quad (10.40)$$

2. For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi(D) - \varphi(\tilde{D}')$ is a trace class operator and

$$\mathrm{tr} \left(\varphi(D) - \varphi(\tilde{D}') \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi'(\lambda) \xi(\lambda) \, d\lambda. \quad (10.41)$$

$$3. \operatorname{tr} \left(e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t\tilde{D}^2} \tilde{D}' \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda e^{-t\lambda^2}) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (10.42)$$

□

Proposition 10.10 *Assume $E' \in \operatorname{gen comp}_{L, \operatorname{diff}}^{1, r+1}(E)$ and $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$. Then there exists $c > 0$ s. t.*

$$\operatorname{tr} (e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2} (U^* i^* D' i U)) = O(e^{-ct}). \quad (10.43)$$

Proof. We conclude as in the proof of 10.3 that there exists $\mu > 0$ s. t. $\sigma(D) \cap \sigma(i^* D' i) \cap ([-\mu, -\frac{1}{\nu}] \cup [\frac{1}{\nu}, \mu]) = \emptyset$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$. Hence, according to (10.40), $\int_{-\mu}^{\mu} \frac{d}{dt} (\lambda e^{-t\lambda^2}) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\operatorname{tr} (e^{-tD^2} D - e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2})| \\ &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\mu} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda e^{-t\lambda^2}) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\lambda e^{-t\lambda^2}) \xi(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq e^{-t\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\mu} e^{-t\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} |1 - 2t\lambda| |\xi(\lambda)| d\lambda + \int_{\mu}^{\infty} e^{-t\frac{\lambda^2}{2}} |1 - 2t\lambda| |\xi(\lambda)| d\lambda \right] \\ &= C \cdot e^{-t\frac{\mu^2}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 10.11 *Assume $E' \in \operatorname{gen comp}^{1, r+1}(E)$, $k \geq n+1 > n+3$ and $\inf \sigma_e(D^2|_{(\ker D^2)^\perp}) > 0$. Then there is a well defined relative η -function*

$$\eta(s, D, D') := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \operatorname{tr} (De^{-tD^2} - U^* i^* D' i U e^{-t(U^* i^* D' i U)^2}) dt \quad (10.44)$$

which is defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > \frac{n}{2}$ and admits a meromorphic extension to $\operatorname{Re} s > -5$. It is holomorphic at $s = 0$ if the coefficient $\int a_{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) d\operatorname{vol}_m(g)$ of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ equals to zero. Then there is a well defined relative η -invariant of the pair (E, E') .

Proof. We write again $U^*i^*D'iU = \tilde{D}'$. Then according to (10.38),

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta(s, D, D') &= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \left[\sum_{l=0}^{n+3} \int_M a_{\frac{-n+l}{2}} d\text{vol}_m(g) t^{\frac{n+l}{2}} + O(t^{\frac{4}{2}}) dt \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \left[\int_0^1 \cdots dt + \int_1^\infty \cdots dt \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \sum_{l=0}^{n+3} \frac{1}{\frac{s}{2} - \frac{n}{2} + \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \int_M a_{\frac{-n+l}{2}} d\text{vol}_m(g) + \quad (10.45)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \int_0^t t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} O(t^{\frac{4}{2}}) dt + \quad (10.46)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)} \int_1^\infty t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \text{tr} (De^{tD^2} - \tilde{D}'e^{-t\tilde{D}'^2}) dt \quad (10.47)$$

We infer from (10.43) that (10.47) is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}$. (10.46) is holomorphic in $\text{Re } s > -5$. (10.45) admits a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$. $\eta(s, D, D')$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$ if the coefficient $\int_M a_{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) d\text{vol}_m(g)$ equals to zero. $\square$

This finishes our analytical approach to relative Dirichlet series for pairs of open manifolds arising from geometry. In a forthcoming paper a combinatorial approach will be presented.

References

- [1] N. V. BORISOV, W. MÜLLER and R. SCHRADER, *Relative index theorems and supersymmetric scattering theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 114 (1983), 475–513.
- [2] U. BUNKE, *Relative index theory*, J. Funct. Analysis 105 (1992), 63–67.
- [3] U. BUNKE, *Spektraltheorie von Diracoperatoren auf offenen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, thesis Greifswald University 1991.

- [4] J. CHEEGER, M. GROMOV and M. TAYLOR, *Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 17 (1992), 15–35.
- [5] H. DONNELLY, *Essential spectrum and heat kernel*, J. Functional Analysis 75 (1987), 326–381.
- [6] J. EICHHORN, *Uniform Structures of Metric Spaces and Open Manifolds*, Results in Mathematics 40 (2001), 144–191.
- [7] J. EICHHORN, *Invariants for proper metric spaces and open Riemannian manifolds*, to appear in Math. Nachr.
- [8] J. EICHHORN, *Bordism theory for open manifolds*, preprint, Greifswald 2001.
- [9] J. EICHHORN, *The manifold structure of maps between open manifolds*, Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry 11 (1993), 253–300.
- [10] J. EICHHORN, *Gauge theory on open manifolds of bounded geometry*, Int. Journ. Mod. Physics 7 (1992) 3927–3977.
- [11] J. EICHHORN, *Elliptic operators on noncompact manifolds*, Teubner Texte zur Mathematik 106 (1988), 4–169.
- [12] J. EICHHORN, *Spaces of Riemannian metrics on open manifolds*, Results in Mathematics 27 (1995), 256–283.
- [13] J. EICHHORN, J. FRICKE, *The module structure theorem for Sobolev spaces on open manifolds*, Math. Nachr. 194 (1998), 35–47.
- [14] J. EICHHORN, YU. KORDJUKOV, *Differential operators with Sobolev coefficients*, in preparation.
- [15] J. EICHHORN, *The boundedness of connection coefficients and their derivatives*, Math. Nachr. 152 (1991), 145–158.
- [16] P. GILKEY, *Invariance theory, the heat equation and the Atiyah–Singer index theorem*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Boca Raton 1995.
- [17] M. GROMOV and H. B. LAWSON, *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. IHES 58 (1983), 295–408.

- [18] E. HEBEY, *Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1635, Berlin 1996.
- [19] W. MÜLLER, *Relative zeta functions, relative determinants and scattering theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 192 (1998), 309–347.
- [20] G. SALOMONSEN, *Equivalence of Sobolev Spaces*, preprint Arhus 1999.
- [21] W. S. WLADIMIROV, *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Moscow 1971.

Jürgen Eichhhorn
 Institut für Mathematik und Informatik
 Friedrich–Ludwig–Jahn–Strasse 15a
 D-17487 Greifswald
 Germany
 eichhorn@uni-greifswald.de